



Prime Minister On Trial

- The Crusader Ulf Hjalmar Ed Kristersson
- jew–Epstein girl? Eva Magdalena Andersson
- The Nazi– racial fanatic Kjell Stefan Löfvén

Seyed Isa Musavi

www.kimyana.se

ISBN: 978 – 91 – 98 82 28 – 2 – 3

Sunday, the 9th of February 2025

The Swedish Constitution:

- The Freedom of the Press Act

Article 1, § 1 The purpose of the Freedom of the Press Act is to ensure free exchange of opinions, free and comprehensive dissemination of information, and free artistic expression.

Freedom of the press means the freedom for everyone to express thoughts, opinions, and emotions in printed publications, to make public official documents, and to otherwise provide information on any subject whatsoever.

- The Fundamental Law on Freedom of Expression

Article 1, § 1 Everyone is guaranteed the right, against the public authority, under this fundamental law to publicly express thoughts, opinions, and feelings, as well as provide information on any subject, through sound radio, television, and certain similar transmissions, public playback from a database, as well as films, videograms, sound recordings, and other technical recordings.

Contemplate;

Södertörns District Court, case number MUFT 11352 – 17

“Isa Musavi has recently published two books¹, sent emails to the district court, various authorities, and news editorial offices (offense) ... in light of this, the district court finds it currently inappropriate for the children to have contact with Isa Musavi (punishment) ... Isa Musavi have to consider take a step back (submitting – capitulating) ... finding a more constructive approach (cease writing) to attempt to resolve the situation (renounce political stance)!”

Judge Daniel Erikson, September 27, 2017

The Swedish Constitution:

- The Instrument of Government

Article 12, § 9 – second paragraph *“If there are exceptional reasons, the government may decide that further measures to investigate or prosecute a criminal act shall not be taken. Law (2010:1408).”*

¹) The factual work “Forced Conversion of Muslim Children in Sweden”
<https://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/Tvangs-En-2024.pdf>

<https://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/Tvangs-En-2024.pdf>

- UN– Convention on the Rights of the Child

Article 2 *“Children shall not be discriminated against on the basis of their parents' or guardians' opinions, political beliefs, or other circumstances.”*

- ICCPR² (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights)

Article 23, § 1 The family is the natural and fundamental group unit of society and is entitled to protection by society and the State.

Article 2, § 3a

Article 7

Article 19

- UN– Universal Declaration of Human Rights



Article 19 *“Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes the freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive, and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.”*

- ECHR – (European Convention on Human Rights)

Article 10 *“Everyone has the right to freedom of expression. This right includes the freedom to hold opinions without interference and to receive and impart information and ideas without interference by public authority and regardless of frontiers.”*

²) ICCPR <https://ccprcentre.org/ccpr-legal-framework>

Table of Contents

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Preface | 7 |
| Presentation | 8 |
| Swedish Courts | 11 |
|  Chapter One | 21 |
| ✓ Plan for a terror | 21 |
| ✓ Counter measure | 31 |
| ✓ To whom it may concern! | 33 |
|  Chapter Two | 35 |
| ✓ Prime Minister on Trial | 37 |
| ➤ Stockholm District Court | 37 |
| ✓ Crimes against Humanity | 41 |
| ➤ Table of contents | 43 |
| ➤ Injunction 2024-11-05 | 44 |
| ➤ Request for a Hearing | 53 |
| ➤ Background and purpose | 55 |
| ➤ Criminal liability | 57 |
| ➤ The Prime Minister active obstruction of justice | 59 |
| ➤ Examination of Prime Minister violations | 61 |
| 1) Nationally | 61 |
| 2) Internationally | 103 |
| ➤ Murder in custody | 112 |
| ➤ Request for interim decision | 114 |
| ➤ Request against Prime Minister | 116 |
| ➤ Case document 5 | 118 |
| ➤ Conclusion | 120 |
| ➤ For a Sweden | 122 |
| ➤ Supporting documents and evidence | 124 |
| The Author Emphasizes | 125 |
| ✓ Stockholm District Court – Department 4 | 127 |
| ➤ Regarding Interim Decision | 128 |
| ➤ Appendix 26 | 131 |
| ✓ The verdict | 134 |
| ➤ Request for investigation and review | 137 |
| - Chief Judge answers | 139 |
| ➤ As the audience can witness | 141 |
| ✓ Swedes, role models or Charlatans? | 142 |
| 1) Chancellor of Justice (JK) | 161 |
| 2) Parliamentary Ombudsman (JO) | 175 |

| | | |
|---|-------------------------------------------------|-----|
| ✚ | Third Chapter | 178 |
| ✓ | Appeals the verdict | 178 |
| ✓ | Injunction | 189 |
| ✓ | Response | 192 |
| ✚ | Fourth Chapter | 198 |
| ✓ | The time after the lawsuit | 198 |
| | ➤ Report on attempted murder | 200 |
| | ➤ Supreme Commander of the Swedish Armed Forces | 201 |
| | ➤ Assault, bodily harm | 205 |
| | ➤ Undue influence on the legal process | 209 |
| | ➤ Confidentiality | 210 |
| | ➤ Prohibition on teaching Taekwondo | 212 |
| | ➤ Systematic justice abuse and reprisals | 213 |
| | The author is blamed | 220 |
| | ➤ Lift the confidentiality of the case | 221 |
| | ➤ Suddenly, it happens! | 222 |
| | ➤ The fight goes on | 225 |
| ✚ | Fifth Chapter | 226 |
| ✓ | Evolutionary facts | 226 |
| ✓ | Child-kidnappers | 231 |
| ✓ | Just for fun! | 249 |
| ✓ | Three months | 250 |
| ✓ | Last question | 251 |

Preface

This factual work should not be perceived as a lament or an expression of self-pity; rather, it concerns a country, Sweden.

“A nation of Charlatans³”

Consider the statements as a hypothesis during your reading, but answer the question that appears on the last page of this factual work.

The rest is up to your perception of honor, morality, ethics, and the sense of justice – the very qualities that distinguish humanity from the animal kingdom.

³) Charlatan – A fraud, swindler who deceive others, by pretending to be an expert in a certain field.

Presentation

This factual work is intended to function both as a historical account and an examination of how legal and institutional mechanisms are used as tools in the ongoing persecution and oppression of Muslims in Sweden.

The aim is not only to highlight individual cases but also to contribute to a broader, societal discussion on the state of legal security for Muslims in Sweden. By following the legal process all the way to the European Court of Justice, not only are systematic flaws in the judiciary highlighted, but also the underlying mechanisms that may contribute to these wrongdoings.

The factual work “*Prime Minister on Trial*” is planned, with the purpose of documenting and spreading knowledge about the reality that Muslims face in Sweden. The work aims to provide a detailed insight into the challenges and injustices in Sweden that characterize this reality, with particular focus on the role and significance of children in Muslim family life, as they not only represent the future of the families but also become targets in a broader societal context where their rights and safety are often threatened.

This discussion is not only important for those directly affected, but crucial for maintaining public trust in the legal system. By exposing concrete examples of injustices, the importance of defending the fundamental principles of justice and democracy are underscored – principles that should never be compromised, regardless of the groups or individuals they affect.

The documentation also strives to serve as a source of strength and guidance for those struggling to find their voice in an overwhelming situation. Creating a more just and inclusive society requires those affected to dare to stand up, but also for those who witness injustices to engage and demand change.

To create change and raise awareness about the importance of truth, justice, and legal certainty, it requires not only courage but also a carefully considered precision in the work being done. The documents in this factual literature contain traceable information that can be compared with the originals at the respective authorities.

The concrete evidence and testimonies shared not only help increase understanding of the issue but also provide the necessary transparency that can lead to political and legal actions. By publicly highlighting these issues, an opportunity is created for society to confront and challenge the systematic injustices that many Muslims, particularly children, are subject to in Sweden.

Original documentation, extensive correspondence, and testimonies are presented in full, verbatim, and without interpretation, allowing the audience to analyze the material themselves. Through this method, a unique insight into the legal and human dynamics surrounding the case is offered, giving each listener the opportunity to draw their own conclusions based on unaltered sources.

There is a possibility that some of these are not merely the result of unconscious structural errors or inefficiencies, but may in fact be part of a deliberate strategy directed against the Muslim population in Europe. This aspect is thoroughly examined by presenting verbatim correspondence, legal documents, and other relevant evidence.

The documentation is free from interpretations or speculations, meaning that every word, every decision, and every legal action is left unchanged, allowing the audience to analyze and draw their own conclusions.

In this way, an independent assessment is made possible to determine whether the identified flaws are of a systematic nature or indicate a more intentional targeting of specific groups, particularly the Muslim population. The careful presentation is intended to highlight not only the legal aspects of the process, but also the broader societal and political implications that the issue carries.

This work also aims to inspire a film production that can further highlight and dramatize these serious issues. Such a production would not only deepen the understanding of the problems but also raise global awareness about Sweden, and give a voice to those who might otherwise not be heard. But the path to this is far from simple, and there are many dangers and obstacles along the way in Sweden as any other dictator governance.

Examining and documenting the injustices that are committed, especially in areas involving sensitive issues such as the *Forced Conversion of Muslim Children in Sweden*⁴, is a task that not only involves revealing facts but also navigating risks and challenges. This requires a deep understanding of both the legal aspects and the human consequences of the actions being investigated.

Despite these dangers, it is crucial that the work continues, to ensure that these injustices do not remain invisible but are confronted and addressed in an effective manner.

⁴) Forced Conversion of Muslim Children in Sweden

<https://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/Tvangs-En-2024.pdf>

The Swedish National Courts Administration⁵

Sweden's judiciary participates in international efforts to strengthen legal systems in other countries. Swedish judges and experts contribute to legal development and peace – building initiatives.



 [Lyssna på sidan](#)

Arbeta internationellt

Tillsammans med andra är Sveriges Domstolar del av ett långsiktigt internationellt utvecklingssamarbete som bidrar till att bygga upp och utveckla andra länders rättsväsende. Vi deltar också i olika fredsfrämjande insatser. Vill du bidra med din kompetens i det arbetet?

Sveriges Domstolars medarbetare deltar aktivt i internationellt fredsfrämjande arbete och olika former av internationellt utvecklingssamarbete. För närvarande deltar vår personal i insatser i bland annat Albanien, Bosnien och Hercegovina och Armenien.

För att få ett utlandsuppdrag är det viktigt att du har yrkeserfarenhet, men vi lägger också stor vikt vid dina personliga egenskaper. Framförallt är det ordinarie domare som utför uppdrag i den internationella verksamheten men vi anlitat också personal från andra personalkategorier i arbetet.

Domstolsverket har en så kallad expertbas och de flesta av uppdragen går till medarbetare som ingår i den. Syftet med expertbasen är att med relativt kort varsel kunna tillhandahålla kvalitetssäkrad personal till internationella insatser av olika slag. Det är också vanligt att vi på Sveriges Domstolar tar emot studiebesök från andra länder.

[Sveriges Domstolars internationella biståndsverksamhet →](#)

Om Sveriges Domstolar

Uppdaterad
2022-09-23

⁵⁾ <https://www.domstol.se/jobba-hos-oss/att-jobba-i-sveriges-domstolar/kompetensutveckling/arbeta-internationellt/>

Swedish Courts

”Charlatans” is the most accurate adjective that can be used for the Swedish global deception, and this factual work answers questions about, **Why** and **How**.

Educating judges in other countries⁶ is a powerful diplomatic and ideological action.

The fact that other nations ask Sweden for education in legal matters sends the message that Sweden is not only a role model but also a guarantor of the rule of law as a global principle.

Sweden's role as an international role model in rule of law, is both prestigious and demanding.

When Swedish judges and experts are sent to countries such as Georgia, Azerbaijan, Armenia, Palestine, Albania, and Bosnia-Herzegovina to train and support the development of legal systems (with a focus on court organization, equality, and communication), it is based on an image of Sweden as a leading rule of law country with high ethical and legal standards.

It also implies a silent agreement that Sweden itself live up to the principles it teaches, such as legal certainty, impartiality, and respect for human rights.

It is implied that Sweden;

- Follows its own laws and principles without exception, especially in cases involving vulnerable groups or controversial legal matters.
- Shows transparency and self-criticism in the face of internal challenges, demonstrating a willingness to improve and learn from its own mistakes.
- Avoids signaling that specific groups or individuals are treated differently by the legal system, as this can be interpreted as institutional bias or discrimination.

If it turns out, through a factual work, that Sweden does NOT adhere to these principles at home – such as by failing to handle political cases properly or by systematically disregarding the rights of Muslims – it could lead to, for example, a loss of credibility internationally, undeniable perception of double standards, and hypocrisy, which will inevitably affect other countries' willingness to reform when they realize that Sweden does not consistently follow the laws it preaches.

⁶) Swedish teaches foreign judges

<https://www.domstol.se/jobba-hos-oss/att-jobba-i-sveriges-domstolar/kompetensutveckling/arbete-internationalt/>

Sweden's role as an international model, teaching judges from other countries, makes it even more crucial to demonstrate that our own standards hold up in practice. If these standards are not consistently upheld domestically, it opens the door to justified criticism of hypocrisy or double standards.

Thus, factual works that expose what is truly happening in Sweden to Muslim children and families are particularly sharp, as they challenge not only the system but also Sweden's international reputation – a direct link between the national judiciary and the country's global credibility.

Now, think for just a second;

- What if Sweden does NOT adhere to these principles at home?
- What if Sweden does NOT adhere to these principles at home through a systematic lack of handling cases related to Muslims?
- What if Sweden does NOT adhere to these principles at home by systematically disregarding the rights of Muslims?

What signals do readers think countries receive? What do you think other countries will think about Swedish judiciary? How will this affect Swedish judges and lawyers, Swedish experts on legal issues in The Hague, at the ICC, and the EU Court? What will happen to Swedish mediators at the United Nations? How will Swedish volunteers be affected internationally? And what will happen to all Swedish human rights activists and organizations?


The author's approach challenges the Swedish legal system to uphold its principles – because it is precisely in such tests that its real strength and credibility are revealed. Yet, appearances can be deceiving.

Perhaps this verdict now takes on meaning for you, as the introduction to this literary work – a work that systematically exposes, to say the least, Swedish double standards, which the author at this point in reality, calls Swedish “Charlatanism”.

Södertörns District Court, case number T 11352 – 17

“Isa Musavi has recently published two books, sent emails to the district court, various authorities, and news editorial offices (offense) ... in light of this, the district court finds it currently inappropriate for the children to have contact with Isa Musavi (punishment) ... Isa Musavi have to consider take a step back (submitting – capitulating) ... finding a more constructive approach (cease writing) to attempt to resolve the situation (renounce political stance)!”

Judge Daniel Erikson, September 27, 2017



With the verdict, “*political child hostages*” became an undeniable fact in Sweden.

Sweden is the first country in the world where the regime kidnaps writers' children to silence the writer's pen.

“A political verdict, extortion of a Swedish-Muslim author into silence, due to the book “Forced Conversion of Muslim Children in Sweden”⁷, through the hostage-taking of the author's children”.

⁷) Forced Conversion of Muslim Children in Sweden

<https://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/Tvangs-En-2024.pdf>

Undeniable Fact in Sweden; **Hostage Children;** *punishment for a Swedish–Muslim author.*

Je suis Kimiya Je suis Kiyana



**Hostage
due to the
books
I've
published
in
SWEDEN**



Victims of Expression in SWEDEN

Reality always catches up, whether it concerns a single individual or an entire nation. And when it does, the verdict is mercilessly harsh – like the hypocrisy behind the jackals of the Nobel Prizes in Literature and Peace, awarded based on political agendas rather than genuine merit, while Swedes themselves hold two children hostage due to their father's literary work "*Forced Conversion of Muslim Children in Sweden*"⁸ compiled in 2016.

Swedish Courts⁹ actively participate in international collaboration and development efforts to strengthen the rule of law and judicial systems globally. This includes projects in countries such as Bosnia-Herzegovina, Albania, and Palestine.

The work involves sharing Swedish experiences and expertise, focusing on areas like gender equality, judicial reform, and transparency. Through a specialized team of experts, Swedish judges engage in training foreign judges, organizing study visits, and supporting the development of legal systems. The aim is to contribute to global legal development while gaining insights applicable in Sweden.

⁸) <https://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/Tvangs-En-2024.pdf>

⁹) Södertörns District Court <https://www.domarbloggen.se/sveriges-domstolars-internationella-arbete/>

In Sweden, Södertörn District Court has been entrusted with the prestigious task of educating judges from other nations in areas like gender equality, judicial reform, and transparency. Södertörn District Court also hosts international study visits from judges seeking knowledge and inspiration to improve their own legal systems.



Note that Södertörn District Court is the very same court that ordered to hold the author's children hostage – a verdict you can read about on page X 8 X – as punishment for the father's mistaken belief that he lived in a democratic country when he published his factual works in Sweden.

If you need an even clearer example of how “appearances deceive”, here it is!

The private prosecution against Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, for crimes the Public Prosecutor's Office is obligated to report, investigate, and prosecute, proves beyond all reasonable doubt that such words like equality, transparency and legal security are nothing but hollow promises, meant for international deception.

“A nation of Charlatans”

I leave the outcome of this private prosecution to you, the readers, to make your own evaluation. As a catalyst for this reflection, I present documentation traceable to the relevant authorities, alongside the laws and regulations supporting the offenses raised in the prosecution against Ulf Kristersson, Sweden's Prime Minister.

The emails I mention with the date and time, are registered at the office of the Speaker of the Swedish Parliament, who, as the head of the parliament and former chairman

of the Committee on the Constitution in 2016, was already aware of the deeply unjust suffering inflicted on my children, solely for being the children of an author who dared to expose uncomfortable truths about Sweden and Swedes. This suffering, documented by institutions that should serve as timeless guardians of justice and human rights, is traceable and accessible to foreign and domestic researchers, journalists, filmmakers, and others – anytime.

It is then up to each of you to judge the case based on your values of honesty, ethics, and morality, in accordance with both national laws and international obligations, regardless of where in the world you are.

It is also important to note that for these crimes, the Public Prosecutor's Office receives an annual budget to advocate for the rule of law. Meanwhile, in the absence of a duty to prosecute, Muslims are forced to pay hundreds of thousands of kronas in fees and other costs to pursue a case where they “KNOW” to the 100% that their statutory rights will not be respected or upheld in Sweden. This leads to a deeply unjust situation where justice is denied to those who truly need it.

And yet, Swedish Courts want to educate other nations on how to ensure the rule of law and fair legal processes. This hypocrisy is both alarming and deeply problematic for future generations.

Challenging the judiciary's objectivity is a sharp and deliberate test of the system. By focusing on the judge's actions and potential reactions behind closed doors, the author raises questions about legal certainty and judicial integrity in Sweden. This is a crucial and powerful way to highlight contradictions in the Swedish judicial system, particularly when the aim is to expose systemic shortcomings and institutionalized hatred against Muslims in Sweden, which may have broader societal implications.

One might ask what the purpose of this is. Why even care? If this turns out to be true, what are the underlying factors behind the Swedes' actions? To preach one thing but act in a completely different way?

The answer is; “Time bombs, blackmail, if you care about your independence”, both as an individual, family, and nation.

It becomes clearer when one realizes what is happening behind the scenes. Sweden, often portrayed as an international role model, implements laws in other countries that it does not apply within its own borders. When these nations do not follow the Swedish directives, the opposition is praised – often with financial support from the West, especially Sweden – and media campaigns are orchestrated with the help of state–

controlled Swedish media influenced by Swedish interests. The result is that these countries become destabilized, while the world is misled into believing that Sweden as a role model practices what it preaches.

Imagine a naïve author exposing how Sweden implements laws in other countries like time bombs, while the Swedes themselves do not follow these laws, but gives the impression of it.

A current example is the situation in Georgia now, in 2024. Sweden supports the opposition in Georgia to oppose a law, which ironically has an equivalent in Sweden since 2023.

However, the Swedish version of the law was implemented with little attention from either the media or human rights organizations. At the same time, the law is already being used in Sweden against individuals deemed unsuitable or potentially harmful to the country's future generations. In Georgia, on the other hand, Sweden has invested 11,000,000 million kronor in various NGOs in 2024 to mobilize the Georgian people against a law that has essentially already been imposed on the Swedish population.

For a more detailed account of the situation in Georgia, the reader is referred to the factual work¹⁰, pages 214–219 (with reference to Swedish law on page 217).

Why strive to destabilize nations that do not submit to the Swedish elite's agenda? Who exactly constitutes this Swedish elite? Are they the ones the people choose during election years? Is it really the people's will that these so-called elected representatives promote?

Without going too deep into the subject, here are a few examples to highlight issues that directly affect the nuclear family – a fundamental institution in many societies, cultures, and religions;

- What happens when Sweden advocates for same-sex marriage and HBTQ rights, while several EU member countries, Russia, Afghanistan, and many other nations do not accept this due to their social structure, culture, or religion?
- What happens when other countries only recognize two genders and ban surgical interventions on genitalia for children under 18?
- What happens when many, including some Swedes, see abortion as taking the life of a completely defenseless fetus and consider it murder?

Why are Swedes so focused on undermining the nuclear family?

¹⁰) "Sweden, the jewish governance against Muslims"
<https://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/Staten-En.pdf>

<https://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/Staten-En.pdf>

When a nation refuses to submit to the interpretation of human rights imposed by Sweden, a massive influence campaign is orchestrated, supported by Sweden's good international reputation – a reputation that has been built over decades without revealing the true face of the Swedes and their real agenda.



Certainly, “Elinor Hammar skjöld” will not be the last one of Swedish charlatans¹¹ at the United Nations, a person (former Swedish ambassador to Tel Aviv) who has recently been appointed as the Deputy Secretary-General of the United Nations and its legal advisor!!!

Under Swedish cover, jews place their pieces at the United Nations.

This same Sweden, despite this double standard, enjoys an undeserved respect globally and holds a privileged position within the UN. Ironically, the UN defends the nuclear family in its original charter, while Sweden, leaning on its well-established reputation, pushes for changes and presses on through the UN that contradict the traditions, social structures, and religious values of many member countries.

¹¹) The true role of Sweden and Swedish representatives can clearly be observed by comparing the author's case with that of another person on page XXXXXXXXXX, leading to a genuine understanding of Swedes.

The question is why doesn't Sweden place the same focus and effort on encouraging other European nations to ban sex trafficking and combat sex slavery, which generates tax revenue? Why not prioritize putting an end to sex trafficking and the exploitation of women's bodies, instead of pressuring other countries to accept same-sex marriage?

After all, sex trafficking is abhorrent to most people around the world, just like same-sex intimacy was once completely rejected by the Jewish prophet, Lot in Sodom and Gomorrah, 4,000 years ago.

These time bombs explode when a nation opposes social decay. They also detonate when a country values human traits that distinguish humanity from the animal kingdom – values such as ethics, morals, honor, honesty, speaking the truth, protecting the weak, protecting children, including fetuses – in short, everything that makes us human.

Now you surely understand why the judge issued this ruling: Children held hostage for exposing hypocrisy and double standards. An exposure that severely damaged Sweden's and the Swedes' credibility on the international stage. A hostage situation that the Supreme Court also approved without applying the laws that the Swedes themselves impose on other nations under the guise of human rights and children's rights when authors from other nations expose misconduct within the state.

Södertörns District Court, case number MUFT 11352 – 17

“Isa Musavi has recently published two books, sent emails to the district court, various authorities, and news editorial offices (offense) ... in light of this, the district court finds it currently inappropriate for the children to have contact with Isa Musavi (punishment) ... Isa Musavi have to consider take a step back (submitting – capitulating) ... finding a more constructive approach (cease writing) to attempt to resolve the situation (renounce political stance)!”

Judge Daniel Erikson, September 27, 2017

If this ruling had been issued in a country outside of Sweden's allies, the author would have already received the Nobel Prize in both Literature and Peace in 2017.

The international laws on human rights and children's rights are grossly abused by Swedes who preach these values but do not apply them themselves. This is used as a leverage to force the recognition of laws such as same-sex marriage. What will happen now when the world finds out that the Swedes themselves do not live up to what they demand from others?

This ruling alone is enough to understand how deceptive Sweden and the Swedes are. It also shows how these hidden mechanisms, time bombs, work against disobedient nations, while Sweden remains “immune” – because no one believes that the Swedes do not live up to what they preach.

Södertörns District Court, case number MUFT 11352 – 17

“Isa Musavi has recently published two books, sent emails to the district court, various authorities, and news editorial offices (offense) ... in light of this, the district court finds it currently inappropriate for the children to have contact with Isa Musavi (punishment) ... Isa Musavi have to consider take a step back (submitting – capitulating) ... finding a more constructive approach (cease writing) to attempt to resolve the situation (renounce political stance!)”

Judge Daniel Erikson, September 27, 2017



Judge, Daniel Eriksson
Södertörns District Court



Chapter One

Plan for a terror

In the first chapter, a detailed account is provided of the background that led to the decision to file a lawsuit against Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden. The description covers a series of events and circumstances that not only highlight the legal grounds for the lawsuit but also the social and political factors that played a decisive role in the process.

Information often reaches the author nowadays, and this seems to have become a natural consequence of the situation he finds himself in at this stage of his life.

The public attention and controversy surrounding the author's engagement for justice have caused people, in various ways, to seek him out to share their stories, experiences, or insights. It's as if the role he have taken on has become a kind of magnet for things that would otherwise remain unsaid or hidden. This flow of information carries with it both opportunities and a heavy responsibility.

It is no exaggeration to state that the author are under constant surveillance and that his electronic devices are being closely scrutinized.

The fact that the author are still free and that the Swedish regime attempts to neutralize him covertly is, in itself, proof that he have never, in any way, engaged in activities that violate any laws. This is a reality that needs to be highlighted, not ignored.

The Swedish people's fear of their own government is a sentiment that seems to have grown stronger over time, a fear that is rarely expressed openly but is often noticeable between the lines in the conversations the author have with people.

Combined with the fact that the author's children are already held hostage in Sweden, a particular sense of solidarity and empathy is created among those he meet. It's as if his situation functions as a mirror, reflecting their own fears and frustrations.

This shared experience of vulnerability seems to open doors to confidences that would never have been given otherwise. People dare to speak about things they had previously kept to themselves, out of fear of the system, but now they feel a kind of trust in the author and the demand for justice that he represent.

The author, for his part, are fully aware of the great responsibility that comes with people sharing their information with him. Therefore, he must be extremely careful in how he handle what he learn.

Protecting both the informant's and the accused person's identity is of the highest priority – their safety must never be jeopardized by anything the author say or do. At the same time, he need to protect himself, as threats come not only from open system related aggressions but also from more subtle and harder-to-detect sources.

Intelligence services that lay traps to compromise the author are a constant reality, and the same applies to the presence of foreign agents interested in using author's situation for their own purposes. Authors' international statements have attracted attention in ways that sometimes feel as frightening as they are unpredictable, making caution the author's most important ally.

In order to protect himself legally and ensure that he is not guilty of crimes such as defamation or other unlawful acts, the author are careful to only reveal information that he can either verify or reasonably know comes from a reliable source.

The author chooses his words and actions with precision, especially when it comes to sensitive information, such as plans for terrorism involving individuals connected to the Swedish parliament.

Considering various factors and out of respect for the affected parties, the author do not delve into details but let the documentation speak for itself. In this way, the author tries to strike a balance between enlightening and informing, without compromising the principles of legal certainty or the safety of any individual.

Given the seriousness of the situation and the urgent nature of revealing plans involving acts of terror and the murder of specific individuals, the author decided to inform the Speaker of the Parliament. The author notified him of the information he could disclose without risking saying too much and explained the potential threats these plans could pose.

In Sweden, several individuals, Members of Parliament belonging to parties such as the Sweden Democrats, Liberals, Christian Democrats, Moderates, and the Centre Party have become known for publicly making degrading and generalized statements about Islam and Muslims. These statements have, in many cases, been marked by extreme hatred toward Iran as a Muslim country, fueling a polarized debate, when criticism is directed at Iran, it is often difficult to ignore that criticism of Islam is subtly interwoven.

There was a troubling combination of factors that could be exploited by various actors. Some individuals had taken a clear stance against Iran, which opened up the possibility of using these individuals as pawns in a larger jewish game.

Such a scenario could involve removing these Swedish Members of Parliament from the equation to seemingly soften Swedish hostile attitudes toward Iran on the international stage, while simultaneously portraying Iran as responsible for the murder of Swedish Members of Parliament. This could reinforce the narrative of Iran as a terrorist state while maintaining hatred toward Islam through other rhetorical ways.

In light of this complex situation, the author deemed it necessary to take action and inform the responsible authorities. Therefore, the author contacted the Speaker of the Parliament via email and shared the information he had about the plans.

The email is dated Thursday, October 3, 2024, at 9:54 AM, and is available in the Parliament's archives for those who wish to review it.

“Hi Andreas,

I am writing to you as the Speaker of Parliament, as you hold a central responsibility for ensuring the safety of citizens and the country.

I has come to my attention that the Jewish community is planning attacks on individuals both in Sweden and Europe.

I have access to a list of persons who have been identified as targets for elimination in Sweden, and I am one of them due to the documents I have provided to world leaders and statements I have made on social media.

What compels me to urgently report this imminent threat to Parliament is the immediate danger of murder directed at my children, who are mentioned on this list as a form of pressure against me.

I demand immediate and continuous protection for my children.

Stockholm, October 3, 2024

Seyed Isa Musavi”



Andreas Norlén
The Speaker of the Swedish Parliament

Four days later, the author was once again compelled to write to Andreas Norlén, the Speaker of the Swedish Parliament. The situation had taken a dramatic turn. The plan, which the author had previously exposed, was put on hold. Good news, but the messenger – the person who merely conveyed the information – had become the target. It was as if an invisible shot had been fired behind the scenes, and someone had to bear the blame for it.

Mail, Monday October 7, 2024 at 12:36

“To Andreas Norlén, Speaker of the Swedish Parliament

On October 3, 2024, I informed you, in your role as Speaker, about a terrorist plan, devised within the Jewish community, to assassinate Swedish Members of Parliament as well as Swedish representatives in the Council of Europe. See Appendix 1 for details.

The following day, on October 4, 2024, I received a notification letter without being informed about the nature of the case or the alleged crime. See Appendix 2.

On the same day, October 4, I also received a decision regarding the confiscation of my salary (see Appendix 3) for two months, similar to an incident in 2022, which I have already disclosed to the world. Refer to Appendix 4, pages 252–273¹².

Instead of summoning me to a hearing in Parliament regarding my disclosure and ensuring the protection of my children and the Swedish Members of Parliament, you have chosen to act in a manner that violates national laws and Sweden's international commitments.

World leaders are now informed about the terror threat in Sweden. Your failure to address the terror threat and the enforced starvation through confiscation of my salary, via a company associated with the former Minister for Employment and current Minister for Education, Johan Pehrson, makes you complicit in both physical harm and torture through starvation.

You are also responsible for the safety of my children, who are held hostage by the state due to my non-fiction works, published since 2016. This is something you are well aware of from your time as chairman of the Committee on the Constitution.

My revelations are like Iranian wine; the older, the better.

Stockholm, October 7, 2024

Seyed Isa Musavi”

¹²) Sweden, the jewish governance against Muslims

<http://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/Staten-En.pdf>

Igår avslöjade jag en judisc kemploitt att mörda Sv. riledags ledamöter samt representanter i EU-rådets idag i brevlåda

Stockholm Sted
? Delgiven Vad?

Avisering om delgivning av handling

Delgivningsmottagare Svenska 

Datum 4/10 KI 

Vi har sökt dig i bostaden ☐ På arbetsplatsen ☐ Företagets adress ☐

Vänligen kontakta mig snarast på mobilnumret →

Delgivningsbyrån DeltraKravek AB
Sune Karlsson
Godkänd delgivningsman
Tel. 0720-28 69 01

Ej godkänd i brevlåda

Delgivning med delgivningsman bör ske i bostaden, på arbetsplats eller enligt överenskommelse. Vi vill även informera Er om att delgivning kan komma att ske genom kungörelse, spikning eller surrogatdelgivning om Ni inte hör av er.

Delgivningsbyrån DeltraKravek AB
Box 738
120 02 Årsta

Tel
08-40935600
delgivningsbyran@deltrakravek.se

Organisations nr
556752-3211
www.deltrakravek.se

För behandling av personuppgifter se www.deltrakravek.se

On October 4, 2024, notification letter

ARBETSFÖRMEDELINGEN
SWEDISH PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SERVICE
Enheten Ersättningsprövning
FE 8175
107 67 Stockholm

ÄrendeID SAN20242207096
Datum 2024-10-04
Isa Musavi
SOCKENVÄGEN 366 LGH 1202
12263 ENSKEDE

Preliminärt beslut

Arbetsförmedlingen har tagit ett preliminärt beslut om att stänga av dig från ersättning i 45 dagar från och med den 18 september 2024.

Ett preliminärt beslut innebär att det gäller fram till dess att Arbetsförmedlingen fattar ett slutgiltigt beslut.

Arbetsförmedlingen har informerat Försäkringskassan om det preliminära beslutet.

Motivering till beslutet

Arbetsförmedlingen beslutar preliminärt om att stänga av dig från ersättning i 45 dagar från och med den 18 september 2024 på grund av att du inte har deltagit i insats, aktivitet eller annan arbetsmarknadspolitisk åtgärd inom ditt program.

Den 18 september 2024 skulle du ha deltagit i rusta och matcha 2 hos AB Coachgruppen Strömbergs. Enligt de uppgifter vi har fått från AB Coachgruppen Strömbergs i avvikelserapport daterad den 18 september 2024 har du inte deltagit i din aktivitet enligt schema och meddelat att du glömt bort tiden som förhinder.

När du deltar i ett program ska du sköta ditt arbetssökande. Det innebär bland annat att du ska följa din planering och delta enligt det schema som du fått av Arbetsförmedlingen eller de företag som Arbetsförmedlingen samarbetar med.

Arbetsförmedlingen har tidigare fattat fler än 4 beslut om varning eller avstängning från rätt till ersättning enligt 6 kap. 2 § förordningen (2017:819) om ersättning till deltagare i arbetsmarknadspolitiska insatser. Det har inte framkommit några uppgifter om att du därefter har uppfyllt ett arbetsvillkor. Du ska därför stängas av från rätten till ersättning i 45 dagar.

Finns det uppgifter som du vill komplettera med?

Innan vi fattar beslut har du möjlighet att komplettera med ytterligare uppgifter.

Har du den aktuella dagen exempelvis

Telefon: 0771-416416
Telefontid: Måndag - fredag klockan 09.00 - 12.00




arbetsformedingen.se/minasidor

On October 4, 2024, confiscation salary for two months

And who fit the role of scapegoat better than the author himself, who had laid his cards on the table and, without hiding behind any curtains, revealed the truth to the world through social media? It was a deliberate and cold-blooded move. By eliminating the messenger, they could both erase the traces and intimidate others into silence.

Four days later, the Swedish Taekwondo Association's contract for the office that the author had access to since 2012 was terminated!

 Stockholms
stad

Fästighetskontoret
Fästingsavdelningen

Sida 2 (2)

Ort/datum: 2019-09-27

För Stockholms kommun genom dess fästingsenimist

[Signature]

Stefan Arns Ove Gustafsson

Namn/företagsnamn

Oversatt om i godkännande uppgiften eller ej, ber vi att ni
omgående återändra ett underkastat exemplar av detta brev som
en bekräftelse på att ni mottagit denna uppgift.

Härmed bekräftar jag/vi har mottagit ett exemplar av denna
uppgift.

Ort/datum:

Hypoteksfirma/tecknare

Namn/företagsnamn

ver 2 2021

Despite the date stated on the termination notice, it was delivered to the office on October 11, 2024, and NO other date. Stockholm's property office, a state-owned company, has deliberately backdated the termination of Swedish Taekwondo Association's premises by two weeks prior to the submission date.

The purpose have been to circumvent the deadline for appealing the decision. The state's actions following the revelation of the Jewish terror plan for murder of Members of the Swedish Parliaments have been marked by several capricious measures. However, the author, by acting transparently and openly, has effectively countered these attempts. This included quickly scanning this particular maneuver, which he immediately shared with the public via social media.

The plan to terminate the premises has now been put on hold! Not a word since then.

The author's warning about the imminent Jewish act of terror could not simply be ignored – it had become a tool to turn the entire situation against him. The author was now the perfect target; vulnerable, exposed, and with a history already laden with controversy.

The fact that the author was still breathing was a reminder that the fight was not over – but the price of being a messenger of truth had become painfully evident.

The author takes some solace in witnessing how the nefarious plan ultimately unraveled, but the personal price he has paid – and continues to pay – remains unbearably high.

First and foremost, the fate and safety of his hostage children remain shrouded in uncertainty – a cruel torment that weighs heavily on his every waking moment.

Meanwhile, the author himself has been subjected to a systematic campaign of silent yet devastating persecution. This has included being pushed to the brink of famine, effectively confining him to house arrest without any formal court order. His ability to work has been obstructed at every turn, leaving him financially crippled.

The imposed hardships have steadily eroded his stability, and now the looming threat of homelessness hangs over him like a dark cloud.

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  Kronofogden Betalningsföreläggandenheten Kundservice Tel: 0771-73 73 00 | Föreläggande 2025-01-17 Gårde 01-46059-25 01-46059-25 |
| Kronofogdensyndikaten Box 744 801 28 Gävle | 01-46059-25 Isa Musavi Sockenvägen 366 Lgh 1202 12263 Enkede Sverige |
| Sökandens ombud Handläggare: Team 4 Vissa Arntli AB Box 1173 25111 Helsingborg, Sverige Telefon: 0771232400 Bankgiro/pluggiro: BG 5037-8256 | |
| Referensnummer: 29804409 | |
| Sökande 156560359555 Aktiefolaget Stockholmshem Box 9003 10271 Stockholm, Sverige | |
| Svarande [Redacted] Isa Musavi Sockenvägen 366 Lgh 1202 12263 Enkede Sverige | |
| Sökandens yrkande 1. Isa Musavi ska förpliktas Avgifta från ligheten år 01020125 om 2 rum och kök, 46 kv.m med adress Sockenvägen 366 Lgh 1202, 122 63 Enkede samt tillhörande förelid. Grunden för yrkandet Hyresrätten är förvärfvad på grund av obetalda förelidna hyror för december 2024, föreläggande 2024-11-29 (totalt 709 kr). Meddelande om uppsägning har skickats till socialnämnden. Se "UNDERÅRTÄLSE TILL BOSTADSHYRESGÄST" nedan. Om du inte flyttar kan du bli avhyrt (virk) på egen bekostnad. Detta föreläggande innebär att du är uppsagd. Om du har hyresskulder, har du möjlighet att få tillbaka | |
| www.kronofogden.se Servicenummer Ljudet E-gratishuset skytte@kronofogden.se | |



Kronofogden
 Beträdningsföreläggningsskatten
 Kundservice
 Tel: 0771-73 73 00

Föreläggning
 Datum
 2025-01-17
 Beslutsnummer
 01-46055 29

2 (3)

din hyreshyrt. Du måste da betala hyran inom tre vcekor från det att du har fått del av denna underrettelse. Se under rubriken **Föreläggning**.

2. Isa Musavi ska förläggas att till sökanden gea betala

| | |
|---------------------------------|------------|
| Kapitalbelopp | 700,00 SEK |
| Ränta beräknad t o m 2025-01-09 | 9,74 SEK |

Ränta på kapitalbeloppet 700 SEK tillkommer enligt 6 % räntelagen från 2025-01-09 tills du har betalat.

Fordrans förfallodag är 2024-11-29

Grundens förtydning

Hyra december 2024 för lägenhet med adress Söckenavägen 364, Enskade enligt fakturadatum 21190704. Fakturadatum 2024-11-05. 1 kapitalbeloppet ingår bredbandsavgift med 49 kr.

Kostnader

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Isa Musavi ska ersätta sökandens kostnader för | |
| Ansökningsavgift | 300,00 SEK |
| Ombud | 180,00 SEK |
| Inkassokrav utformat enligt 5 § inkassolagen, utskikt | 190,00 SEK |
| 2024-12-18 | 180,00 SEK |
| Summa kostnader | 670,00 SEK |
| Summa kapitalbelopp | 700,00 SEK |
| Summa ränta | 9,74 SEK |
| Totala summa* | 1 379,74 SEK |

***Observera**

Ränta tillkommer på kapitalbelopp och förseningsskattningen från till dess betalning sker. Innan du betalar, kontrollera med sökanden/ombudet har stor rätten är. Om du inte skickat bifogat delgivningskvittet till Kronofogden kan kostnader för delgivning tillkomma.

Förläggning

Da är du tillfälle att ytta dig över sökandens yttranden. Det eventuella beträdnings (din invändning) ska vara Kronofogden tillhanda 3 vcekor från det datum da skickat på delgivningskvittet (förläggning). För vidare upplysningar se information som medföljer detta föreläggande. Mer information finns också på www.kronofogden.se.

Application for eviction filed with the Enforcement Authority due to unpaid rent of 700 SEK for December 2024.

These relentless pressures serve not just as personal punishment but as a chilling warning to anyone who dares to stand against the tide and speak out. Despite the staggering toll, the author remains resolute, for he knows that the cost of silence is far greater than the suffering he endures.

The reasons for and how the situation developed in this way will be outlined for the readers under the heading “The Time after the Lawsuit” in the fourth chapter.

Once again, it is about fraud, oppression, and economic retaliation, now on October 4, 2024, just as it was on October 17, 2022!

What is remarkable about the confiscation of the income is the way the state acted three years ago, in 2022, when the author revealed “*Swedish judges rape Muslim women for favorable rulings*”.

Försäkringskassan
Kundcenter 0771-524 524

240679173756_2024-003008255
Datum: 2024-08-12
Betygsnummer: 1 (1)

Isa Musqol
Sockenvägen 366 Lgh 1202
122 63 Ernsköde

Hej!

Du får det här brevet då vi vill informera dig angående din ersättning. För att kunna få ersättning baserad på din a-kassa igen måste du uppfylla ett nytt arbetsvillkor hos din a-kassa.

Läs i rutan nedan vad du ska göra:

Jag uppfyller inte arbetsvillkoret i lagens mening, därmed ingår inte i detta villkor

Ersättning baserad på a-kassa – uppfyll arbetsvillkor

För att få ersättning baserad på din a-kassa igen behöver du uppfylla ett nytt arbetsvillkor. Skriv ut, fyll i och skicka in blanketten som heter **Begäran hos a-kassan om ingångande av arbetsvillkor** som du hittar på Arbetsförmedlingens hemsida. Arbetsförmedlingen.se

Skicka den till din a-kassa för att begära prövning av arbetsvillkoret.

Den senaste avslutningen är från och med den 17 oktober 2022.
Det datumet kan du ange på blanketten under frågan: "Datum för händelsen"

Om vi får information från a-kassan att du uppfyller ett nytt arbetsvillkor så kommer vi att kunna betala ut mer ersättning till dig per dag.

Försäkringskassan
Sara Odén

Adress: Försäkringskassans inlämningscentral, 639 86 Östersund
Webbplats: forsakringskassan.se

Kundcenter i telefon: 0771-524 524
Organisationsnummer: 2021003621

Sex månader efter utgivning av tankelösheten "Staten, det judiska samfundet mot muslimer (S.245), där världen studerade om svenska regimens metoder att i smyg påtvinga svält och husarrest på svenska muslimske författare, regimen försöker nu i hemlighet, genom detta dokument återställa de rättigheter jag alltid har haft rätt till."

17/8-2024
Isa M

Last time, it ended with the Swedish state attempting, after 22 months of starvation and house arrest without legal trial or a verdict, to quietly reintegrate the author into the system by directing compensation to go through the unemployment insurance fund (A-Kassan), as it did back then!

Last time, after a full 22 months of starvation, house arrest without legal trial or a ruling – a time when the author lacked the means to even buy transportation ticket and lived in constant financial agony and distress – the Swedish Social Insurance Agency (Försäkringskassan) sends a cold, bureaucratic message as shown in the documents. The author were asked to apply for compensation through the unemployment insurance fund and specify “*October 17, 2022*” as the date for meeting the work requirements. This, despite the fact that this date has already been the subject of a long and painful legal process, all the way up to the Supreme Administrative Court, where all attempts to obtain justice were denied.

Now it's a new round of fraud and oppression through economic retaliation, as shown by the documents on page 26 regarding wage confiscation.

This is nothing less than a farce, staged by those who are supposed to stand for justice!

Why this suffering? Why this systematic persecution of a person who merely defends law and order but is nonetheless forced to endure years of misery?

Now, with the private lawsuit against Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, it has become impossible to live a normal life, as every civil servant the author comes into contact with does everything but follow the laws governing their duties. Instead, they make life as unbearable as possible, aiming to break the author down and force him to withdraw the prosecution against Ulf Kristersson.

Under the heading “Chapter Four – The Period after the Private lawsuit” it will become clear how these actions have aimed to obstruct and complicate the legal process and the rule of law in Sweden for a Swedish-Muslim author.

Applying for political asylum through ambassadors may, if a country is willing to offer protection, provide some relief.

Countermeasure

As a countermeasure to the extensive persecution, the author took the initiative to apply for “*Political Asylum*”. The application included a specific request to remain in Sweden, referring to the prevailing situation and the threats faced by the author and his children, who are taken hostage.

By applying for political asylum at embassies, the author acted to protect both his own and his children's safety, while continuing to highlight the systematic abuses directed at the Muslim community in Sweden.

The author explained his decision to stay in Sweden by stating that he did not want to give up his commitment to Muslim families and children. He remained steadfast in his role as a voice for justice and equality, despite the risks involved.

The author never considered escaping oppression. He remains until his last breath.

“Your Excellency

I humbly reach out to request your assistance for political asylum.

I hereby apply for political asylum without leaving Sweden, as outlined in my email below. I hope you will consider my request and provide me with protection in line with international agreements. Being granted political asylum would offer crucial safety and security amidst the ongoing oppression I face in Sweden.

For years, I have been subjected to severe persecution due to my advocacy for the rights of Muslim children and my publications exposing institutionalized hatred and systemic discrimination against Muslims in Sweden. My efforts have led to reprisals.

In October 2024, I filed a lawsuit against Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, for violations of international law (Crimes against Humanity).

This has resulted in a coordinated campaign of harassment by Swedish authorities, now by civil servant Johannes Petermann. His unlawful actions include decisions that forced me into starvation, effectively place me under house arrest Without a court order, blocking my ability to work, and imposing financial hardships that will soon leave me homeless.

My situation is critical. I have endured starvation, financial ruin, and systematic state-imposed isolation. My ability to advocate for justice has been hindered. I face imminent homelessness and threats to my life, yet I refuse to leave Sweden to ensure the truth about its treatment of dissenters is exposed.

I meet all the requirements under international law to be granted political asylum. My case highlights the hypocrisy of Sweden's human rights rhetoric, which often portrays itself as a global champion of justice while silencing its own dissidents into death with a deceptive smoothness as you witness.

Granting me political asylum without leaving Sweden would send a powerful message:

- *It ensures my safety while I continue to advocate for justice.*
- *It exposes the double standards of Sweden's international image.*
- *It demonstrates a commitment to protecting individuals who stand against systemic oppression.*

Please review (<http://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/B19040-24-En-Web.pdf>) for detailed evidence of my claims. Your understanding and intervention are crucial to ensuring my survival and continued advocacy for justice in Sweden.

I always send a copy to the Speaker of the Parliament in Sweden, to avoid any accusations of espionage; I am merely an author who in the absence of a Nobel Prize institute, is in need of being granted political asylum.

Yours respectfully

*Seyed Isa Musavi
Stockholm, January 15, 2025”*

To whom it may concern!

The author is looking for someone who can install cameras in his apartment in Stockholm to allow the world to witness silky torture in Sweden. The subtle form of torture without a legal conviction – lacking access to food, where the body slowly weakens, until it shuts down, vision deteriorates, and bodily functions gradually cease – solely as a result of the documents the author share with the world about Sweden.

To gain a clear understanding of the background, it is recommended to study pages 252–273¹³ and ensure that everything is supported by proper documentation. The fact that Swedes restrict the flow of information is no excuse to remain silent and passive.

After a lawsuit, 2024, against Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, for violations of international law (Crimes against Humanity), the author have been subjected to a new phase of enforced starvation, effectively placed him under house arrest Without a court order, blocking his ability to work, and imposing financial hardships that will soon leave him homeless.

This is not a reconstruction or speculation, but the reality the author want to share with the world.

Anyone who dares to take on this mission – to install cameras, streaming and ensure the material is shared via social media – will not only contribute to making the truth visible but also build a strong reputation as a courageous advocate for human rights. This commitment could be invaluable in the person's future work for justice and humanity.

Before the body shuts down, we have approximately four days to use wisely, in order to reach millions, perhaps billions, of curious viewers and draw their attention to what is happening in Sweden, the homeland of the Nobel Prize, celebrated for its humanitarian image but where much remains hidden in the backstage.

This is a unique opportunity with the potential to create global awareness. The author hope to find someone who is both willing and capable of managing this potential in the best possible way, for the benefit of our faith in humanity and justice.

Even the most cynical person might want to witness the bodily breakdown of a human being, but the author calculating coldly that the potential is significant in Sweden.

¹³) Sweden, the jewish governance against Muslims

<http://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/Staten-En.pdf>

The lawsuit!

All this resulted in the author taking the initiative to file a lawsuit against Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, on October 17, 2024.

The prosecution concerns several serious allegations, including violations of international law (Crimes against Humanity), the hostage-taking of the author's children, and the murder of a Muslim man in custody.

The author bases the prosecution on the fact that the ultimate responsibility for the actions and decisions of the entire governing body lies with the Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson.

Despite repeated messages supported by investigations from the police and prosecutors, as well as statements from the courts, Ulf Kristersson has “Chosen” to ignore these serious warnings and evidence.

Ulf Kristersson’s passivity and “unwillingness” to act on these issues, which solely affect Muslims and Muslim families, can only be interpreted as state approval of these crimes.

By failing to take necessary measures, Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, has not only neglected to uphold the rule of law but also legitimized actions that violate both national and international laws.



Chapter Two

In the second chapter, the author presents the email correspondence with the Stockholm District Court up until the final decision, allowing you to follow and assess the situation based on your own perception of right and wrong, legal and illegal, moral and immoral – the distinction between humanity and other creatures.

Throughout this reading, it is essential to bear in mind that the offenses discussed in this work are of a general nature. In every state, civilization, governed by the rule of law, the ultimate responsibility lies with the state to act as the guarantor of legal order by initiating reports, allowing competent authorities to conduct impartial investigations, bringing charges through the prosecution service, and ensuring that a fair trial takes place in court.

Such offenses are also governed by international legal commitments obliging states to uphold equality before the law and to ensure its application without discrimination, regardless of cultural, religious, or ethnic background.

It is important to note that this factual work does not concern disputes between individuals but rather actions defined as crimes under applicable law.

My right to free expression, exercised without violating the law, was paradoxically set against the establishment's desire to preserve its power. This conflict was reflected in the court's decision, which not only neglected the rule of law's demands for impartiality but also openly transformed actions such as publishing books and engaging in societal issues into alleged crimes.

As stated in the reasoning of Södertörn District Court's judgment (case number T 11352-17), where the publication of two books is described as "crimes", and emails to legal and societal institutions are cited as grounds to restrict my contact with my children, a picture of abuse of power under the guise of the rule of law emerges.

That this is used to justify curtailing authors' fundamental rights and further suggests that the author should "*consider taking a step back*" from his societal engagement is nothing less than an attack on the core values of democracy.

It is impossible to overlook the fact that the fundamental principles of the rule of law have been disregarded in Sweden for nine years, during which neither a report were allowed to initiate nor a legal process commenced in accordance with the law.

In contrast, we witness the daily Quran burnings in Sweden, where the Muslim faith is persecuted in the most severe way – despite the constitutional right to freedom of religion.

In this act, members of parliament and public officials align themselves with the oppression and persecution of Muslims, something that can only be described as a deliberate war against Islam. The most serious aspect is that these actors wield the power to obstruct the pursuit of justice according to existing laws and Sweden's international commitments.

Every attempt to report violations of the constitution, persecution of Muslims, and outright inquisitorial actions turns into a tragic spectacle where justice is ridiculed and denied.

This compelled the author to take an extraordinary step by establishing an email correspondence with the district court of Stockholm, directly with the chief judge, to ensure that a private lawsuit would even be considered – a right that, by law, cannot be denied.

Prime Minister on Trial

- Stockholm District Court

Special Cases

The Stockholm District Court serves as the exclusive or subsidiary forum under 30 different statutes, meaning it is either the only court in the country authorized to decide on specific cases or matters or the only court to turn to if no other court has jurisdiction. These cases and matters are distributed among the divisions based on areas of law.

Stockholm District Court – Division 4¹⁴ – International criminal cases, maritime criminal and civil cases, as well as freedom of the press criminal and civil cases.

After paying the indictment fee, the author wrote to the Chief Judge at the Stockholm District Court and urged careful consideration, urging them to carefully weigh the choice of words in the ongoing process.

The email was also sent to the Speaker of the Swedish Parliament, as well as to the Director-General of the Swedish Court Administration, Thomas Rolén, for their information.

Friday, 2024-11-01, at 14:10

- To the Chief Judge John Carl Göran Lundahl, Stockholm District Court



Johan Carl Göran Lundahl
Chief Judge of the Stockholm District Court 2024 –

Sir,

¹⁴) Stockholm District Court – Division 4

<https://www.domstol.se/stockholms-tingsratt/om-tingsratten/organisation/var-verksamhet/>

I have been reminded several times by the Speaker's kind staff that "*the Speaker does not assist private person*". My response to this has always been equally clichéd; that I have "*never*" asked for such help.

However, as a citizen – and not as a private person, as the Speaker incorrectly portrays – I have a statutory right to communicate with the one leading the parliamentary and representative work of the Swedish Parliament. An institution where, according to Chapter 1, Section 1 of the Instrument of Government, "*All public power in Sweden originates from the people.*"

It is therefore my expectation that the Speaker, as the leader of this institution, will work to ensure that the law's equal treatment of all Swedish citizens is upheld – regardless of religion, race, or ethnic background.

The same expectation applies to the Stockholm District Court, that in its role, it applies the law equally for all, regardless of whether it concerns a Muslim citizen against the Prime Minister of Sweden, Ulf Hjalmar Ed Kristersson, accused of violations of international law.

The Stockholm District Court has previously handled issues related to violations of international law against other nations and thus has significant experience in this area.

My request to the Chief Judge is to recommend to those involved to strictly adhere to the law and not allow personal opinions (hatred) towards Muslims or the government's stance (war) on Islam to affect the legal process. It is crucial to ensure that the case is handled fairly.

Since I do not have the financial means (I kindly request no lecture on legal aid) to hire a lawyer who can defend the rights of Swedish Muslims against Prime Minister Ulf Kristersson, I ask that the District Court consider offering a public defender. If this is the case, I can name a suitable individual for this assignment.

This is a matter of whether Sweden can be considered to live up to the concept of "civilization" or if it is, in fact, about Prime Minister Kristersson's war against Islam.

I hope that the Stockholm District Court will make this case against Ulf Kristersson for violations of international law, hostage-taking of the author's children, and the kidnapping of Muslim children publicly available on its news page, just as it has done in cases involving other nations (see attached documents).

As a publisher authorized by the Supreme Court, I have both the right and the obligation under the constitution to inform the public, and therefore I will discuss and compare this case with similar cases the Stockholm District Court has handled against other nations on social media.

Finally, this case, along with all related correspondence, will be addressed in a factual work titled "*Prime Minister on Trial*". I therefore recommend that the Chief Judge carefully consider word choice and reflection in the ongoing process, as transparency is my guiding principle, from day one, 2016.

I would also like to inform you that I wish for the Stockholm District Court to investigate an incident (not involving the person who received payment and documents) that occurred in the reception area this morning when the application regarding Prime Minister Kristersson's responsibility for violations of international law was submitted.

PS: A copy is intended for the attention of Thomas Rolén, Director-General of the Swedish National Courts Administration.

Sincerely,

Seyed Isa Musavi
Stockholm, November 1, 2024

In the original complaint for violations of international law (Crimes against Humanity), which was submitted to the Stockholm District Court, all the documents were included as attachments. However, in this book format, the documents are provided as addenda. Some documents may appear multiple times, as they were referenced repeatedly in the indictment.

Crimes against Humanity

Plaintiff: Seyed Isa Musavi, XXXXXXXXX – XXXX

Defendant: Ulf Hjalmar Ed Kristersson, Prime Minister of Sweden

The charges pertain to allegations of serious violations of international law, including the taking of a Muslim writer's child as a hostage, kidnapping of Muslim children, systematic abuse of Muslims, systematic persecution of Muslims, and murder in custody, as outlined in the description of the offenses.

I, Seyed Isa Musavi, as the plaintiff in case no. B 19040 – 24 against Ulf Kristersson, Prime Minister of Sweden, hereby formally request that the district court arrange a hearing with a judge and lay judges. Given the gravity of the case and the legal issues involved, it is essential that deliberations take place to ensure a fair and thorough examination of the violations of international law.

To uphold legal certainty, it is of utmost importance that the court's composition has the opportunity to hear witness testimonies, examine documents, and judge based on the evidence presented.

Ulf Kristersson's crimes against international law, both as a private person, party leader, and as Prime Minister, are ongoing and demand immediate scrutiny.

By preventing the judiciary from fulfilling its duty to prosecute, Ulf Kristersson systematically violates the Muslim population's right to justice in Sweden.

I, hereby request that the district court appoint a counsel for the aggrieved party to represent me in this case regarding crimes against international law, hostage-taking and kidnapping of children, systematic abuse, and murder.

As the judiciary is being obstructed from fulfilling its duty to prosecute, and given that the alleged acts constitute serious violations of international law affecting both my rights and the rights and dignity of other Muslims, I consider the appointment of an aggrieved party's counsel essential to ensure a proper and fair handling of the case against Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson. Upon approval of my request, I can name a suitable individual for the role.

The timeline for Ulf Kristersson's crimes against international law, targeting the Muslim population in Sweden as Prime Minister, extends from October 18, 2022,

though he has been aware that my children have been held as political hostages since December 2017.

Important Note: Since I am not proficient in legal terminology, I also request that this not be seen as a disadvantage to me or to the case. If anything in my communication is unclear, I kindly ask that you always reach out to me via email for clarification, so we can avoid misunderstandings.

I request that all correspondence in this case be conducted via email and that phone calls be avoided.

- Table of Contents

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Injunction 2024-11-05 | 44 |
| Request for Hearing | 53 |
| Background and Purpose | 55 |
| Criminal Liability | 57 |
| Prime Minister's Active Obstruction of Justice | 59 |
| Review of Ulf Kristersson's Crimes against Humanity, etc. | 61 |
| 1. National | 61 |
| 2. International | 103 |
| Murder in Custody | 112 |
| Request for Interim Order | 114 |
| Request against Ulf Kristersson | 116 |
| Attachment 5 | 118 |
| The Conclusion is | 120 |
| For a Sweden | 122 |
| Supporting documents and Evidence | 124 |

- Injunction 2024-11-05

I, Seyed Isa Musavi, as the plaintiff, request that the district court, with respect to Chapter 1, Section 2, Paragraph 4 of the Constitutional law – the Instrument of Government, adjudicate the case in an impartial and independent manner, based on the evidence provided, without being influenced by reprisals, threats to career, or other similar factors, against Ulf Hjalmar Ed Kristersson, Prime Minister of Sweden, as the defendant.

Constitutional Law – The Instrument of Government
2, Paragraph 4:

Chapter 1, Section

“The public institutions shall promote the ideals of democracy as a guiding principle in all areas of society and protect the individual's private and family life.”

The violations of international law committed by Ulf Kristersson, both as a private individual, party leader, and Prime Minister, have two dimensions:

- 1) On one hand, collective persecution of Muslims, kidnapping, rape, and murder of Muslim children, murder of Muslim men in custody, and systematic state abuses.
- 2) On the other hand, targeted abuse against me and my children in my role as a author, because I have exposed systematic state abuses.

In this context, my children (currently held as political hostages) are used as political tools to silence my disclosures.

The Swedish Parliament has tasked Ulf Kristersson with exercising public power with respect for the equal worth of all individuals and for the freedom and dignity of each person, regardless of gender, skin color, national or ethnic origin, linguistic or religious affiliation, disability, sexual orientation, age, or other personal characteristics.

This requirement is established not only by the Swedish Constitution but also supported by international commitments, such as the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) and the UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). These conventions require Sweden to protect each individual's rights and freedoms without discrimination.

However, the Swedish state, with Ulf Kristersson as head of government, has through its inaction in the face of systematic abuses against Muslim citizens—particularly

kidnappings of Muslim children, the use of unjustified protected identity **without judicial review** to unlawfully prevent Muslim children from contacting their guardians, inquisition of beliefs, and persecution and murder of Muslims on the basis of religion – seriously neglected its obligations under international law.

Attachments 1 to 22 (especially 1 to 5a and the case document 24) attest to actions that grossly deviate from the mandate entrusted to Ulf Kristersson, where the fundamental rights prescribed by law, both nationally and internationally, are deliberately disregarded for Muslims.

Attachments 1 to 22 (especially 1 to 5a and the case document 24), based on various investigations by the judiciary and social authorities, attest beyond all reasonable doubt to the systematic abuses affecting tens of thousands of Muslim families annually, whose children are kidnapped by Swedish authorities– the government.

These actions violate not only Swedish law but also international conventions, including the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) and the UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).

The Swedish state, under the leadership of Prime Minister Ulf Kristersson, has through its inaction protected individuals within the judiciary and social authorities who commit systematic abuses against Muslim citizens on behalf of the state of Sweden.

By holding my children as political hostages due to my disclosures, explicitly because of my literary works (case document 24), Ulf Kristersson has seriously neglected his obligations under international law “Crimes against Humanity”. These actions violate not only Swedish law but also international conventions, including the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child and the UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).

Appendix 1 to 5a and the case document 24 confirm beyond all reasonable doubt that Ulf Kristersson, as the country’s highest political decision-maker, is knowingly holding my children as hostages due to the publication of my factual works and my continuous exposure of systematic state abuses against Muslims.

Ulf Kristersson is fully aware of the following legal provisions yet allows the hostage-taking of my children to continue.

1. Constitutional Law – the Instrument of Government, Chapter 2: Fundamental Rights and Freedoms
 - a. Section 1, Point 1: Freedom of Expression

- b. Section 1, Point 2: Freedom of Information
- 2. Constitutional Law – Freedom of the Press Act, Chapters 7 and 9
- 3. Constitutional Law – Fundamental Law on Freedom of Expression, Chapters 5 and 7
- 4. The UN– Convention on the Rights of the Child, Article 2
- 5. The UN– ICCPR, Article 19

These provisions also constitute charges for the actions Ulf Kristersson is guilty of.

It can only be assumed that Ulf Hjalmar Ed Kristersson has knowingly “chosen” to commit crimes against international law, and he has the power to coerce obedience from the judiciary if judges rule against him.

Through this trial, I seek to establish Ulf Kristersson's responsibility and the state's obligation to act against violations and to create a legal precedent that ensures equal rights for all Swedish citizens, regardless of religion, culture, or origin.

(


Addenda

Appendix 1 to 5a and the case document 24 confirm beyond all reasonable doubt that authors children are knowingly kept as hostages due to his publication of factual works and continuous exposure of systematic state abuses against Muslims.

Appendix 1.

Verdict on joint custody from 2012.


Bilaga 1
B19040-24
Alt. 6



SÖDERTÖRNS TINGSRÄTT

DOM
2012-07-12
Meddelad i
Huddinge

Mål nr
T 12172-11



SÖDERTÖRNS TINGSRÄTT
SVERIGES DOMSTOLAR

PARTER


Mannen
Seyed ISA Musavi, 660911-2575
Söckenvägen 366 Lgh 1202
122 63 Enskede

Hustrun
Marjan Efsfabanizadehsakhilangrodi, 750921-3240
Söckenvägen 366 Lgh 1202
122 63 Enskede

DOMSLUT

Tingsrätten dömer enligt 5 kap. 1 § äktenskapsbalken till äktenskapskillnad mellan parterna.

Tingsrätten påminner parterna om att vårdnaden om Kimiya Musavi, [redacted] och Kiyana Musavi, [redacted] är gemensam även efter denna dom.


Handlingen överensstämmer med originalen

Henrik De Geer

| | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Dok. Id 622206 | Besöksadress 141 84 Huddinge | Telefon 08-561 650 00 | Telefax 08-711 05 80 |
| | Besöksadress Byggnadsvägen 5 A | E-post: sodertorns.tingsratt@dom.se | Expeditionstid måndag - fredag 08:30-16:00 |

www.sodertornstingsratt.domstol.se

Appendix 2.

Social worker Gabriella Martinsson; “Your children are NOT in care (state custody)”.



Appendix 3.

Prosecutor Hawjin Shamer's defamation and false accusation are dismissed in their entirety.

Bilaga 3
B 10040-24

Aktlagarområde Stockholm
Söderorts åklagarkammare i Stockholm
Kammaråklagare Hawjin Shamer

Underrättelse
2017-06-16

Sida 2/7
Händing 5/1
Ärende AM-130707-16
Handläggare 105-26

HEMLIG
HEMLIG
Akt. 8

Misshandel 2015-10-16 - 2016-10-15
Id: POD50-BM2017-283223380-3C, Ext ärendenr: 5000-K1226390-16
Uttekad person: Musavi, Isa

Förundersökningen läggs ned
Det finns inte längre anledning att fullfölja förundersökningen. På det utredningsmaterial som nu föreligger går det inte att bevisa att den eller de som varit misstänkta har gjort sig skyldiga till brott. Ytterligare utredning kan inte antas förändra bevisläget på ett avgörande sätt.

23 kapitlet 4 § andra stycket rättegångsbalken

Upplysningar
Tingsrätten kan avskriva målet i sin helhet.

SÖDERTÖRNS TINGSRÄTT
SLUTLIGT BESLUT 2017-06-21
1. Målet avskrivs från vidare handläggning
2. Advokaten *Leif Silbersh*
tillerkänns ersättning av allmänna medel med
5728 kr, varav *1145* kr
mervärdesskatt.
Kostnaden ska stanna på staten.
Överklagande senast 2017-07-12 ställs
till Svea hovrätt men inges till tingsrätten

Anders E. Larsson
ANDERS E. LARSSON

*Jag överklagade nedläggning av anmälan mot mig om barnmisshandel
till Svea hovrätt, bara för att bevisa hatet mot muslimer. →*

Appendix 4.

The regime's defamation, false accusation, and fabrication of crimes are dismissed.

Bilaga - 4
814040-24
Akt. 9

Anmälan dokument till anmälan, 2016-11-25 13:26 diarienum: 5000-K1424796-16

6

| | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| | ÅKLAGARMYNDIGHETEN | Underrättelse | Sida | 1(2) |
| | Åklagarområde Stockholm | | Handling | 52 |
| | Box 1194 | 2016-10-11 | Ärende | AM-83078-16 |
| | 141 24 HUDDINGE | | Handläggare | 105-17 |

Isa Mustavi
Seckenvägen 366 Lgh 1202
12263 ENSKEDE

Underrättelse om beslut

Kannmarklagare Daniel Jonsson har fattat följande beslut. Frågor om beslutet kan ställas till denne.

Grov kvinnofridsränkning 2007-01-01 - 2016-07-11
Id: POR50-BM2016-10243985-HG, Ext ärendenum: 5000-K831684-16

Förundersökningen läggs ned

Det finns inte längre misstankar att följande förundersökningen. På det utredningsmaterial som nu föreligger går det inte att bevisa att den eller de som varit misstänkta har gjort sig skyldiga till brott. Ytterligare utredning kan inte antas förändra bevisläget på ett avgörande sätt.

23 kapitlet 4 § andra stycket rättegångsbalken

Det går inte att bevisa de objektiva förutsättningarna för att förförandet skall vara brottsligt. Örd står emot ord och stödbevisning saknas.


10 år misshandel av kvinnan lades ner efter 30 dagar!

5000-K1424796-16

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Postadress Box 1194 141 24 HUDDINGE | Distriktskontor Björnsullvägen 7 | Telefon 010-562 50 00 Telefax 010-562 57 89 | E-post registrator.aksadecorot-stockholm@aklagarna.se |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|

Appendix 5.

The Swedish regime's order to hold the author's children hostage to silence hid pen.



The note on the documents talks about a crime, committed in the most refined way, with Stockholm District Court said to be a failure to scan Appendix 5 – the most crucial evidence regarding the hostage-taking of the author's children due to his factual works. The author merely noted it in the appendix so that future researchers can reflect on their thoughts – and you as well.

After the error, it has been posted as Case Document 24 and referenced both as Appendix 5 and Case Document 24 in the book.

Appendix 5a.

Prosecutor Hawjin Shamer admits to the hostage-taking and detention of the author's children.

Bilaga 5. a
B 190 40-24
Akt. 10

ÅKLAGARMYNDIGHETEN
Åklagarområde Stockholm
Söderorts åklagarkammare i Stockholm
Kammaråklagare Hawjin Shamer

Ansökan
2017-03-23

Sida 1 (4)
Handling 19
Ärende AM-130707-16
Handläggare 105-26

SÖDERTÖRNS TINGSRÄTT
INOMÅL: 2017-04-04
MÅLNÖ: Å 4724-17
AKTELL: 1

BRÅDSKANDE
141 84 HUDDINGE

Fyra månader tidigare hade socialen konstaterat att inga åtgärder behövdes, ingådes senare "Dina barn är inte placerade" (se bilaga 2) innan denna datum

Ansökan om förordnande av särskild företrädare för barn

Yrkande
Det yrkas att särskild företrädare förordnas för Sekretess A och Sekretess B (för identitetsuppgifter se bilaga) enligt 1 § lagen (1999:997) om särskild företrädare för barn.

Företrädare bör förordnas interimistiskt med stöd av 6 § utan att barnets vårdnadshavare hörs då det kan antas vara nödvändigt för att barnets rätt ska kunna tas tillvara. Förhör behöver hållas omgående. Det är angeläget att misstänkt/vårdnadshavaren inte ges tillfälle att påverka målsäganden inför förhöret.

Det hemställs att tingsrätten med stöd av 2 a § förordningen (1999:998) om särskild företrädare för barn expedierar beslutet först fjärde vardagen efter det att beslutet fattats då det annars kan vara till men för utredningen.

Grunder
Sekretess C kan misstänkas för misshandel mot Sekretess A och B den 27 september 2016 i Enskede (5000-K1226390-16). Anmälan har gjorts av Socialtjänsten i Enskede-Årsta och Vantör om att Sekretess A och Sekretess B har utsatts för misshandel genom slag mot kroppen och ansiktet. Barnen (Sekretess A och B) är tillsammans med Sekretess D för närvarande placerade på skyddat boende.

Sekretess D som också är vårdnadshavare för barnen har en djupgående konflikt med den misstänkte (Sekretess C). Det kan därför antas att vårdnadshavaren på grund av sitt förhållande till den som kan misstänkas för brottet, inte kommer att ta tillvara barnets rätt.

Samma person kan förordnas som särskild företrädare för barnen.

Förhör med målsäganden planeras att hållas den 5 april 2017 kl. 9.30- 12.00, på Barncentrum i Nacka, Cylandervägen 12, Nacka.

Postadress
Box 1194
141 24 HUDDINGE

Gataadress
Björnkullavägen 7

Telefon
010-562 50 00

Telefax
010-562 57 99

E-post
registrator@akladorom-
stockholm@aklagare.se

Webbadress
www.aklagare.se

- Request for a Hearing

Given the seriousness of the case and the legal issues involved, it is necessary for a discussion to take place in order to ensure a fair and thorough examination of violations of international law.

This is just one of tens of thousands of cases in which Muslims are subjected to legal abuses annually, which Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, consciously legitimizes by failing to intervene against violations of international law.

I estimate that I will need three days to present all relevant documents to the panel, including evidence supporting the claims of systematic abuses against the Muslim population. During this time, the court will also have the opportunity to hear testimony from a dozen witnesses, who, independently of one another, can testify about the systematic abuses committed against Swedish citizens of foreign origin.

The testimonies aim to provide the panel with a deeper understanding of the legal and human rights violations that have occurred, and they constitute key evidence in this case. This presentation is crucial to ensure that the legal grounds for the allegations against the defendant are thoroughly highlighted and impartially examined.

It is of utmost importance for legal certainty that the opportunity be given to prove to the court's composition that these state-sponsored abuses are systematic, and that Prime Minister Ulf Kristersson has failed to take the necessary actions to, according to law, stop or correct these systematic abuses.

This constitutes a serious disregard of Ulf Kristersson's obligation to protect children's right to private and family life, to prevent unlawful detention – kidnapping in effect – and to prevent state-sanctioned human trafficking.

Furthermore, this represents a violation of his responsibility to defend human rights and uphold the rule of law in Sweden, where all citizens, regardless of gender, race, religion, culture, or ethnic background, should be equal before the law.

It is of utmost importance for legal certainty that the court witnesses: since October 2022, Ulf Kristersson has had the opportunity to personally stop the hostage-taking of my children due to published literary works, in accordance with Chapter 12, Section 9, Subsection 2 of the Swedish Instrument of Government, but despite overwhelming documentation, he has refused to apply the law.

All of these crimes that Ulf Kristersson has committed should be taken into account, given that he has been personally aware of the case (case document 5, USB– annex 4), since December 2017, both as a private individual and in his capacity as leader of the Moderate Party, Sweden's second-largest party.

These national and international laws, which hold Ulf Kristersson responsible for having politically motivated the hostage-taking of my children, have been disregarded since October 19, 2022 (Appendix 7), after he assumed the position of Prime Minister.

- Background and Purpose

As the claimant in this case, I accuse Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, of violations of international law, including the hostage-taking of my children and systematic persecution, which has occurred as a result of my scholarly works (case document 24) and my engagement for the rights of Muslim children in Sweden.

Despite clear obligations under Swedish law and international conventions, neither the judiciary – including the police, prosecutors, and the courts – nor Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, have acted to secure me and my hostage children's right to a protected and unperturbed family life.

This failure, rooted in hatred and political persecution, represents a direct obstacle to the fundamental rights of myself, my hostage children, and tens of thousands of other Muslims, as outlined in the Swedish Constitution– the Instrument of Government, Chapter 1, Section 2, and Chapter 2, Section 1, as well as Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights, "Right to Respect for Private and Family Life."

The Prime Minister, in his role as the highest representative of the state, has failed to intervene against the illegal actions carried out by the judiciary, which is in direct contradiction to his responsibility to protect citizens, including my hostage children, from unjustified and illegal interference.

Under the heading "Protected Identity – A State Instrument for Terror against Muslims and Dissenters", five documents are presented that, without reasonable doubt, prove that this tool has been used to silence a writer's (my) voice.

I therefore expect the district court of Stockholm to immediately issue an interim decision to lift the illegally protected identity for my hostage children, so that my hostage children can be prepared for a safe return home.

I remind the district court of the following: Constitution Law– the Instrument of Government, Chapter 1, Section 2, Paragraph 4

"The public authorities shall promote the guiding ideas of democracy in all areas of society and protect the individual's private and family life."

I request that the district court provide the classified investigation AM-141117–20 as well as the prosecutor's investigation regarding case B–16804–20 at Södertörn District Court.


These investigations constitute indisputable evidence of the torture of my hostage children as political child captives in Sweden, which have so far been withheld from publication due to fear of international consequences from both the prosecutor's office and the district court. Ulf Kristersson is aware of this but has not taken the actions required by law.

This constitutes my legal right and is crucial evidence in the prosecution for violations of international law "Crimes against Humanity" directed at Ulf Kristersson, Sweden's Prime Minister.

Allow to quote the reasoning accepted by the Svea Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court (case file attachment 24):

"Isa Musavi har i närtid publicerat två böcker (brott) ... Isa Musavi har även skickat mejl till tingsrätten, till olika myndigheter och till nyhetsredaktioner (brott) ... mot den bakgrunden inte är lämplig med ett umgänge mellan barnen och Isa Musavi (straff) ... Isa Musavi härfter fundera på att ta ett steg tillbaka! (sluta med samhällsengagemang)... hitta mer konstruktiv sätt (lägga ner penna) ...!?"

Domare Daniel Eriksson, MUFT 11352 – 17



- Criminal Liability

I request that Ulf Hjalmar Ed Kristersson be convicted of violations of international law, Crimes against Humanity, hostage-taking of my children, and murder, according to the following description of the acts and legal provisions.

The first time Ulf Kristersson became involved in this case was in December 2017, during the Nobel days, when I was walking with a Swedish flag and images of my hostage children at the intersection of Drottninggatan and Fredsgatan. There, I met the then leader of Sweden's second-largest party, Ulf Kristersson, along with a female companion. We exchanged a few words. See attachment 6.

If the defendant denies the street meeting and the exchange of words, I request that the Stockholm District Court, through cameras in areas connected to various security organizations within the police and military, retrieve evidence that can be compared to attachment 6.

At that time, Ulf Kristersson was the leader of a party that could have questioned the situation, both as a private individual and as a responsible politician.

As Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson received an official letter on October 19, 2022, entitled "*Kimiya and Kiyana, Legitimized Political Child Hostage in Sweden*" with attached documents addressed to "*Prime Minister Ulf Kristersson*". This email was left unaddressed (document 7, three pages).

On October 20, 2022, another email was sent to Ulf Kristersson titled "*Intelligence Agents Interrogate My Children, Political Child Captives*". This email was also left unaddressed (attachment 8, two pages).

On November 7, 2022, Ulf Kristersson was reported for violations of the UN–Convention on the Rights of the Child, Article 2, violations of the UN– Human Rights Convention, Article 19, as well as violations against life and health, liberty and peace, and violations against the family. A report was filed (5000–K136 12 11–22), but it was dismissed. Fear of reprisals or ministerial influence will be proven by time (attachments 9, two pages – 10, five pages).

Since then, the Prime Minister has received about a hundred emails concerning children's rights in Sweden in general and my hostage children due to my scholarly works specifically; all of them have remained unanswered as of today!

Perhaps the Prime Minister is too certain of the Swedish courts' fear of the office?

As shown in attachments 1 to 5a and case document 24, my children were held hostage for my scholarly works through an unjustified and unchallenged imposition of a protected identity, used as an instrument of terror against my scholarly works. Ulf Kristersson, Sweden's Prime Minister, was/is fully aware of the situation and has full authority to act according to the law, but Ulf Kristersson “chooses” NOT to do so.

Time and place: Ongoing hostage-taking of my children since September 14, 2016, in Enskede – Stockholm – Sweden.

Ulf Kristersson, both as a private citizen and in his role as leader of the Moderate Party, has been aware of the unconstitutional ruling since December 2017 (case document 24).

Since October 18, 2022, following Ulf Kristersson's assumption of office as Prime Minister, these national and international laws have been disregarded, making Ulf Kristersson a political child hostage taker (attachments 7 to 10).

- The Prime Minister's Active Obstruction of Justice

The Swedish judiciary has an obligation to investigate and prosecute individuals who commit serious international crimes under the **law (2014:406)*** on penalties for crimes against human rights and torture.

The judiciary is also obligated to take legal action and initiate prosecutions against individuals who commit crimes under the Penal Code, regardless of the perpetrator's position, in order to uphold legal certainty and justice.

From all the crimes mentioned in the summons, supported by adequate documentary, image, audio, and video evidence, it follows that the judiciary not only has an obligation but also a duty to initiate legal proceedings at the slightest suspicion of a crime. Despite this, the judiciary has, to this day, failed to take the necessary actions required by law.

It is not unfounded to assert that Prime Minister Ulf Kristersson has actively obstructed these authorities from fulfilling their duties towards Muslims in Sweden.

(



***Addenda:**

The Act (2014:406) covers, among other things:

- Genocide Acts committed with the intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnic, racial, or religious group.
- Crimes against humanity Systematic attacks on civilians, such as murder, torture, and persecution.
- War crimes Serious violations related to armed conflicts, such as targeted attacks on civilians or the use of prohibited weapons.

The Act establishes penalties for both physical perpetrators and superiors who have failed in their duty to prevent crimes. Penalties range from imprisonment for several years to life, depending on the severity of the crime. It incorporates Sweden's commitments under international conventions such as the Geneva Conventions and the Rome Statute.

Addenda:



In a single image, Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Hjalmar Ed Kristersson, accompanied by:

- Married to a priest, Birgitta Ed
- Foreign Minister, Tobias Billström
- Minister for Education, and leader of the Liberals, Johan Pehrson
- Leader of the Opposition, and leader of the Social Democrats, Magdalena Andersson
- Former leader of the Social Democrats, now CEO of Swedbank, Göran Persson¹⁵.
- A couple of well-known journalists.

)

¹⁵) Recommend conducting your own studies on Göran Persson and how he unlawfully sold the people's assets to the jews, a transaction rewarded with positions within the jewish banking sector.

- Examination of Ulf Kristersson's Violations of Crimes against Humanity

Nationally and Internationally

1. Nationally

Apart from ministerial influence, corruption, and institutionalized hatred and prejudice against Muslims, Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, has committed the following violations by failing to take legal actions, actions he is obliged to undertake under the law:

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| - Penal Code, Chapter 17, Section 11 | Protection of Offenders |
| - Penal Code, Chapter 15, Section 9 | Failure to Prevent a Miscarriage of Justice |
| - Penal Code, Chapter 20, Section 1 | Abuse of Authority |
| - Penal Code, Chapter 23, Section 1 | Failure to Report a Crime |

The violations of the Penal Code are thoroughly described with relevant documents, images, and audio files for the court's review during proceedings.

The court should remember that Ulf Kristersson has always had the option to apply Chapter 12, Section 9, Paragraph 2 of the Swedish Constitution– the Instrument of Government which stipulates:

“If there are exceptional reasons, the government may decide that further measures to investigate or prosecute a criminal act shall not be taken. Law (2010:1408).”

Please note that prosecutors are obligated to pursue cases against individuals suspected of crimes. Prosecutors have a duty to act when there are suspicions of criminal activity and to represent the state's interest in protecting the public and upholding justice.

The Swedish judiciary still refuses to fulfill its duty to prosecute, as of today's date!

Tyrannical abuses of power, characteristic of dictatorships, have no place in a civilization that Sweden claims and purports to represent.

Abuse of Power within Authorities, government agencies which does not necessarily fall within the judiciary's domain, is characterized by misuse of authority and a lack of respect for citizens' rights. Abuse within authorities leads to serious violations of citizens' rights and a weakening of democratic accountability, namely, breaches of international law beyond any reasonable doubt. I have strong reasons to believe that Ulf Kristersson has personally obstructed the course of justice in my case.

While this cannot currently be substantiated through testimony or concrete evidence, his arrogance, contempt, and apparent lack of respect for the rule of law and for Muslims as a group indicate that Ulf Kristersson has deliberately “chosen” to hold my children hostage. His arrogant refusal to utilize legal means for a fair resolution according to “*The Law*” actively prevents the judiciary from fulfilling its duties and obligations.

What can be established, however, is that Ulf Kristersson, in his role as Prime Minister, through his refusal to ensure equality before the law, has committed:

1. Crimes against international law, “Crimes against Humanity – ICCPR”.
2. Hostage-taking of my children due to the books I have published in Sweden.
3. Kidnapping of Muslim children in Sweden.
4. Murder, rape, assault of Muslim girls in youth detention centers (SiS) in Sweden.
5. Unlawful detention and murder of Muslim parents within the detention system in Sweden.

(



***Addenda:**

This contempt and disgust for both national and international laws is currently seen only with satanic jewish animal, Nethanyabu, and now also with equally satanic Swedish Prime Minister, Ulf Hjalmar Ed Kristersson.



Jewish Child murderer, Nethanyabu



Swedish Child murderer, Ulf Kristersson

)

- State Hostage-Taking

Legal Classification:

“International Convention against the Taking of Hostages”

- a. Article 1
- b. Article 2
- c. Article 5

Description of the Offense:

The Stockholm District Court has requested a clear legal classification for the current offense. I would like to emphasize that Swedish law lacks a specific classification that covers situations where the state takes children as hostages, particularly when done with political motives (case document 24).

The closest applicable provisions includes Kidnapping, Unlawful Deprivation of Liberty, Aggravated Coercion, and Gross Violation of Integrity, but these do not fully capture the nature of the offense – hostage-taking – in question.

I therefore refer to Sweden’s international obligations under the “*International Convention against the Taking of Hostages*” which provides the closest legal foundation for the current situation. Accordingly, I argue that these actions fall under Sweden’s international law obligations, whereby Ulf Kristersson, in his role as Head of Government, can be held accountable.

- a. **Article 1** Anyone who seizes or detains a person as a “*hostage*” and holds that person to compel a third party, whether a natural or legal person, to perform or refrain from performing any act as an express or implied condition for the release of the hostage, commits the offense of hostage-taking under this convention.

Allow me to recite the unlawful ruling approved by the Svea Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court (case document 24):

“Isa Musavi has recently published two books, sent emails to the district court, various authorities, and news editorial offices (offense) ... in light of this, the district court finds it currently inappropriate for the children to have contact with Isa Musavi (punishment) ... Isa Musavi have to consider take a step back (submitting – capitulating) ... finding a more constructive approach (cease writing) to attempt to resolve the situation (renounce political stance)!”

Judge Daniel Eriksson, MUFT 11352 – 17

This unlawful ruling is in direct conflict with:

- 1. Constitutional law – the Instrument of Government, Chapter 2: Fundamental Rights and Freedoms

- a. 1 § p.1 Freedom of Expression
- b. 1 § p.2 Freedom of Information
- 2. Constitutional Law on Freedom of the Press Act, Chapters 7 and 9
- 3. Constitutional Law on Freedom of Expression, Chapters 5 and 7
- 4. Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), Article 2
- 5. ICCPR, Article 19

These provisions also constitute legal classifications for the offenses committed by Ulf Kristersson.

Ulf Kristersson, on the top of his duty to follow Swedish laws, is also, as Head of Government, obliged to take appropriate actions in accordance with international conventions, particularly the convention against “*hostage-taking*” in line with:

- b. **Article 2** Requires states to criminalize and punish hostage-taking.
- c. **Article 5** Mandates that states take necessary measures to prevent and suppress the offense.

Time and Place: Ongoing hostage-taking of my children since 14 September 2016 in Enskede – Stockholm – Sweden.

Ulf Kristersson, both as a private citizen and in his role as political party leader of the Moderate Party, has been aware of the unlawful ruling, politically hostage children, since December 2017 (case document 24).

Since 19 October 2022, following Ulf Kristersson's appointment of office as Prime Minister, these national and international laws have been disregarded, making Ulf Kristersson a child hostage-taker with political motives (attachments 7 to 10).

(



***Addenda:**

Je suis Kimiya Je suis Kiyana



**Hostage
due to the
books
I've
published
in
SWEDEN**



Victims of Expression in SWEDEN

Stockholm District Court, case T 112 – 20, Judge Henrik Fieber (attachment 19):

“The rules in the Convention on the Rights of the Child do not lead to any different assessment.”!!!???

That the right to the Convention on the Rights of the Child is not valid for Muslims in Sweden!!!???

Think about it!

Such an open and unparalleled hatred against Muslims could not have been expressed any better than this for Judge Henrik Fieber's private war against Islam!

Stockholm District Court, Mars 2020

“Muslims in Sweden do not have the right to the Convention on the Rights of the Child”

Judge Henrik Fieber T 112 – 20



Henrik Fieber¹⁶

Judge, Stockholm District Court Case No. T 112 – 20

Citation; ”UN- child convention does not apply to Muslims in Sweden.”

➤ Structural Discrimination in the War against Islam

- In short; the institutionalized hatred of Muslims by Swedes

The judge's hateful quote, “*The Convention on the Rights of the Child does not apply to Muslims in Sweden*”, raises questions about **clarity, transparency, and legal justification**. It is a fundamental principle in the rule of law that judicial reasoning should be clear, transparent, and sufficiently justified.

1) Lack of Clarity and Justification

- For a ruling to be legally sound, the court must clarify which articles or rules of the Convention on the Rights of the Child have been examined

¹⁶) The author officially informed the Swedish National Police Commissioner on March 11, 2020, about an admission that Swedish judges demand sexual services from Muslim women in exchange for favorable rulings.

and why they do not affect the outcome. Without this justification, the ruling can appear arbitrary and difficult to appeal or understand.

- The Instrument of Government (Chapter 1, Section 9) requires that authorities, including courts, consider objectivity and impartiality. A vague reference without analysis can be interpreted as a lack of objectivity.
- 2) The Legal Status of the Convention on the Rights of the Child
- Since January 1, 2020, the Convention on the Rights of the Child has been a law that must be considered in all decisions regarding children. Therefore, the judge is obligated to assess its applicability in the specific case and provide an account of their considerations.
 - If the court finds that the rules of the Convention on the Rights of the Child do not affect the judgment, this should be justified by referring to relevant articles and how these have been weighed against other legal principles.
- 3) Risk of Legal Deficiencies
- By not specifying which rules have been examined or why they do not lead to a different assessment, the court risks creating the impression that the Convention on the Rights of the Child has not been taken seriously, despite its status as Swedish law.

No, a judge cannot write “*The rules of the Convention on the Rights of the Child do not lead to any other assessment*” without specifying which rules are being referred to and how they have been analyzed in relation to the case. Lack of justification contradicts the principle of legal certainty and transparent legal application.

The Judge, Henrik Fieber, Also REFUSED to have an official hearing with the Lay Judges

If a judge refuses to hold a hearing with lay judges in a case where it would have been mandatory or appropriate according to law, this constitutes a procedural deviation that affects legal certainty and trust in the judicial system.

It is essential for the audience to know that the prohibition of public hearings with lay judges in cases involving Muslim citizens has become a routine, normalized, and established practice in Sweden.

Legal Principles Regarding Lay Judges in Sweden

1) The Role and Presence of Lay Judges

According to the Swedish Code of Judicial Procedure (RB 1:3a §), certain cases must be decided by a judge together with lay judges, particularly in district courts. This applies to many cases concerning family law and custody issues, where the best interests of the child, as per the Convention on the Rights of the Child, should be the focus.

2) If the Judge Refuses a hearing

If a judge chooses to decide a case without calling for lay judges in a situation where this is required by law, it may constitute a formal error. Such errors can lead to the ruling being overturned on appeal, as the case was not handled in accordance with applicable procedural rules.

3) Justification and Transparency

A judge must also justify why a case is potentially decided without a meeting, especially if it deviates from what is customary or legally required. The lack of such justification further strengthens the perception of inadequate legal certainty.

4) Related to the Convention on the Rights of the Child

In cases concerning children's rights, particular attention should be given to the best interests of the child (Article 3 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child). Refusing a hearing with lay judges may give the impression that the court has not given the case the careful examination it requires.

The deliberate violations of the law committed by Swedish judges are a clear breach of the rule of law and undeniable evidence of how institutional mechanisms affect the rights of Muslim families and Muslim children in Sweden. It is neither legally nor

morally right to pass judgment based on personal hatred towards any ethnic group, religion, or culture.

It is the state's responsibility and obligation to stop deliberate and systematic crimes against the Muslim population in Sweden, something Ulf Kristersson, through his refusal to act, encourages the judiciary to continue committing crimes against national and international laws.

Stockholm District Court, Mars 2020

Get to know and share the image of a Swedish Nazi, Judge Henrik Fieber, who, driven by personal hatred toward Islam and Muslims, abducts Muslim children for forced conversion.

“Muslims in Sweden do not have the right to the Convention on the Rights of the Child”

Judge Henrik Fieber T 112 – 20



Henrik Fieber¹⁷

Judge, Stockholm District Court Case No. T 112 – 20

Citation; *”UN- child convention does not apply to Muslims in Sweden.”*

)

¹⁷) The author officially informed the Swedish National Police Commissioner on March 11, 2020, about an admission that Swedish judges demand sexual services from Muslim women in exchange for favorable rulings.

- Political Abuse of Protected Identity –

A State Instrument for Terrorizing Muslims

Crime Classification:

a. Kidnapping

Extortion and pressure to cease writing to the district court, authorities, and news agencies, as explicitly mentioned in the ruling (case document 24), a verdict approved by the Svea Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court, can only be perceived as the children being kidnapped for political reasons through protected identity, held hostage to silence (me) their father.

b. Unlawful Deprivation of Liberty

Deprived of freedom **WITHOUT legal grounds**, through an illegal protected identity.

c. Aggravated Unlawful Coercion

The investigation for case AM-141117–20 and the prosecutor's investigation in the classified case B16804–20 at Södertörn District Court proves Aggravated Unlawful Coercion from day one, September 14, 2016.

d. Aggravated Violation of Integrity

All investigations within the judicial and social services since September 14, 2016, concluded in my favor, serve as evidence of Aggravated Violation of Integrity.

Description of Offense:

My hostages children have, from day one, been granted protected identities despite a ruling of joint custody since 2012 (attachment 1), **WITHOUT any trial in a Court**, which in itself contravenes the presumption of innocence – a violation of the legal safety, required by both Swedish law and international conventions.

My children were forcibly given a protected identity from the very first beginning (day 1) after I reported police officers Hanna Ericsson, Anton Andersson, and their supervisor for the kidnapping of my children from day one. Therefore, protected identity was used (are used) as a means of extortion and coercion to silence the case.

The Social Services have confirmed that my hostages children were not under the care of state (attachment 2), yet the state has still imposed a protected identity on them! Furthermore, the case (fabricated accusations) of child abuse was entirely dismissed by the Södertörns District Court (attachment 3, two pages), and still, the demand for protected identity remains, **WITHOUT any trial in a Court!**?

In addition to this, a ten-year period of severe violation of women's integrity was dismissed only 31 days after the crime was revealed (see appendix 4). Nevertheless, my hostages children were still forced into protected identity, **WITHOUT any trial in a Court!!!?**

We observe this common pattern in “**ALL**” cases involving Muslim families in Sweden.

I therefore question on what grounds this protected identity was granted from the very beginning (day 1) and by whom, especially given the court's assertion that my literature works are the basis for the decision to deny me contact with my hostages children (attachment 5), which itself contradicts two of the Constitutional law in Sweden, the Freedom of the Press Act and the Fundamental Law on Freedom of Expression. Notably, the Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court have approved the reasoning Södertörn's grounds without trial!?

These five documents constitute irrefutable evidence, acceptable in courts around the world, that my children have been used as an instrument of terror to silence my voice as an author. Thus, the protected identity becomes indisputable proof of state – sponsored terror against a Muslim author, which Ulf Kristersson refuses to address with support from Chapter 12, Section 9, paragraph 2 of the Constitutional Law, the Instrument of Government.

Is this anything other than Human Trafficking, Illegal Deprivation of Liberty, Grave Coercion, and Severe Violation of Peace of Mind?

Is this anything other than a systematic infringement, unfounded and illegal interventions, of my hostages children's right to an undisturbed family life? Merely for their father's political and social engagement!

Can this be viewed as anything other than a systematic threat to the lives and health of citizens, including my hostages children?

Can this be considered anything other than a systematic threat to the peace of mind, freedom, and the family's right to self-determination of Muslim families, including my hostage children?

Is this anything other than a systematic restriction of my right to the Freedom of the Press Act?

Is this anything other than a systematic restriction of my right to the Fundamental Law on Freedom of Expression? Who is the Swedish homo-pedophile Rasmus Paludan¹⁸?

(

***Addenda:**



)

Is this anything other than a systematic restriction of citizens' rights according to the Constitution, the Instrument of Government, Chapter 1, Section 2, paragraph 1, which states, among other laws:

“The public power shall be exercised with respect for the equal worth of all people and for the individual’s freedom and dignity”?

Is this anything other than a systematic restriction of citizens' rights according to the Instrument of Government, Chapter 2, Section 5:

“Everyone is protected from corporal punishment, and no one may be subjected to torture or medical intervention with the purpose of forcing or preventing statements. Act (2010:1408).”

- If this is not considered a violation of international law, questions arise about how the principles of international law are applied within the Swedish legal system.

This can only be interpreted as persecution of a dissenting Muslim author, persecution based on religion, culture, and ethnic origin, which violates fundamental principles of international law.

Ulf Kristersson, as Sweden's Prime Minister, has had the power to intervene directly to uphold international law and protect the rights of my abducted children and my own rights in accordance with what the law requires. According to the Instrument of Government, Chapter 12, Section 9, paragraph 2, Sweden's Prime Minister Ulf

¹⁸) Swedish citizen, the one who turned Quran burning into a trend in Sweden.

Kristersson has both the direct ability to take action and the indirect power to order the Chancellor of Justice to initiate an investigation into violations of international law, which he, as of today's date, has refused to do.

Other indirect opportunities have also existed, but Prime Minister Ulf Kristersson has “**Chosen**” not to exercise any of these powers. His decision not to act was based solely on my revelations about Sweden’s crimes against Muslim children and Muslim families, namely my documentary work “*Forced Conversion of Muslim Children in Sweden*” published in 2017.

The Prime Minister, who has had the full opportunity to intervene to uphold international law and protect my hostages children's right to family life, has “**Chosen**” not to do so. I therefore ask the Stockholm District Court:

“Why does this protected identity for my hostages children continue, when my writings, which I believe protect the Muslim population and the interests of my abducted children, are being used as justification for continued protected identity and forced isolation?”

Only these six documents (attachments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5a, and case attachment 24) obligate the Stockholm District Court to immediately, through an interim decision, revoke the protected identity for my abducted children, so that they can be prepared for a safe return home.

Further evidence and testimony showing that protected identity for Muslim children constitutes a deliberate state tool for the kidnapping of Muslim children and for systematic abuse of Muslim families and dissenters will be presented in detail with relevant documents, images, and audio files, for the court during the proceedings.

Time and place: Ongoing hostage-taking of my children with a political motive since September 14, 2016, in Enskede – Stockholm – Sweden.

Ulf Kristersson, both as a private citizen and in his role as leader of the Moderate Party, has been aware of the ruling since December 2017 (case attachment 24). Since October 19, 2022, following Ulf Kristersson’s appointment as Prime Minister, these national and international laws have been disregarded, which makes Ulf Kristersson a child hostage-taker with a political motive (case attachments 12, 13, 14, 15).

- Presumption of Innocence

ALL, all Muslims, literally all Muslims in Sweden are guilty, regardless, BEFORE any crime has even surfaced in the “Future” (attachment 18).

ALL, all Muslims, literally all Muslims in Sweden are guilty, regardless, even if they themselves prove their innocence through documents, audio, images, and video evidence (attachment 18).

Offense Classification:

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| - UN– Universal Declaration of Human Rights | Article 11 |
| - International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) | Article 14:2 |
| - European Convention on Human Rights | Article 6:2 (ECHR) |
| Swedish Constitution law | |
| - Instrument of Government, Chapter 1, Section 9 | equality before the law, objectivity, and impartiality |
| - Instrument of Government, Chapter 2, Section 8 | unlawful deprivation of liberty |
| - Instrument of Government, Chapter 2, Section 9 | legal certainty |
| - Instrument of Government, Chapter 2, Section 12 | legal certainty |
| Code of Judicial Procedure | burden of proof and judicial review |
| Penal Code | requirements for evidence and guilt |

Description of the Offense:

Description of a real example of a typical case against ALL Muslims

The author had been cleared of all suspicions – false accusations by the justice system, in fact – in 2016, as shown in Appendices 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5a, and case document 24, explained under the title “*Protected Identity*”. Despite this, five years later, in November 2021, I was again brought to trial in case Ä19500–21 at the Södertörn District Court, based on a secret investigation (AM-141117–20) that had been ongoing in secrecy for over a year.

A typical case against ALL Muslims: guilty verdict without specific charges, timeline, or detailed circumstances, with the reasoning:

- *That due to specific circumstances, there is a risk that Isa Musavi will commit crimes against, persecute, or otherwise harass the protected persons, Confidential A, B, and C. (attachment 18).*

ÅKLAGARMYNDIGHETEN
Åklagarmålsförvaltningen i Stockholm
Sekretärs-Åklagarkammaren i Stockholm
Kammaråklagare Ronsak Råbe

Sida 1 (2)
Handling 75
Ärende ÅM-141117/20
Handläggare 105-11

2021-11-17

SÖDERTÖRNS TINGRÄTT
Ärdebringning 1

PROGOL 2021-11-18
KALLNR: 8 18309 29
ARTID: 12

B16204-20

Överlämnandeskrift avseende ärende om förlängning av kontaktförbud

Isa Musavi har begärt prövning av åklagarens beslut (tre beslut) meddelade den 9 november 2021 i tre ärenden av kontaktförbud, se mail daterad den 15 november 2021.

Polisens diarienummer är A615.182/2021, A626.721/202 och A626.742/2021.

Bakgrund

Anslågan om kontaktförbud inkom till Åklagarmyndigheten den 26 oktober 2021 avseende Sekretess A. Den 1 november 2021 sökte Sekretess A också om kontaktförbud för Sekretess B:s och C:s räkning. Samtliga ansökningar bifogas. Observera att samtliga sökandena har sekretessmarkeringar i folkförhållningsregistret.

Det framgår av polisens handlingar att Isa Musavi inte har del i värnaden av de ~~personerna~~ *personerna* ~~härn~~ *härn* Sekretess B och C. *Se anslågan från Sekretess A.*

Det har hållits förhör med sökandena och med förbudspersonen Isa Musavi. Isa Musavi hörsammade inte polisens kallelser och fick hämtas in till förhör av polis. Där efter ville han till en början spela in sitt eget förhör vilket nekades honom av polis och åklagare. *Förhöret med Isa Musavi bifogas.*

Samtliga skyddspersoner uppger att de är rädda för Isa Musavi. *Bifogas.*

Skäl för besluten är

- Att det på grund av *Vilka* *vad* *sanskilda omständigheter finns risk för att Isa Musavi kommer att begå brott mot, förfölja eller på annat sätt trakassera* *Vilka* *777* *FN Artikel 19* *EU* *48.1* *EKMR* *6.2* *Regeringsformen* *2:23* *skyddspersonerna* *Secretess A, B och C.* *777* *skyddad för vad?*

Postadress: Box 1194, 141 24 HJÖDINGE
Gataadress: Björnkullavägen 7
Telefon: 010-562 50 00
Faks: 010-562 57 99
E-post: registrator.aksoderont-stocholm@aklagare.se
Webbplats: www.aklagare.se

Deputy Chief Prosecutor Petra Göthell¹⁹, after a year of secret surveillance and monitoring, could not specify any circumstances – let alone specific crimes.

General and unspecified circumstances CANNOT justify restrictions on my freedom, nor the freedom and rights of my children, who have been taken hostage.

NO crimes were mentioned.

NO timeline.

¹⁹⁾ Petra Göthell => went to hell, the case was dismissed, not because the judge wanted to, but because of the revelation.

Deputy Chief Prosecutor Petra Göthell essentially argues that I, along with all Muslims, should be presumed guilty of future actions – **WITHOUT any trial or legal review!**

- What happened to the fundamental principles of law according to Humanity laws?
- What happened to legal certainty?
- What happened to the right to a fair trial?
- What happened to the principle of proportionality?
- What happened to the principle of predictability, a cornerstone of both national and international law?

Can Deputy Chief Prosecutor Petra Göthell explain to the world what circumstances, crimes, or timelines justified granting protected identity, **WITHOUT legal review**, for my children who were taken hostage on September 14, 2016, and continuing to this day?

The unlawful decision to grant protected identity was applied **without legal basis** and in violation of the right to private and family life under Swedish law and international conventions, particularly the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) and the ICCPR.

Furthermore, Muslim children are subjected to systematically misleading information intended to create distance and distrust toward their parents and guardians, without allowing them insight into the injustices and abusive treatment their parents faced – an understanding many only reach much later in life.

It is now clear why I am requesting that my children be summoned to the hearings. By gaining access to the documents and evidence and by hearing me firsthand, they can form their own understanding of the circumstances.

Over the past eight years, my children have been kept separated from me and continuously exposed to misleading information. Kimiya and Kiyana, now 17 and 15 years old, are fully capable of drawing their own conclusions based on the evidence, and it is the court's obligation to enable their presence at the hearings.

Time and Place: Ongoing hostage-taking of my children for political motives, since September 14, 2016, in Enskede – Stockholm – Sweden.

Ulf Kristersson, both as a private person and in his role as the leader of the Moderate Party, has been aware of the verdict since December 2017 (attachment 24).

Since October 19, 2022, following Ulf Kristersson's appointment as Prime Minister, these national and international laws have been disregarded, making Ulf Kristersson a child hostage-taker with political motives (attachment 7, 8, 9, 10).

(

 **Addenda:**

According to the Swedish justice system, **ALL Muslims are:**

- Guilty and convicted in advance, even when the prosecutor has NOTHING concrete to present after a year of surveillance.
- Guilty and convicted of crimes WITHOUT the prosecutor specifying a particular offense.
- Guilty as charged and convicted in advance for all future actions.

Such are the principles of legal certainty and the presumption of innocence for Muslims in Sweden.

Such is Muslims' right to fair treatment within the justice system in Sweden.

I now hope that the world understands how protected identities for Muslim children in Sweden are granted, how they are used as instruments of terror against ALL Muslims, and how Muslim children's right to be with their guardians is violated – with the help of a legal system that does not follow the law but only maintains the appearance of legal certainty.

Swedes slaughter democracy under the guise of democracy.

)

- Coerced Confession

Offense Classification:

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------|
| A) Undue Influence | |
| ○ Penal Code | Chapter 17, § 10 |
| ○ Code of Judicial Procedure | Chapter 23, § 12 |
| ○ UN Convention Against Torture | Article 3 |
| ○ European Convention | Article 6 |
| B) Violation of the Prohibition of Torture | Instrument of |
| Government, Chapter 2 Section 5 | |
| C) Violation of the Prohibition of Torture | UN, Article 5 — |
| ECHR, Article 3 | |
| D) Violation of the Presumption of Innocence | UN, Article 11 — |
| ECHR, Article 6:2 | |
| E) Unlawful Coercion of Evidence | |
| F) Abuse of Authority | |

Description of the Offense:

After the trial on November 26, 2021, where the prosecutor's vague and unfounded allegations formed the basis of a charge following a year of surveillance and monitoring, I took the step of going to the police on November 29, 2021.

Well there, with the police present at the Globen police station, I stated directly:

"I confess to everything you want me to confess to, even the murder of Olof Palme."

With this confession, I wanted to put an end to the psychological torture of my children, who had been taken hostage, as had become evident during the trial on November 26, 2021.

At the same time, with this confession, I wanted to highlight the absurdity of the situation – that my guilt already seemed predetermined regardless of evidence or circumstances, unfortunately in the *"Future"*

My point was clear:

"If the justice system is willing to hold me accountable for anything, regardless of the legal requirements for objectivity and evidence, I might as well 'confess' to whatever they want me to confess."

This is the reality for tens of thousands of Muslim families in Sweden every year.

Time and Place: Ongoing crime, hostage-taking of my children for political motives, since September 14, 2016, in Enskede – Stockholm – Sweden.

Ulf Kristersson, both as a private citizen and in his role as the leader of the Moderate Party, has been aware of the verdict since December 2017 (case document 24).

Since October 19, 2022, following Ulf Kristersson's appointment as Prime Minister, these national and international laws have been disregarded, making Ulf Kristersson a child hostage-taker with political motives (appendix 7, 8, 9, 10).

- Torture

It is important to emphasize that both I and my children, after separate investigations by the justice system and in accordance with the standards, laws, and regulations of social authorities, have been cleared of all false accusations, false charges, defamation, and attempts at fabrication.

However, the judge stated that my publications – two books and writings to the district court, authorities, and media – were the sole basis for the decision to prevent me from having contact with my children, where my literary works were deemed to constitute a crime and render me unfit as a parent!

The unlawful ruling approved by the Svea Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court (case document 24):

“Isa Musavi has recently published two books, sent emails to the district court, various authorities, and news editorial offices (offense) ... in light of this, the district court finds it currently inappropriate for the children to have contact with Isa Musavi (punishment) ... Isa Musavi have to consider take a step back (submitting – capitulating) ... finding a more constructive approach (cease writing) to attempt to resolve the situation (renounce political stance)!”

Judge Daniel Eriksson, MUFT 11352 – 17

Offense Classification:

- A) UN– Convention on the Rights of the Child
 - I. Article 2:2
 - II. Article 8
 - III. Article 9
 - IV. Article 16
 - V. Article 19
 - VI. Article 36
 - VII. Article 37
- B) Constitution – the Instrument of Government
 - I. Chapter 2, Section 5 Torture
 - II. Chapter 2, Section 6 Personal Integrity
 - III. Chapter 2, Section 2, item 2 Forced Starvation
 - IV. Chapter 12, Section 9, item 2 Refusal to Apply
 - V. Chapter 1, Section 2, item 2 Forced to starvation for the application of private prosecution for violations of international law against Ulf Kristersson
- C) EU – Charter of Fundamental Rights
 - I. Article 4 – Prohibition of Torture

D) EU – ECHR

- I. Article 3 – Prohibition of Torture, Cruel, Inhuman, or Degrading Treatment or Punishment

E) ICCPR

- I. Article 7 – Prohibition of Torture, Cruel, Inhuman, or Degrading Treatment or Punishment

F) UN – Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman, or Degrading Treatment or Punishment

- I. Article 1
- II. Article 2, paragraphs 2 and 3
- III. Article 4

G) Penal Code

- I. Chapter 3, Section 5
- II. Chapter 3, Section 6
- III. Chapter 20, Section 1
- IV. Chapter 23, Section 1 – Conspiracy and Participation in Bodily Harm, Murder

Description of Torture, for These Headings:

- 1) Assault on My Children in Swedish Custody – Physical and Psychological Abuse
- 2) Corporal Punishment and Routine Psychological Abuse
- 3) The Choice! Between Private Prosecution AND Forced Starvation
- 4) State Denial of Medical Care

All of these violations of international law, acts of torture that Ulf Kristersson is accountable for, must be considered in light of his personal awareness of the case (see document 5, USB attachment 4) since 2017, both as an individual and as the leader of the Moderates, Sweden's second-largest party.

Since October 18, 2022, Ulf Kristersson as prime minister has had the opportunity to halt the hostage-taking of my children due to my factual revealing, in accordance with the Instrument of Government, Chapter 12, Section 9, paragraph 2, but has refused to apply the law despite overwhelming proofs.

Ulf Kristersson, as the state's highest decision-maker, has had all legal means to stop the systematic abuse against Muslims but has personally “**Chosen**” not to act, effectively legitimizing these state-led abuses.

Ulf Kristersson, as an individual, bears personal responsibility for all these crimes.

1) Assault on My Children in Swedish Custody –**Physical and Psychological Abuse**

My children, Kimiya and Kiyana Musavi, held as hostages, have been subjected to abuse in Swedish custody, as documented in the prosecutor's investigation (appendix 13).

I request that the district court provide investigation AM-141117–20, along with the prosecutor's investigation concerning the classified case B–16804–20 at Södertörn District Court.

These investigations constitute indisputable evidence of psychological torture and degrading treatment of my children, who have been held as hostages. To date, this evidence has been withheld from public release due to fears of international repercussions, both by the Prosecutor's Office and the district court. Ulf Kristersson is aware of this but has not taken the legally required actions.

This constitutes a legal right that I am still denied, and it serves as evidence of the systematic methods – a routine directed against tens of thousands of Muslims each year. It is critical evidence in the prosecution for international law violations directed at Ulf Kristersson, Prime Minister of Sweden.

Time and Place: September 14, 2016, to October 15, 2016 – abuse of my children in a protected women's shelter (attachment 13). During that period, the police made intensive efforts to coerce my children into confessing that I had abused them, an attempt that ultimately failed.

The psychological abuse, cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment of my children held as hostages has continued since September 14, 2016. Ulf Kristersson, both as a citizen and in his role as leader of the Moderate Party, has been aware of the verdict since December 2017.

Abused the author's children in Swedish custody.

5000-K770914-17

Bilaga.13
874040-24

INACIA
2017-09-22
KONKRETEN

Seyed Isa Musavi

Barnmisshandel (flickebarn under 9 år) i svenskt förvar

Ingen kan ta miste om, från alla skriftliga rapporter, att 2016-09-14 var den sista dagen jag såg mina barn vid liv. En nedlagd förundersökning mot mig, talar klarspråk om anklagelserna om barnmisshandel.

Nu påstår åklagaren att mina barn var misshandlad drygt en månad efter detta datum?

Jag vill ha en utredning om vem/vilka har misshandlat mina barn 2016-09-14 - 2016-10-15, utan att barnen ska störas mer.

Enligt åklagare var mina barn misshandlade efter 2016-09-14 enligt nedan:

- 1. Misshandel 2016-09-27**
Id: POR50-BM2016-14884009-HR, Ext ärendenr: 5000-K1226390-16
- 2. Misshandel 2015-10-16 - 2016-10-15**
Id: POD50-BM2017-283221566-30, Ext ärendenr: 5000-K1226390-16
- 3. Misshandel 2015-10-16 - 2016-10-15**
Id: POD50-BM2017-283218500-3B, Ext ärendenr: 5000-K1226390-16
- 4. Misshandel 2015-10-16 - 2016-10-15**
Id: POD50-BM2017-283223380-3C, Ext ärendenr: 5000-K1226390-16
- 5. Misshandel 2015-09-27**
Id: POR50-BM2016-14884010-HA, Ext ärendenr: 5000-K1226390-16
- 6. Misshandel 2013-10-16 - 2016-10-15**
Id: POD50-BM2017-283214817-3S, Ext ärendenr: 5000-K1226390-16
- 7. Misshandel 2016-09-01 - 2016-09-27**
Id: POD50-BM2017-283235205-3G, Ext ärendenr: 5000-K1226390-16
- 8. Misshandel 2015-09-27**
Id: POR50-BM2016-14884009-HH, Ext ärendenr: 5000-K1226390-16
- 9. Misshandel 2015-10-16 - 2016-10-15**
Id: POD50-BM2017-283221566-30, Ext ärendenr: 5000-K1226390-16
- 10. Misshandel 2015-10-16 - 2016-10-15**
Id: POD50-BM2017-283218500-3B, Ext ärendenr: 5000-K1226390-16

Har jag fått duplikat eller är det två uppsättningar för två barn? Identiskt med de ovannämnda!

2) Corporal Punishment and Daily Psychological Abuse

Since September 14, 2016, I do not even know if my children, held as hostages, are still alive.

➤ Economic Terror

Restricting the financial resources of Muslim families is a routine measure directed at tens of thousands of Muslim households in Sweden. In my case, since September 14, 2016, the Swedish state has gradually restricted all my financial resources by confiscating property, blocking earned income, and seizing unemployment benefits. This culminated in September 2022 with the publication of my factual work *“Assassinated for ...”*²⁰.

The true-life book discussed how, in March 2020, I informed Sweden's National Police Chief that Swedish judges are raping Muslim women, and evoked the responsibility on the Police Chief to either investigate or conceal these allegations.

Sweden's National Police Chief, Anders Thornberg, did chose to remain silent and took no action, leading me, in September 2022 after more than two years, to reveal this in that actual work *“Assassinated for ...”*.

The state's repressive measures against me were extensively documented in a later work titled *“Sweden, the jewish Community against Muslims”*²¹, containing supporting documentation that verified my claims (Document 2, pages 252–273, and appendix 11).

Time and Place: Routine economic terror has been ongoing since 2016.

Economic terror leading to forced starvation and house arrest has continued since September 11, 2022.

²⁰) Assassinated for (in Swedish)

<https://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/Lonnmordad-Sv-2022.pdf>

²¹) Sweden, the jewish Community against Muslims

<http://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/Staten-En.pdf>

➤ **Forced Starvation**

Document 11 (Unemployment Benefits) serves as evidence, beyond all reasonable doubt, that I was always entitled to the compensation that the state, without legal review, had blocked. After this was made public, the state secretly attempted, under false pretenses, to restore the compensation I was entitled to all along but that had been withheld as a reprisal for the revelation that “*Swedish judges are raping Muslim women*”.

Document 11 (Unemployment Benefits) should be studied in connection with the literature “*Swedish State, the jewish Community against Muslims*” (USB drive, PDF file, attachment 1), pages 245–262.

These repressive measures resulted in forced starvation, where my daily sustenance was reduced to a single slice of bread and water. This should be viewed in light of my age (born in 1966) and the state’s refusal to provide medical care.

Time and Place: Economic terror resulting in forced starvation and house arrest has continued since September 11, 2022.

➤ **House Arrest WITHOUT Court Ruling**

In addition to the starvation, the reprisals also included house arrest, without any formal detention or sentence imposing such a restriction. I lacked, and still lack, the means to purchase a travel card for use within Stockholm.

All because of (case document 24, year 2017):

“Isa Musavi has recently published two books, sent emails to the district court, various authorities, and news editorial offices (offense) ... in light of this, the district court finds it currently inappropriate for the children to have contact with Isa Musavi (punishment) ... Isa Musavi have to consider take a step back (submitting – capitulating) ... finding a more constructive approach (cease writing) to attempt to resolve the situation (renounce political stance)!”

Judge Daniel Eriksson, MUFT 11352 – 17

Time and Place: The economic terror has progressively worsened since September 14, 2016.

Travel restrictions, WITHOUT legal review, have been in place since September 11, 2022. House arrest has continued since the publication of the literature “*Assassinated for ...*” on September 11, 2022, without any charges or court rulings.

➤ **New Disclosure, New Round of Forced Starvation and House Arrest**

On October 3, 2024, I wrote to the Speaker of the Swedish Parliament, informing him of a jewish plan for terror directed at Sweden's Members of Parliament, intended to be attributed to Iran.

On October 4, 2024, I made this information public.

On the same day, October 4, 2024, I received a notice of summons in my mailbox. The case or matter it pertains to is still unknown to me (attachment 20).

Additionally, on October 4, 2024, I received an interim decision from the Employment Service for a 45-day suspension (attachment 21).

A 45-day suspension means a period without income for two months, which leads to another round of forced starvation and house arrest, all **WITHOUT** legal review.

You welcome – I am pleased to see that the plan for terror against Swedish Members of Parliament has now been put on hold.

This is what political persecution looks like in Sweden, even against someone loyal to the country's democratic institutions.

Time and Place: The economic terror has progressively worsened since September 14, 2016.

The new round of forced starvation and house arrest has continued since the disclosure of the jewish plan for terror against Swedish Members of Parliament on October 3, 2024.

3) The Choice! Between Private Prosecution (T 19040–24) AND Forced Starvation

Once again; Unlawful financial penalty, this time, for the private prosecution due to the “Crimes against Humanity” against Ulf Kristersson, Swedish Prime Minister.

Offense Classification:

- Instrument of Government, Chapter 1, Section 2, paragraph 2 – Crimes Against Social Care, Security, and Health

Description of the Offense:

As if two months of lost income after the revelation of a jewish plan for terror of Sweden’s Members of Parliament were not enough, my social welfare benefits have now been halved as a result of the attempt to file a private prosecution against Sweden’s Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson.

Today, as reprisals for my private prosecution against Ulf Kristersson, my right to social welfare benefits has been halved, which in practice means a second round of forced starvation and continued house arrest **WITHOUT legal basis** and **WITHOUT legal review**.

I may feel hunger in my body, but my hunger for justice surpasses the harm inflicted on me by the Swedish state, with Ulf Kristersson at the helm.

With each day this continues, you in the Stockholm district court also bear a growing responsibility for the physical harm that arises and for violations of the Instrument of Government, Chapter 1, Section 2, item 2, which stipulates “*good conditions for health*”.

Time and Place:

The economic terror has been ongoing since September 14, 2016.

This particular unlawful financial penalty with social welfare benefits, from November 1, 2024, is ongoing...

4) The State Refuses Healthcare

Since September 14, 2016, when my children were taken hostage, the state has also restricted my access to healthcare. I am now denied care at Stureby Health Center and lack the financial means to seek care from private providers.

This is part of the oppression and persecution faced by a Muslim author in Sweden.

For my chronic skin condition, which has affected my fingers – the fingers I use to write these lines, these revelations – the state also refuses to provide the necessary dressings like DuoDerm. I have therefore been forced to reach out to international organizations, like Doctors without Borders and the International Red Cross, for help to no avail.

(

Addenda

Read and be shocked – both Doctors without Borders and the International Red Cross refuse to provide these bandages! It is as if their sole purpose is to humiliate and impose a sense of inadequacy on Africans and Muslims.



)

Despite being 55 years old (in 2020), which placed me in a high-risk group for COVID-19, I was even denied vaccination, as if there were an intention to eliminate without raising suspicion of a planned state murder under Chapter 3, Section 1, which requires that *“The act must be preceded by careful planning”*.

Denied the right to vaccination in this manner is also clear evidence of aiding and abetting murder under the Penal Code, Chapter 23, Section 1, preparation and collusion to commit murder, or alternatively, aggravated bodily harm.

Yes, this is the persecution and oppression that a Muslim author faces in Sweden – undeniably a serious violation of international law.

All because of (case document 24, year 2017):

“Isa Musavi has recently published two books, sent emails to the district court, various authorities, and news editorial offices (offense) ... in light of this, the district court finds it currently inappropriate for the children to have contact with Isa Musavi (punishment) ... Isa Musavi have to consider take a step back (submitting – capitulating) ... finding a more constructive approach (cease writing) to attempt to resolve the situation (renounce political stance)!”

Judge Daniel Eriksson, MUFT 11352 – 17

Time and Place:

Ongoing in Stockholm since September 14, 2016.

- Violation of Privacy and Family Life

The case is based on the fact that:

It is important to emphasize that both I and my children, after separate investigations by the justice system and in accordance with the standards, laws, and regulations of social authorities, have been cleared of all false accusations, false charges, defamation, and attempts at fabrication.

The judge cited my publications – two books as well as writings submitted to the district court, authorities, and the media – as the sole basis for the decision to prevent me from having contact with my children, where my literary works were considered crimes and made me unfit as a parent!

Offense Classification:

- A) Violation of the right to privacy and family life
 - a. Constitution, the Instrument of Government
 - i. Chapter 1, Section 2, item 4
 - ii. Chapter 2, Section 8
 - iii. Chapter 2, Section 12
- B) European Convention on Human Rights
 - Article 8
- C) ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights)
 - Article 17
- D) Convention on the Rights of the Child
 - i. Article 2.2
 - ii. Article 3.2
 - iii. Article 9
 - iv. Article 16
 - v. Article 18
- E) UN– Human Rights
 - i. Article 16.3
 - ii. Article 26.3
 - iii. Article 30

Description of the Offense:

The Swedish state, represented by Prime Minister Ulf Kristersson, has systematically prevented contact between me and my hostage children **without** any basis and **without** legal review. This has obstructed our right to a normal family

life and constitutes abuse under Swedish law, the European Convention, the ICCPR, and United Nations conventions.

Systematic abuse directed against tens of thousands of Muslims in Sweden looks the same every year.

Time and Place: This systematic state abuse, unlawful deprivation of liberty, has been ongoing against me and my hostage children since 2016, without any legal basis and without the right to legal review.

Ulf Kristersson, as a private citizen and also in his role as leader of the Moderate Party, has been aware of the judgment since December 2017 (case document 24).

Since October 19, 2022, following Ulf Kristersson's appointment as Prime Minister, these national and international laws have been disregarded, making Ulf Kristersson a child hostage-taker with political motives (attachments 7, 8, 9, 10).

- Unlawful Deprivation of Liberty of Children

The same systematic abuse is being carried out against tens of thousands of Muslims in Sweden to this day.

Offense Classification:

- A) Violation of the Penal Code, freedom and peace
 - a. Human trafficking Chapter 4, Section 1
 - i. Unlawful coercion (protected identity without legal review)
 - ii. Misleading statements
 - iii. Exploitation of vulnerable circumstances, severely limited alternatives
 - iv. Improper means
 - b. Illegal deprivation of liberty Chapter 4, Section 2
 - c. Attempt, preparation, and aiding to commit human trafficking Chapter 4, Section 10
- B) Violation of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC)
 - a. Article 2.2 – Children shall not be punished for the expressed opinions of their parents (literary works).
 - b. Article 9 – Protection against unjustified separation from parents.
 - c. Article 16 – Protection against unlawful and arbitrary deprivation of liberty of children.
 - d. Article 37 – Protection against torture, inhuman treatment, and unlawful deprivation of liberty.
- C) EU– Convention on Human Rights
 - a. Article 5 – Children have the right to liberty and security, which prohibits arbitrary and unlawful deprivation of liberty.

Description of the Offense:

The Swedish state, represented by Prime Minister Ulf Kristersson, has systematically prevented prosecution of officials who are waging war against Muslims, and has obstructed the application of the law equally for the Muslim population, Swedish citizens.

I remind the court:

”It is important to emphasize that both I and my children, after separate investigations by the justice system and in accordance with the standards, laws, and regulations of social authorities, have been cleared of all false accusations, false charges, defamation, and attempts at fabrication.

The judge cited my publications – two books as well as writings submitted to the district court, authorities, and the media – as the sole basis for the decision to prevent me from having contact with my children, where my literary works were considered crimes and made me unfit as a parent!

Systematic abuse directed against tens of thousands of Muslims in Sweden.

Time and Place: Ongoing kidnapping of my children, with political motives since September 14, 2016, in Enskede – Stockholm – Sweden. This systematic state abuse continues against me and my hostage children, without any legal basis and without the right to legal review.

Ulf Kristersson, as a private citizen and also in his role as leader of the Moderate Party, has been aware of the judgment since December 2017 (case document 24).

Since October 19, 2022, following Ulf Kristersson's appointment as Prime Minister, these national and international laws have been disregarded, making Ulf Kristersson a child hostage-taker with political motives (attachments 7, 8, 9, 10).

- Violation of Life and Health

Offense Classification:

- Penal Code, Chapter 3, Section 5 – Inflicting bodily harm, pain, and helplessness.

Description of the Offense:

Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, has, through his choices, harmed the physical and mental health of my hostage children and myself, deteriorating our quality of life, and, not least, continuing to leave two defenseless children and me in a state of helplessness.

The court's composition should be reminded that this annually affects tens of thousands of Muslim citizens who are subjected to exactly the same physical and mental suffering, crimes – torture, as Ulf Kristersson's refusal to apply the law equally to all Muslims, citizens who are contributing to the Swedish welfare state.

Regardless of how the situation is viewed, the fact remains that this constitutes a serious violation of international law.

Described in detail with relevant documents, image, and audio files for the court sessions.

Remember (case document 24, year 2017):

“Isa Musavi has recently published two books, sent emails to the district court, various authorities, and news editorial offices (offense) ... in light of this, the district court finds it currently inappropriate for the children to have contact with Isa Musavi (punishment) ... Isa Musavi have to consider take a step back (submitting – capitulating) ... finding a more constructive approach (cease writing) to attempt to resolve the situation (renounce political stance)!”

Judge Daniel Eriksson, MUFT 11352 – 17

Time and Place:

This systematic state abuse, unlawful deprivation of liberty, has been ongoing against me and my hostage children since 2016, **without** any **legal basis** and **without** the **right to legal review**.

Ulf Kristersson, as a private citizen and also in his role as leader of the Moderate Party, has been aware of the verdict since December 2017 (case document 24).

Since October 18, 2022, following Ulf Kristersson's appointment as Prime Minister, these national and international laws have been disregarded, making Ulf Kristersson a child hostage-taker with political motives (attachments 7, 8, 9, 10).

Note:

Of all the crimes mentioned in the summons, it follows that the judiciary not only has an obligation but also a duty to initiate legal proceedings at the slightest suspicion of a crime. Despite this, the judiciary has, to date, literally refused to take the necessary actions.

It is not unfounded to claim that: the Prime Minister Ulf Kristersson has actively prevented these authorities from fulfilling their obligations toward Muslims in Sweden.

- Violation of Liberty and Peace of Mind

Offense Classification:

- Penal Code Chapter 4, Section 1a
 - o Unlawful coercion
 - o Misleading
 - o Vulnerable position
 - o Improper means

Description of the Offense:

As outlined in case document 24, the deprivation of liberty of my children constitutes unlawful coercion directed at two defenseless children. Since the first day (1) they were taken hostage, my children have been misled and subjected to systematic lies by the authorities.

This is therefore not only a case of deprivation of liberty but also a situation in which both my children and I find ourselves in a vulnerable position, exposed to improper methods and coercion. This is further described in the academic literature “*Forced Conversion of Muslim Children in Sweden*” (case document 5, USB attachment 2, pages 131 to 167, containing documents).

Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, through his actions, has deprived me and my hostage children of our freedom and security through unlawful coercion and deprivation of liberty. This has occurred through the abuse of protected identity, human trafficking, and extortion for my disclosures, as well as through abuse of power that has obstructed our ability to seek legal review, forcing us to live in a vulnerable situation with severely limited alternatives.

Regardless of how the situation is viewed, the fact remains that this constitutes a serious violation of international law.

The court's composition should be reminded that this annually affects tens of thousands of Muslim citizens who are subjected to exactly the same crime – a suffering that Ulf Kristersson's refusal to apply the law equally for Muslims who contributes to the country's prospect for success. This is described in detail with testimony, relevant documents, image, and audio files for the panel.

Time and Place: This systematic state abuse, unlawful deprivation of liberty, has been ongoing against me and my hostage children since 2016, **without** any legal basis and **without** the right to legal review.

Ulf Kristersson, as a private citizen and also in his role as leader of the Moderate Party, has been aware of the judgment since December 2017 (case document 24).

Since October 19, 2022, following Ulf Kristersson's appointment as Prime Minister, these national and international laws have been disregarded, making Ulf Kristersson a child hostage-taker with political motives (attachments 7, 8, 9, 10).

Note:

Of all the crimes mentioned in the summons, it follows that the judiciary not only has an obligation but also a duty to initiate legal proceedings at the slightest suspicion of a crime. It is not unfounded to claim that: **the Prime Minister Ulf Kristersson has actively prevented these authorities from fulfilling their obligations toward Muslims in Sweden.**

- Violation of Family Rights

Offense Classification:

- Penal Code Chapter 7, Section 4

Description of the Offense:

It is illegal to unlawfully separate and withhold a child under the age of fifteen from the person who has custody. As a custodian according to the judgment from 2012 (attachments 1 and 5a), I have the legal responsibility and right to exercise custody over my hostage children. Despite this, my hostage children have been withheld from me in a manner that not only contradicts the custody decision but also violates my hostage children's right to family life under international law.

By enabling and maintaining this withholding, the state not only violates my rights as a custodian but also infringes on my hostage children's rights to safety and the presence of their custodian.

My children are held hostage for my disclosures, as expressed in the reasoning of the court ruling, approved by the Svea Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court, in violation of all laws and regulations, both nationally and internationally.

Remind you (case document 24, year 2017):

“Isa Musavi has recently published two books, sent emails to the district court, various authorities, and news editorial offices (offense) ... in light of this, the district court finds it currently inappropriate for the children to have contact with Isa Musavi (punishment) ... Isa Musavi have to consider take a step back (submitting – capitulating) ... finding a more constructive approach (cease writing) to attempt to resolve the situation (renounce political stance)!”

Judge Daniel Eriksson, MUFT 11352 – 17

Ulf Kristersson, as Prime Minister, has, through his position, unlawfully seized my hostage children and acted in a manner that exceeds his authority.

Time and Place:

The systematic state abuse, unlawful deprivation of liberty, has been ongoing against me and my hostage children since 2016, **without** any **legal basis** and **without** the **right to legal review**.

Ulf Kristersson, as a private citizen and also in his role as leader of the Moderate Party, has been aware of the verdict since December 2017 (case document 24).

Since October 19, 2022, following Ulf Kristersson's appointment as Prime Minister, these national and international laws have been disregarded, making Ulf Kristersson a child hostage-taker with political motives (attachments 7, 8, 9, 10).

Note:

Of all the crimes mentioned in the summons, it follows that the judiciary not only has an obligation but also a duty to initiate legal proceedings at the slightest suspicion of a crime. It is not unfounded to claim that: **the Prime Minister Ulf Kristersson has actively prevented these authorities from fulfilling their obligations toward Muslims in Sweden.**

- **Violation of the Right to Association**

Offense Classification:

- Constitution, the Instrument of Government
 - i. Chapter 2, Section 1, Item 3 – Freedom of Assembly
 - ii. Chapter 2, Section 1, Item 5 – Freedom of Association
- ICCPR, Article 22 Right to Freedom of Association

Description of the Offense:

In connection with my social engagement for Muslim children and youth, members of the “*Swedish Taekwondo Federation*” have been frightened away and distanced themselves. At the same time, due to state-sponsored economic terror (case document 5, USB mine, PDF file, attachment 1, pages 245 – 262), we have been unable to pay the rent for the office the association leases.

Now, the landlord, a state-owned company, has terminated our contract, which has been in place since 2012 (attachment 22). This forms part of the ongoing political persecution.

In addition, individuals have actively prevented and scared new members away from approaching us. A concrete example of this, which the district court can confirm and where witnesses can be heard, is detailed in the factual work “*Swedish State, the jewish Community Against Muslims*” (case document 2, pages 243 – 244).

This is undoubtedly a violation of the Constitution law, the Instrument of Government, Chapter 2, Section 1, Items 3 (Freedom of Assembly) and 5 (Freedom of Association), where the state actively and severely limits my, as a Muslim author, social interaction.

Time and Place: Since the revelation of “*Swedish Judges Raping Muslim Women*” on September 11, 2022.

- Violation of the Right to Professional Career

Offense Classification:

- | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| - ICCPR | Article 6 | Right to Life |
| - ICCPR | Article 22 | Right to Work |
| - ICESCR | Article 6 | Right to Work |
| - ICESCR | Article 15 | Right to Cultural Development |
| - EU Charter of Fundamental Rights | Article 15 | Right to Work |

Description of the Offense:

I have been a Taekwondo instructor in Sweden since 1992 and have advanced to the rank of Sixth Dan in the sport. However, after the painful hostage-taking of my children, the ongoing persecution, eavesdropping, and surveillance that began in 2016, I have not been able to continue my development in this field.

It is important to note that Sweden has not only deprived me of the right to live with my children, but also severely impacted my personal ambitions and professional development.

This is the case for tens of thousands of other Muslim men in Sweden.

As a socially engaged Muslim author in Sweden, I have been deprived of all aspects of a civilized life: family, children, and career.

This occurs within what Sweden portrays as a civil society, while Ulf Kristersson is fully aware of these conditions and fails to act in accordance with his duties.

The Swedish state, under the leadership of Ulf Kristersson, and its representatives, have actively and systematically obstructed my professional development and career. This has resulted in a severe violation of my fundamental rights under both national and international law, including the right to work, career development, as well as my social and economic rights.

Remind you (case document 24, year 2017):

“Isa Musavi has recently published two books, sent emails to the district court, various authorities, and news editorial offices (offense) ... in light of this, the district court finds it currently inappropriate for the children to have contact with

Isa Musavi (punishment) ... *Isa Musavi have to consider take a step back* (submitting – capitulating) ... *finding a more constructive approach* (cease writing) *to attempt to resolve the situation* (renounce political stance)!”

Judge Daniel Eriksson, T 11352 – 17

Time and Place:

Since the revelation of "*Forced Conversion of Muslim Children in Sweden*" (Case Appendix 5, USB stick, PDF file, Appendix 2), on September 14, 2016.

Ulf Kristersson, as a private citizen and also in his role as leader of the Moderate Party, has been aware of the verdict since December 2017 (case document 24).

Since October 19, 2022, following Ulf Kristersson's appointment as Prime Minister, these national and international laws have been disregarded, making Ulf Kristersson a child hostage-taker with political motives (attachments 7, 8, 9, 10).

2. Internationally

Through the state's failure, pure animosity against Muslims, to reveal and avert crimes against Muslim children and the Muslim population, as well as systematic abuses of Muslims, Ulf Kristersson is guilty of the Crimes against Humanity on the international stage.

Sweden has, in accordance with international law, an obligation to ensure the right of all citizens to protection of private and family life. This right is anchored in both Swedish Constitutional laws, the European Convention, and includes, among other things, children's rights under the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child.

Despite this, Ulf Kristersson commits serious crimes of state oppression in the form of the withholding of children from custodians, as well as illegal coercive measures against a Muslim author who, due to his literatures and his commitment to the rights of Muslim children, is subjected to state persecution.

These international crimes that Ulf Kristersson commits against a Muslim author are not limited to this individual alone, but are also perpetrated annually against tens of thousands of Muslims – Swedish citizens who work and contribute to a welfare system that so grossly oppresses them through the taxes they generate, financing institutionalized hatred against Muslims themselves.

a. Violations of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child

Offense Classification:

Violation of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC)

- CRC Article 2
- CRC Article 3
- CRC Article 5
- CRC Article 8
- CRC Article 9
- CRC Article 16
- CRC Article 18
- CRC Article 19
- CRC Article 36
- CRC Article 37

Description of the Offense:

Article 2 – Children should not be punished based on their parents' opinions, religion, or ethnic background.

- Attachment 5 is an indisputable document certifying that my children were/still are punished for the two literatures I published in 2017, as evidenced by the reasoning in the ruling T 11352 – 17.
- It is also clearly and unmistakably stated in the police's report that my children were punished due to my ethnic background and religion, quote: “*!Feeling of honor-related!*”. Attachment 12.

The Swedish police, as Always, act as jury, judge, and executioner against Muslim population from the very first beginning. This is due to the prejudices against the religion of Islam and ethnic background that are institutionalized in the system, but Ulf Kristersson refuses to put an end to these abuses of power.

- My hostages children were subjected to abuse in Swedish custody, for all my writings in 2016. Attachment 13.

Article 3 – All decisions regarding children should primarily consider the best interests of the child.

- Decisions regarding protected identity, based on Muslims' religion, ethnic background, and in my case the publication of two literatures from their custodian, can be strongly questioned as actions in the best interests of the children, especially considering that it has **NOT** been legally reviewed.
- Ruling MUFT 12484 – 16 “*The suspicions of violence against the children that have emerged following the parties' separation currently lack objective support.*” Attachment 14.
- Ruling HUFT 12484 – 16 “*Sole custody for Isa could possibly contribute to the children having contact with both parents, whereas sole custody for Marjan would likely maintain the current situation.*” Attachment 15.

Article 5 – The state shall respect parents' rights and responsibilities to guide and nurture their children.

- By depriving me and tens of thousands of Muslims of the opportunity to practice their parenting and maintain regular personal and direct contact with their children, Ulf Kristersson, Sweden's Prime Minister, commits a serious violation of international law.

Article 8 – Children have the right to their identity, including family ties.

- My children, as direct descendants of the Prophet in Islam, have been deprived of their right to rich cultural identity and family ties. Through hostage-taking and imposed unlawful protected identity, **WITHOUT legal review**, Ulf Kristersson has deprived my children of this right.

Article 9 – Children should not be separated from their parents against their will. The right to regular personal and direct contact with parents.

- “*Kimiya wants to see Dad*”. Attachment 16.
- “*Kimiya sat on the floor and did not want to go with Mom*.” Attachment 17.
- Kiyana verbatim: “*I want to sleep at Dad's tonight; I feel sorry for Dad. We haven't been with him for four days*.” See clips, USB- Attachment 3.

According to the article, children have the right to family life **WITHOUT improper interference**, a right that is systematically denied to Muslim families in Sweden.

I don't even know if my children are alive. Withholding my hostage children from me as their custodian, without legal review, violates all laws and regulations, both nationally and internationally, including Article 9 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child regarding the right to regular, direct, and personal contact with parents.

Article 16 – Protection against arbitrary and unlawful interference in private life.

- Muslim children, including my hostage children, have the right to privacy and family life.

To withhold Muslim children from their custodian without valid grounds is undoubtedly an institutionalized act of hate toward Muslims and unlawful interference in all respects.

Article 18 – Parents' rights and responsibilities for children's upbringing, well-being, and development.

- The Swedish state, through institutionalized hatred against Islam, denies Muslims the right and responsibility to provide their children with an upbringing that promotes their well-being and development.

This indisputable fact is evident from the case regarding my hostage children, who have suffered greatly since 2016 due to my social engagement for Muslim children's rights to both parents' rights and responsibilities.

Article 19 – Protection against physical or psychological harm.

- The state is obligated to protect children from all forms of physical and psychological harm.

My hostages children have been subjected to abuse in Swedish custody, as indicated in the prosecutor's rapport (attachment 13). Furthermore, my hostages children have repeatedly expressed their desire to be with me (attachment 16) and wish to return home, without the responsible officials considering their statutory rights.

Undoubtedly, these officials have subjected my hostages children to psychological torture, leaving them helpless with severely limited options, which constitutes a clear violation of Article 19 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child.

5000-K770914-17

Bilaga 13
8740-40-24

INOM
2016-09-22
HÖRNINGEN

Sigrid Lisa Mawani

Barnmisshandel (flikkebarn under 9 år) i svenskt förvar

Inget kan ta mer än en vecka att skriva rapporten, att 2016-09-14 var den sista dagen jag såg mina barn vid liv. En nedlagd försöksbarnskontroll mot mig, talar klarspråk om anklagelserna om barnmisshandel.

Ne glöds deltagarna att mina barn var misshandlade drygt en månad efter detta datum?

Jag vill ha en utredning om vem/vilka har misshandlat mina barn 2016-09-14 - 2016-10-15, utan att barnen ska släpas ut.

Enligt deltagarna var mina barn misshandlade efter 2016-09-14 enligt nedan:

1. Misshandel 2016-09-27
Id: POKSO-8M2016-14894009-HK, Ett ärenden: 5000-K1226390-16
2. Misshandel 2015-10-16 - 2016-10-15
Id: POKSO-8M2017-28321566-30, Ett ärenden: 5000-K1226390-16
3. Misshandel 2015-10-16 - 2016-10-15
Id: POKSO-8M2017-283218590-38, Ett ärenden: 5000-K1226390-16
4. Misshandel 2015-10-16 - 2016-10-15
Id: POKSO-8M2017-28322390-30, Ett ärenden: 5000-K1226390-16
5. Misshandel 2015-09-27
Id: POKSO-8M2016-14894010-HK, Ett ärenden: 5000-K1226390-16
6. Misshandel 2013-10-16 - 2016-10-15
Id: POKSO-8M2017-283214817-35, Ett ärenden: 5000-K1226390-16
7. Misshandel 2016-09-01 - 2016-09-27
Id: POKSO-8M2017-283235295-30, Ett ärenden: 5000-K1226390-16

Har jag fått duplikat eller är det två uppsättningar för två barn? Identifiera med de ovanstående.

8. Misshandel 2015-09-27
Id: POKSO-8M2016-14894009-HK, Ett ärenden: 5000-K1226390-16
9. Misshandel 2015-10-16 - 2016-10-15
Id: POKSO-8M2017-28321566-30, Ett ärenden: 5000-K1226390-16
10. Misshandel 2015-10-16 - 2016-10-15
Id: POKSO-8M2017-283218590-38, Ett ärenden: 5000-K1226390-16

Article 36 – Protection of children against all forms of exploitation and harmful activities.

- There is no doubt that my hostages children (attachment 5) have been used by the state to influence, limit, and stop my revelations, an inhumane crime that is prohibited both nationally and internationally.

My children's welfare has been disregarded in violation of all laws to silence my fact-based exposé and hinder my public statements.

Article 37 – The right of children to be protected from torture and other cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment or punishment.

This report of violations of international law, along with all attachments and the USB-drives (literatures and video clips), leaves no doubt that my children have been held hostage and subjected to abuse and psychological degradation, along with the dangers that hostages face, which they will be forced to live with for the rest of their lives. Could there be worse forms of torture, cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment or punishment against two helpless innocent children?

Hostage Children paying the price for their father's writings and publications.

Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, “**Refuses**” to prioritize the welfare of tens of thousands of Muslim children, to protect them from harm, and to ensure their right to maintain relationships with their parents, particularly regarding my hostages children's statutory rights, as evidenced by the ruling (appendix 5) relating to my publications.

The state (here Stockholm District Court) has a responsibility under the Convention on the Rights of the Child to protect children's rights to family ties (Article 8) and to make decisions based on the best interests of the children (Article 3). By using protected identity **Without** trial and on unreasonable grounds, from day one, Swedish authorities are violating these fundamental rights.

The UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) also obliges Sweden to protect all citizens' rights to family life and refrain from arbitrary interference with privacy, as regulated in Article 17 of the ICCPR.

Constitutional law, the Swedish Instrument of Government, Chapter 1, Section 2, Paragraph 4 “**Public institutions shall promote the ideals of democracy as guidelines across all sectors of society and safeguard individual privacy and family life.**”

Time and Place: Ongoing since September 14, 2016, in Stockholm, Sweden.

b. Violation of the UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)

Offense Classification:

UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)

- ICCPR
Article 17
- ICCPR
Article 18
- ICCPR
Article 19
- ICCPR
Article 23
- ICCPR
Article 26
- ICCPR
Article 27

Description of the Offense:

Article 17 – Protection from Arbitrary Interference in Private and Family Life

The police memorandum (attachment 12) reveals to the world the extent of institutionalized hatred against Muslims within the Swedish justice system.

It is also unmistakably clear from the police memorandum that my children, held as hostages, were punished because of my ethnic background and religion. What is especially striking is that other actors within the justice system openly cite religion and ethnic background as grounds for actions against a Muslim, meaning an accused Muslim is guilty even before any legal trial has taken place. When the district court eventually holds a hearing, the verdict is always guilty as charged without any regard to evidence and investigations supporting the case of the wrongfully accused Muslim.

In this, and countless other cases targeting Muslims in Sweden, investigations always conclude in favor of the accused Muslim, but the punishments persist without legal intervention from other justice actors.

Despite the extensive, institutionalized hatred and systematic abuses against Muslims, Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, has **Chosen NOT to act**. These are the serious violations of international law, crimes against humanity that we must gather to address.

Everyone has the right to protection from arbitrary or unlawful interference in their private and family life, as the ICCPR mandates.

Article 19 –

1. Everyone shall have the right to freedom of thought without interference.
2. Everyone shall have the right to freedom of expression.

The ruling (attachment 5), which was surprisingly upheld by both the Svea Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court, starkly contrasts with legally binding international law.

- Could it be that Swedish judges and Sweden's Prime Minister are unaware of this binding law?
- Is it possible that the institutionalized hatred against Muslims outweighs Sweden's respect for the law?
- Perhaps international law and human rights are only applied when it concerns countries like Russia, China, and Iran!?

The right to freedom of thought without interference – and the right to freedom of expression – is what the ICCPR mandates.

The question now is:

How will the Stockholm District Court act against Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, in a case concerning Crimes against Humanity?

The question now is:

How will the Stockholm District Court act against Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, where the evidence makes it “impossible” for the Court to avoid a clear conclusion and judgment in accordance with international law?

Article 23 – The Right to Family Life

The family is the natural and fundamental unit of society and is entitled to protection.

On September 14, 2016, my children, Kimiya Musavi (XXXXXXX – XXXX) and Kiyana Musavi (XXXXXXXX – XXXX), were kidnapped by the Swedish state and are held as hostages due to the publication of the literature, “*Forced Conversion of Muslim Children in Sweden.*”

Documentation supporting these claims is compiled on USB drives (the literature). The authenticity of these documents cannot be questioned, as they contain the names of relevant authorities, dates, and case numbers. Additionally, under the principle of public access, everyone has the right to review this information.

Ulf Kristersson, now Prime Minister of Sweden, has been personally aware of this hostage-taking since 2017, yet he has **Chosen** NOT to take action to stop this violation of international rights under the ICCPR.

Every citizen, regardless of gender, race, religion, culture, or ethnic background, has the right to family life, as mandated by the ICCPR.

Article 26 – Equality before the Law and Protection against Discrimination
All are equal before the law and have the right to protection without discrimination in all its forms.

Opinions: Is there any doubt that my children are held hostage due to my publications? This is clearly confirmed by the ruling T 11352 – 17 (attachment 5), which was upheld by both the Svea Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court. Opinions are a right for all citizens regardless, and are protected under ICCPR Article 26.

Opinions are also a fundamental right for all citizens and is also protected under ICCPR Article 19.

Religion: Is there any doubt that my children are held hostage because of my religion, Islam? This is clearly confirmed by the police memorandum dated September 9, 2016. Religion is a fundamental right for all citizens regardless, and are protected under ICCPR Article 26.

Religion is a fundamental right for all citizens and is also protected under ICCPR Article 18.

Ethnic Background: Is there any doubt that my children are held hostage because of my ethnic background, Iran? This is clearly confirmed by the police memorandum dated September 9, 2016. Ethnic background is a fundamental right for all citizens regardless, and are protected under ICCPR Article 26.

Ethnic background is a fundamental right for all citizens and is also protected under ICCPR Article 27.

Culture: Is there any doubt that my children are held hostage because of my culture, Iranian? This is clearly confirmed by the police memorandum dated September 9, 2016. Culture is a fundamental right for all citizens regardless, and are protected under ICCPR Article 26.

Culture is a fundamental right for all citizens and is also protected under ICCPR Article 27.

Since becoming Prime Minister of Sweden in 2022, Ulf Kristersson has legitimized discrimination, both against me personally and against the Muslim population as a whole. This discrimination constitutes a serious violation of human rights and undermines Sweden's commitment to ensuring equality and protection for all citizens, regardless of religious affiliation.

Time and Place: Ongoing since September 14, 2016, in Stockholm, Sweden.

- **Murder** – in custody

Offense Classification:

- Constitution law, the Instrument of Government
 - i. Chapter 2, Section 8 Protection against detention without legal grounds
- Swedish Penal Code
 - i. Chapter 3, Section 2 Murder and Manslaughter
- Detention Act (2010:611)

- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
 - i. Article 2
 - ii. Article 3
 - iii. Article 5

- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)
 - i. Article 6
 - ii. Article 9

- UN Convention against Torture (CAT)
 - i. Article 12
 - ii. Article 12

- EU Charter of Fundamental Rights
 - i. Article 1
 - ii. Article 4

Description of the Offense:

Hussein Miftar, an athlete and academic who advocated for the rights of Muslim children in Sweden, was detained without charge and held in custody for a year without any concrete accusations.

When it was finally decided he would be released, he was found dead in his cell. The Swedish state refuses to conduct a thorough or independent investigation into his death, and the official explanation remains vague – it is claimed that he died from an illness without even disclosing the specific illness involved!

This raises serious questions about the Swedish justice system and the authorities' willingness to conceal the truth. Hussein Miftar's death in Swedish custody, which is by no means unique, represents an extreme violation of his rights, yet it has not been legally examined.

The death and the circumstances surrounding it are undeniably a violation of several national and international rights, including the right to life, liberty, and security, as well as the prohibition against torture and inhuman treatment.

Is this not a clear indication of the lack of a fair trial and effective legal remedies, which contravenes international law?

Time and Place: In January 2023, Hussein Miftar was detained without any suspicion of a crime in Malmö, Sweden, and was murdered in custody the same year.



Hussein Miftar, murdered in Swedish custody.



Donia Hassan, exploited and murdered in Swedish detention. Several hundred children have been abused, drugged, raped, and murdered in Swedish custody.

- Request for Interim Decision –

Revocation of Protected Identity for the Children

I hereby request that the Stockholm District Court immediately issue an interim decision to revoke the protected identity status of my hostages children, Kimiya and Kiyana Musavi. This protected status, maintained **Without any legal review**, has systematically prevented my children from being reunited with their rightful guardian, myself (attachment 5a), and from having genuine contact with me, their father.

Furthermore, the criminal decision regarding the protected identity status has been applied **Without legal support** and violates the right to privacy and family life under Swedish law and international conventions, particularly the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child and the ICCPR.

Furthermore, I request that my children be summoned to the hearing, so they can review the documentation and evidence to form their own understanding of the circumstances. For the past eight years, my children have been kept separated from me and consistently subjected to systematic and fabricated lies.

Every book I have published and every step I have taken to defend our rights has been systematically used to prevent my hostages children from having contact with me. Kimiya and Kiyana are now 17 and 15 years old, fully capable of making their own conclusions based on the evidence.

Participating in the hearing is their full legal right, and it is the court's absolute duty to facilitate this, which would help my hostages children to understand their situation and, ultimately, pave the way for a safe return home.

The purpose, I strive to obtain an interim decision to revoke the protected identity status of my children, allowing for a safe return home and restoring their right to family life. Furthermore, I request that the court examine the prime minister's responsibility, in his role as the country's highest representative, for the systematic persecution and abuses directed towards me and my hostages children. This includes denied contact between the children and their legal guardian (me), inquisition of beliefs, political persecution, unlawful detention of children, and protected identity status **WITHOUT legal basis**, used as an instrument of political terror.

I hereby request, with support from Swedish Constitutional laws and the Penal Code, as well as the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, ICCPR, and the European Convention on Human Rights, that:

1. **Immediate Revocation of Protected Identity** An illegal and criminal measure, lacking any legal basis from day one (1) on September 14, 2016.
2. **Immediate Cessation of Isolation and Unlawful Separation Measures** it is the duty of the court, based on all documentation, to issue an immediate cessation of actions that hold my children hostage **WITHOUT legal grounds.**
3. **Temporary Restoration of Custody and Parental Rights** it is our national and international right to immediately and unconditionally reunite after eight years of captivity – hostage due to my factual literatures.
4. **Protection against Psychological Influence and Indoctrination** it is the court's duty to issue an interim protection order against further psychological influence and misleading information provided to my hostages children.

The investigation AM-141117–20 and the prosecutor's investigation concerning case B-16804–20 serve as evidence of systematic influence against me. I remind Stockholm District Court of Article 8 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, regarding my children's right to identity and family ties.

5. **Ensuring My Children's Right to Education and Health**
The Stockholm District Court is obligated to issue an interim decision ensuring my hostages children's right to a safe environment that supports their education, health, and well-being.

I would like to remind the Stockholm District Court that the verdict below, constitutes a serious crime, nationally and internationally, and that Sweden's good reputation is increasingly damaged as long as my children are held hostage.

QUOTE (case document 24, year 2017):

“Isa Musavi has recently published two books, sent emails to the district court, various authorities, and news editorial offices (offense) ... in light of this, the district court finds it currently inappropriate for the children to have contact with Isa Musavi (punishment) ... Isa Musavi have to consider take a step back (submitting – capitulating) ... finding a more constructive approach (cease writing) to attempt to resolve the situation (renounce political stance)!”

Judge Daniel Eriksson, T 11352 – 17

- Request against Ulf Kristersson

Request for Responsibility for Systematic Violations of International Law and Crimes against Humanity

I request that the Stockholm District Court examine Ulf Kristersson's responsibility for violations of international law and systematic violations of crimes against humanity through state negligence.

As head of government, Ulf Kristersson has failed to ensure that the rights of all Swedish citizens to privacy, family life, and equality before the law are respected, particularly the rights of Muslim children and their guardians under the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, ICCPR, and the European Convention.

The purpose of this lawsuit is to obtain legal confirmation that the state, through Ulf Kristersson's conscious choices, has legitimized and enabled systematic abuses against Muslim families and authors advocating for Muslim children's rights. By choosing not to intervene against abuse by authorities, political profiling, the use of protected identities Without legal review, and the withholding of children from their guardians, he has directly supported abuses that violate international law's requirements for the protection of children's and families' rights.

The aim of the lawsuit is to establish a precedent to strengthen the protection of children's and human rights in Sweden and to ensure that religious and cultural discrimination is not allowed to influence the judiciary's handling of cases.

The prime minister's responsibility includes guaranteeing equality before the law and upholding Sweden's commitments under international law. A ruling in my favor would help prevent future abuses against Muslim citizens and clearly signal the state's responsibility to act against injustices.

Therefore, I request, with support from national Constitutional laws, the Penal Code, as well as the ICCPR and the European Convention, that:

- 1) **Decision for unconditional family life** The Stockholm District Court shall make an interim decision to lift the protected identity of my hostage children in order to restore my right to family life, as protected identity has been used as an illegal tool to unlawfully limit contact with my hostage children.
- 2) **Redress for violations of freedom of expression and association** The Stockholm District Court, in line with the Instrument of

Government and the European Convention, shall restore, without hindrance, my rights to freedom of expression and association, including protection against reprisals for my published works and social engagement.

- 3) **Ban on further reprisals** The Stockholm District Court shall issue a ban on further reprisals, including economic and social restrictions, to protect my rights during the trial process and prevent further persecution.
- 4) **Restoration of financial means and compensation** The Stockholm District Court shall decide that the state compensates for the financial losses caused by "economic terror," including lost income. A decision on the lifting of restrictions on unemployment benefits and other economic compensation shall be made.
- 5) **Request for an independent investigation** The Stockholm District Court shall initiate or enable an independent investigation into the violations of rights, including economic terror and the hostage-taking of my children.

- Case Document 5

- USB- Flash Drive

Document 1 Literature “*Swedish State, the jewish Community against Muslims*”
 Economic Terror Forced starvation and house arrest
 without a court ruling
 Pages 245 – 262
 Pages 243 – 244

- USB- Flash Drive

Document 2 Literature “*Forced Conversion of Muslim Children in Sweden*”
 Guardianship Pages 131 – 167

- USB- Flash Drive

Document 3 Video clip – about Kiyana

- USB- Flash Drive

Document 5 Video clip – Manifest in Stockholm December 2017

As the court can witness, beyond all reasonable doubt, this concerns systematic abuse directed against the Muslim population, the citizens of Sweden.

As the court can witness, beyond all reasonable doubt, Judge Gustaf Lindstedt in case no. T 12484 – 16 “*Suspicious of violence against children lack objective support*” (attachment 14).

The Swedish state still lacks objectivity to this day.

As the court can witness, beyond all reasonable doubt, Judge Anders E. Larsson in case no. T 12484 – 16 “*Sole custody for Isa could contribute to the children having contact with both parents*” (attachment 15).

The best interests of Muslim children are NEVER the goal that Swedes pretend to uphold.

As the court can witness, beyond all reasonable doubt, Judge Henrik Fieber in case no. T 112 – 20 “*Muslims do not have the right to the Convention on the Rights of the Child*” (attachment 19).

QUOTE (case document 24, year 2017):

“Isa Musavi has recently published two books, sent emails to the district court, various authorities, and news editorial offices (offense) ... in light of this, the district court finds it currently inappropriate for the children to have contact with Isa Musavi (punishment) ... Isa Musavi have to consider take a step back (submitting – capitulating) ... finding a more constructive approach (cease writing) to attempt to resolve the situation (renounce political stance)!”

Judge Daniel Eriksson, T 11352 – 17

Time and place:

As the court can witness, beyond all reasonable doubt, this concerns political persecution of a Muslim author, a Swedish citizen, for his literature work *“Forced Conversion of Muslim Children in Sweden”* since September 14, 2016.

- Conclusion

I stand before the court to present a reality that is not only personal but reflects Sweden's responsibility towards all its citizens. What I present is more than a personal tragedy; it is evidence of a systematic violation of international law.

Sweden's obligations under international law include the rights to privacy, family life, and equality before the law – fundamental rights protected by both UN conventions and the European Convention.

In my case, and in the cases of tens of thousands of other Muslims, these rights have been deliberately disregarded, constituting a severe violation of international law.

As a guardian, I am legally entitled to be close to my hostage children. Despite this, my hostage children have been deprived of the security and presence that a parent provides, through a decision of protected identity, made **WITHOUT** judicial review and on **NO grounds at all** than the Swedes hatred against Muslims.

This decision, a direct state intervention, is used to withhold Muslim children from their guardians, thereby restricting the children's right to family life. It is an action that contradicts both Swedish legislation and international principles on human rights and child protection. With reference to the Swedish Constitution and the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, this is an undeniable violation of children's fundamental rights.

Moreover, Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, as the country's highest representative, by failing to act against the institutionalized inequality that has taken place, has accepted and legitimized these violations. As Prime Minister, he has an obligation to uphold law and justice, but his inaction has instead facilitated a system where my children are used as leverage, and my voice as an author is silenced.

This abuse of state power creates a systematic threat to Muslim citizens, where their religion, culture, and opinions are used as grounds for persecution and illegal actions – a clear violation of international principles of equal treatment. No discourse on freedom of expression or democracy can justify this.

In his role as Prime Minister of Sweden, Ulf Kristersson has a duty to ensure that the law is applied equally to all citizens. Despite this duty, he has not acted to stop the systematic abuses carried out due to institutional hatred against Muslims. Through his inaction, he legitimizes systematic discrimination and persecution, which sets a precedent that undermines legal certainty and damages the state's credibility

internationally. This can only be interpreted as direct support for the systematic persecution of Muslims, the disregard for rights, and a threat to Sweden's moral and legal foundations.

To uphold legal security and protect human rights, this court should view these actions as the severe violations of international law that they are. I urge the court to conduct an impartial and thorough examination of the breaches of international law that have occurred and to ensure that no individual – regardless of religion, culture, or opinion – should have to endure such state abuses in Sweden.

Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, is obligated to respect the Swedish laws and Sweden's duties towards the UN and EU, a responsibility he has deliberately neglected solely against the Muslim population due to his professed aversion to the religion of Islam.

- **For a Sweden** where justice and equal treatment prevail for all

Let us restore trust in morality, ethics, honor, justice, and equal rights before the law

This supplement aims to clarify and highlight the breaches of international law directed collectively at Muslims, and personally at me, Seyed Isa Musavi, as well as my hostages children, Kimiya and Kiyana Musavi, due to systematic and targeted actions, outright criminal acts, by Swedish authorities. As Prime Minister of Sweden, Ulf Kristersson bears the responsibility to protect the rights of Muslim citizens, yet he fails to intervene against persecution, the abduction, state kidnapping of Muslim children, and enforce other illegal acts on Muslims in Sweden.

Through its passive stance toward systematic abuses against Muslim citizens—particularly concerning the abductions of Muslim children under a guise of legality, the use of protected identities to keep children from their custodians, registration of Muslims' opinions, inquisition of beliefs, and persecution on the basis of religion—the Swedish state has neglected its obligations under international law. These actions, which include violations of the Convention on the Rights of the Child and breaches of human rights, contravene both Swedish law and international conventions.

The Swedish state's inaction in the face of institutional hatred toward Islam and Muslim citizens within government agencies creates a dangerous breeding ground for hostility and insecurity. This lack of action risks consequences in which Swedish citizens could become targets of violence, terrorism, and murder.

When hatred and prejudice are allowed to flourish on an institutional level, the security of all citizens is undermined, and Sweden's future safety is at risk due to the improper treatment of Muslims, both as believers and as part of Swedish society.

Ulf Kristersson, in his role as Prime Minister of Sweden, has had the opportunity to intervene to ensure that all citizens, regardless of ethnic or religious background, are treated equally before the law, yet he has instead legitimized this systematic persecution. His failure to act sets a precedent that threatens legal security and endangers the safety of future generations. Such conduct—or the lack thereof—can be seen as a form of betrayal against the people and the nation.

Truth always prevails, and the façade can no longer be maintained. No mask of Swedish hypocrisy can conceal the real issues forever.

For a Sweden where justice and equal treatment shall prevail for all citizens, it is now time to restore trust in the principles upon which every rule-of-law nation rests: morality, ethics, honor, justice, and equal rights before the law. Only then can we create a society where each individual feels safe and respected, regardless of faith, background, or belief.

Only then can Sweden call itself a civilized nation.

- Supporting Documents and Evidence

The documentation and evidence attached to this supplement clearly show the systematic violations and abuses directed against me and my hostages children. This includes:

1. Protected Identity WITHOUT Legal Review

My hostages children have been placed under protected identity without any legal grounds, violating their and my rights to privacy and family life.

This unlawful protected identity is used as a means of political terror, to withhold my hostages children from me, a measure that is both illegal and disproportionate.

2. Withholding Children from Custodial Parent

My hostages children have, in violation of the Swedish Constitution, the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, and the UN ICCPR, been denied the right to regular contact with me, despite my shared custody. This violates my hostages children's fundamental rights.

3. Failure to Act against Breaches of International Law

Ulf Kristersson, in his role as Prime Minister, has not acted to ensure legal security for Muslim citizens. His passivity has legitimized a system in which Muslims as individual activists for children's rights have been murdered in custody, a Muslim writer is subjected to systematic persecution and severe reprisals, and children held hostage.

4. Evidence

Through the attached documents and presented testimonies, I seek to substantiate all claims and establish the state's responsibility for the violations that have occurred.

5. USB Drive

The USB drives contain:

- a. This supplement as a PDF file.
- b. Literature – The State ... (attachment 1)
- c. Literature – Forced Conversion ... (attachment 2)
- d. Video clips – about Kiyana (attachment 3)
- e. Video clip – Manifest in Stockholm December 2017 (attachment 5)

Seyed Isa Musavi

Stockholm den 11 november 2024

I målet 22 bilagor, 32 sidor och en (1) USB- minne

The author emphasizes

- The Use of Children as Hostages, a routine in Sweden


That children are held hostage by the Swedish state, regardless of the reason, is a very serious and unethical measure to silence dissent. It is considered a violation of both human rights and children's rights, and also represents a form of punishment for the parent based on their views or published works.

Ivar Arpi was one of the right-wing journalists who did not believe the author when he began informing about the hostage-taking of Swedish dissenters' children as leverage for obedience and silence.

He claimed that there was a custody dispute in the background and that the author was lying, until the Swedish Security Service (SÄPO²²) visited his children. He then wrote the article *“Säpo Reports Unwanted Individuals to Social Services”*, which the audience can study via the link²³ below.

Säpo orosanmäler oönskade personer till socialtjänsten

PUBLICERAD 27 DECEMBER 2020 ANDERS GUSTAFSSON



Ivar Arpi barn fick visa hur övergrepp skett med hjälp av olika nallar när hemmet stormades av Socialtjänsten.

Foto: Jonas Vahneus, TT, Montage: Stefan Lööf

DEBATT. Sveriges Säkerhetspolis (SÄPO) gör anmälningar till socialtjänsten för att försöka konfiskera nationellt sinnade personers barn. Redan under 2017 började Sveriges Radio sprida idén att nyttja rätten att anmäla föräldrar till socialtjänsten för att krossa inbillade fiender. Eftersom en stor andel av SR/SVT:s personal är ”kommunist” sprider de givetvis godvilligt dessa uppmärksamheter.

Text: Anders Gustafsson / Uppdaterad 7 jan 2021

SÄPO:s ide är att myndigheten skall anmäla personer trots att man helt saknar insyn i barnens familjeliv.

”Den svenska säkerhetspolisen har satt i system att göra orosanmälningar till Socialtjänsten mot politiska aktiva föräldrar där man skriver att deras barn far illa.” – Nya dagbladet

²²) SÄPO – Security Service

<https://sakerhetspolisen.se/om-sakerhetspolisen/sakerhetspolisens-uppdrag.html>

²³) “Säpo Reports Unwanted Individuals to Social Services”

<https://newsvoice.se/2020/12/sapos-massanmalningar-socialtjansten-ivar-arpi/>

In such a situation, several legal and moral questions arise

- **Violation of Human Rights** Children deprived of liberty as hostages constitute a serious breach of international conventions, including the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, which protects a child's right to safety, family unity, and protection from violence.
- **Civil Disobedience** Using children as tools in political or legal conflicts can lead to questioning the legitimacy and accountability of the state towards its citizens. This could, in turn, provide justification for armed conflict when the law is not applied equally to all citizens, regardless of background or status.
- **Legal Consequences** When states act contrary to laws or international conventions, this must lead to legal consequences and demands for accountability.
- **Psychological Effects** Holding children hostage can cause long-lasting psychological harm, both to the children themselves and to their families.

In summary, such a situation is extremely serious and can lead to extensive legal and social consequences. It is crucial that such issues are taken seriously and that mechanisms are in place to protect the rights of the affected children.

Imagine if this had happened in Russia, China, or Saudi Arabia!? How would the Swedish government respond? How would Swedish journalists report and write about it? But here in Sweden, more oppression and censor to achieve total silence.

No mention of the Swedish noble Nobel Institute, the world's greatest disgrace and a monument to moral decay.

Wonder, if there is a greater charlatan of a nation than the Swedish one?

Stockholm District Court – Department 4

Have in mind that:

The Stockholm District Court serves as the exclusive or subsidiary, meaning it is either the only court in the country authorized to decide on specific cases or matters or the only court to turn to if no other court has jurisdiction.

Stockholm District Court – Division 4²⁴ – handles exclusively international criminal cases and crimes related to freedom of the press.

Magdalena Hägg Bergvall, serving as the presiding judge, head of Department 4 at the Stockholm District Court.



Magdalena Hägg Bergvall
The presiding judge
Head of Department 4 at the Stockholm District Court

²⁴) Stockholm District Court – Division 4

<https://www.domstol.se/stockholms-tingsratt/om-tingsratten/organisation/var-verksamhet/>

- **Regarding Interim Decision** – Release of My Hostage-Taken Children


Mail – Monday, December 2, 2024 at 13:44

To Chief Judge, Department 4, Magdalena Hägg Bergvall

December is here, a month filled with reflection and celebration, not least with the world's attention focused on the Nobel Prize and the ideas shaping our future. Yet, amidst this season of inspiration and progress, there is a dark reminder of Sweden's hostage-taking of a Muslim-Swedish author's children.

Two children remain held hostage by the Swedish regime – a situation rooted in their father's courage to publish factual work in 2016 (Appendix 24, Case B 19040-24, attached to this email), as clearly evidenced by the verdict in Appendix 5. This is not only a personal tragedy but also a national disgrace, where the judiciary, courts, and judges have been transformed into tools of despotism rather than guardians of civilization and justice.

I, Seyed Isa Musavi, refer to the social services' report from 2016-10-20, in which the children's mother stated, Quote; "*Marjan says that the police have said it is difficult with evidence.*" (Attached, Appendix 25).



(Even today, despite the document, an acknowledgment, from as early as October 20, 2016, the Swedish government insists that this case concerns a custody dispute and child abuse!)

This statement, along with the absence of support for the prosecutor's false allegations directed at me since September 14, 2016, should have clarified at that time that the case lacked legal basis.

Even today, eight years later, there is no evidence supporting the judiciary's false accusations, which is pure persecution of a Muslim-Swedish author, underscoring that the deprivation of my children's liberty lacked/ lacks legal legitimacy.

I therefore request that the district court, with you as the head of Department 4, immediately lifts the hostage-taking of my children, who are being held hostage solely due to the unlawfully imposed protected identity for political reasons.

In the individual charge against Ulf Kristersson, it is clearly stated what grounds are invoked for the charge; *“that no evidence of crimes against children has ever existed, other than in the regime’s sick perceptions of Muslims and the institutionalized hatred towards Islam”*.

That this hostage-taking continues despite documentation that leaves no room for doubt about the children's right to a safe return home means that the Stockholm District Court is participating in the hostage-taking of my children. It is unacceptable that my children continue to be deprived of their freedom without legal basis, other than my factual works (Appendix 5).

I expect immediate action and urge the district court to act in line with legal certainty and the best interests of the children. I remind the district court of the following national laws and Sweden's international obligations (Attached, Appendix 26), which state that my hostage-taken children are entitled to a **prompt** decision, including an interim decision if necessary.

This may cause resentment, but; Can you truly accept that my hostaged children's freedom and safety are sacrificed to silence an author, their father? How does it feel to stand as a judge in a country that claims to be a rule of law, but where you enable and reinforce a state-sponsored hostage-taking?

Can you look at yourselves in the mirror and still call yourselves defenders of justice? Or have you become part of the system that has turned Sweden into an outright

dictatorship in the Swedish war against Islam – where children are used as tools to silence a Muslim-Swedish author?

Please respond promptly with a decision on the interim release of my hostage-taken children.

Kind regards,

Seyed Isa Musavi

Case No. B 19040 – 24

Attachments 24, 25, and 26 in two pages

Stockholm, December 2, 2024

APPENDIX 26

Stockholm District Court – Dept. 4

Case No. B 19040–24

Appendix 26

I remind the district court of the following national laws and Sweden's international obligations, which state that my hostage-taken children have the right to a **prompt** decision.

Remember that my children were taken hostage by the Swedish regime on September 14, 2016, when they were only 8.5 and 7 years old. Since then, they have been subjected to systematic lies and state-driven psychological manipulation, mental warfare with the purpose of creating fear and distance between them and me, their guardian. This, in itself, is a violation of everything that can be called civilization and humanity's basic values.

It is the district court's duty to immediately and unconditionally, with the support of the law, as outlined below, reunite my hostage-taken children with me and ensure that their right to safety, family life, and freedom is respected, in accordance with both national and international laws.

Code of Judicial Procedure

Chapter 42, § 6 The court shall ensure a swift and fair handling of the case.

Hague Convention on International Child Abduction (1980)

Article 11 Courts shall promptly handle requests for the return of wrongfully removed children.

Convention on the Rights of the Child (Swedish law since 2018, state obligation since 1989)

Article 2:2 Children shall not be punished for their parents' political opinions.

Article 9:1 Children shall not be separated from their parents against their will.

Article 16 Protection from unlawful interference with privacy and family life.

Article 37 (d) The right to a prompt decision in the matter.

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)

Article 9:3 Anyone who is deprived of their liberty shall be entitled to a prompt trial without unnecessary delay.

Article 14:1 The right to a fair and public hearing without unnecessary delay.

Article 19 The right to freedom of opinion and expression, supported by verified facts.

Article 23:1 The family is the fundamental unit of society and shall be protected by the state.

Article 24:1 Children have the right to protection and support without discrimination.

European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR – Swedish law since 1995)

Article 6:1 The right to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time.

Article 8 The right to respect for private and family life.

Instrument of Government (Swedish Constitution)

Chapter 1, 2 § The public authorities shall protect the individual's private life and family life.

Chapter 2, 12 § Every individual has the right to legal certainty, particularly children in vulnerable situations.

Penal Code

Chapter 4, 1a § Depriving a child of their liberty or hindering their contact with a guardian constitutes abduction.

Social Services Act (SoL)

Chapter 11, 1a § Investigations concerning children shall be carried out promptly and without unnecessary delay.

Eight years now! Investigations that **EACH** of them, without exception, concluded in my favor.

UN Convention against Torture (CAT)

Article 2:1 The state shall take effective measures to prevent acts that violate children's rights.

I would like to emphasize with this appendix that the crimes against my children and me have already been proven. For eight years, the state has been unable to present any evidence supporting its false accusations and the deprivation of my children's liberty. The continued delay therefore means that the district court is, in effect, legitimizing this hostage-taking.

For every second that passes without an interim decision on release, the impression is strengthened that the judicial system is not acting in accordance with either national or international laws, which clearly require that children held hostage be immediately

and without delay released, without further speculations based on subjective opinions rather than the wording of the law.

It is therefore of utmost importance that the district court of Stockholm – Department 4, under your leadership as Chief Justice Magdalena Hägg Bergvall, immediately acts and, with support from the eight years of documented lack of evidence for the Swedish regime's false accusations, makes a decision that my hostage-taken children should be immediately released and reunited with me.

I expect the district court of Stockholm, without further delay, to fulfill its statutory obligations and protect my hostage-taken children's rights and freedom, without allowing personal interpretations, prejudices, or opinions about Muslims to influence the decision.

The law should be applied strictly, in accordance with its wording and purpose, without being influenced by personal opinions or subjective interpretations against Muslims.

Kind regards,

Seyed Isa Musavi
Case no. B 19040 – 24
Appendix 26 in two pages

The Verdict

The final decision on December 6, 2024

Sentence in case B 19040–24, against Swedish Prime Minister, Ulf Hjalmar Ed Kristersson

In the complaint to the Chancellor of Justice against Judge Jan Nyrén, who wrote the verdict, the proven “*crimes*” of the rule of law that the judge, Jan Nyrén, is guilty of are outlined. Here, however, the verdict is given in summary.



Judge, Jan Nyrén
Stockholm District Court – Department 4

For those who wish to read the full decision, see here²⁵.

The Stockholm District Court dismissed a private prosecution against Swedish Prime Minister Ulf Hjalmar Ed Kristersson with reasoning that, violates both national and international laws. The decision have been made to protect Sweden's Prime Minister rather than to uphold the principles of the rule of law, democracy, and judicial independence that Sweden claims to represent, and demand other nations to follow!

²⁵) Dom - B 19040 – 24 <http://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/Dom-B19040-24.pdf>

Summary of the Final Decision, case B 19040–24

The Stockholm District Court's decision to dismiss the private lawsuit against Swedish Prime Minister Ulf Kristersson and deny the request for a victim's counsel is an undeniable crime of:

1) Role and Purpose of the Victim's Counsel The court did not address the right to a victim's counsel before rejecting the request, citing the improperly presented claims. According to the Act on Victim's Counsel (1988:609), the counsel's role is to support and protect the victim's interests, especially in legally complex cases. Despite this, the court failed to consider that a victim's counsel could have rectified deficiencies in the application.

2) Previous Preliminary Investigations and Evidence

Both the application and supplementary submissions referred to prior investigations by the police, prosecutors, and social authorities, which should be deemed relevant and sufficient to support the prosecution. These include:

- Documentation and decisions verifying circumstances related to my children's enforced protected identity.
- Earlier investigations into allegations of torture, systematic abuse, and other severe crimes.

The question remains as to why these materials were not considered grounds for an automatic legal review, particularly given the prosecutor's duty under Chapter 20, Section 6 of the Code of Judicial Procedure.

3) Request for an official Hearing Despite an explicit request for an official hearing, which under Chapter 1, Section 3a of the Code of Judicial Procedure should be addressed with regard to the role of lay judges and the need for a fair trial, the court failed to consider this request before issuing its decision.

4) Interim Measures The request for an interim decision, specifically to lift the protected identity of my children (page 39 of the supplementary submission), was not addressed.

According to Chapter 15, Section 3 of the Code of Judicial Procedure, interim requests must be promptly reviewed to protect the parties' rights during ongoing proceedings.

5) Unaddressed Claims The claim on page 41 of the supplementary submission was not mentioned in the decision.

Violates against the principle that the court must consider all submitted claims (Chapter 17, Section 2 of the Code of Judicial Procedure).

In conclusion, several central issues and rights in this case were overlooked without explanation or comment, only to protect Sweden's Prime Minister rather than to uphold the principles of the rule of law, democracy, and judicial independence that Sweden claims to represent.

The author has two cases since before, one from 2020 (T 112–20) and another from 2021 (T 261–21), which have been handled in an identical manner, with several serious legal violations committed by the district courts all the way up to the Supreme Court. These include:

- **Failure to fulfill the duty to prosecute**
Code of Judicial Procedure Article 20, § 6
- **Denial of the right to a victim's counsel** Law 1988:609
- **Denial of the right to a public hearing**
Code of Judicial Procedure Article 1, § 3a
- **Failure to issue an interim decision**
Code of Judicial Procedure Article 15, § 3
- **Disregard for submitted claims**
Code of Judicial Procedure Article 17, § 2

These grave legal violations demonstrate systemic crimes in the rule of law, where Muslims in Sweden are consistently denied their fundamental legal rights – a concern of democracy, unequal rule of law for Muslims, evident from the lower courts to the Supreme Court.

The author now intends to file motions for retrial in both cases (Stockholm District Court T 112–20 and Solna District Court T 261–21). The purpose of these applications is not to expect Swedes to grant a retrial but to expose to the world how the rule of law is systematically undermined in cases involving Muslims in Sweden, a legal abuse against Muslims in Sweden that has persisted for over three decades.

Laughable! Sweden and Swedes, these Charlatans that send its legal-experts to train judges in countries like Georgia, Armenia, Albania, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bosnia, and Herzegovina – and here at home, in Sweden, we are still struggling with our own Principles of Legal Certainty!

And then, we have Södertörn District Court, which actually receives study visits from other countries – most recently from Japan! So, the honorable Japanese are supposed to learn from Swedes how to be a Charlatans as Swedes are!

- **Request for investigation and review²⁶**

Stockholm District Court

Following the unlawful verdict in case B19040 – 24, the plaintiff submitted a request for investigation and review to the Chief Judge Göran Lundahl at the Stockholm District Court.

In this section, the audience can examine the request and the response provided by the Chief Judge's office.

Mail to the Chief Judge office 2024-12-13 Kl.13:14

- **Request for investigation and review**

To the Chief Judge, Stockholm District Court

Subject Request for Review and Investigation of Legal Proceedings in Case No. B 19040–24

I, Seyed Isa Musavi, hereby submit a formal request for a thorough review and investigation of the legal proceedings conducted in Case No. B 19040–24 at Stockholm District Court, Division 4.

I believe that the handling of my case has been improper and contrary to fundamental principles of legal certainty. This has affected my right to a fair and impartial trial, as guaranteed by Swedish law and international conventions to which Sweden is bound.

Regrettably, the exploitation of Muslims' fear of authority has become a recurring theme in the judiciary, especially within the courts, over the past two decades. This is an issue I expose in my factual works, which has provoked anger within the judiciary and resulted in my children being held hostage as retaliation for these revelations.

I have specifically noted the following circumstances:

- Failure to address my request for clarification of ambiguities in my statement of claim, despite my explicit request due to my lack of legal expertise.
- Failure to consider my request for a victim's counsel before the decision to dismiss the case was made.

²⁶) The presiding judge had received a copy of the points that formed the basis for the appeal to the Svea Court of Appeal for investigation and review.

- Refusal to hold a hearing to take witness testimony and ensure a fair trial, which violates the principles of legal certainty and procedural impartiality.
- Refusal to make a provisional decision to release my children, who are being held hostage not for any crime, but because of my writings, as evidenced in Appendix 1.

Given the seriousness of these shortcomings and their potential consequences for legal certainty, I request that the Chief Judge of the Stockholm District Court initiate a formal review of the legal proceedings. I also request an assessment of whether there are grounds to take measures to address the errors that have occurred. This could include internal training for judges, a review of procedures, or, if deemed appropriate, proposals for legislative amendments to prevent similar situations in the future.

Furthermore, I request an investigation into whether the actions taken by the responsible judge, District Court Judge Jan Nyrén, can be considered a violation of the principles of legal certainty that should apply to all court proceedings.

I would appreciate it if you take into account the serious consequences this has had for me and my children, who are being held hostage, and take appropriate measures to ensure a fair trial takes place.

Judge Jan Nyrén has prioritized Ulf Kristersson's interests over Sweden's future and the credibility of the Swedish courts.

With regards

Seyed Isa Musavi
Stockholm, December 13, 2024

- **Chief Judge answers**

Mail, Friday 2024-12-20, at 08:50

Hi Seyed,

The district court has received your message. I work at the Chief Judge's office of the district court of Stockholm and have been assigned to respond to your message.

The case you refer to has been concluded, and the district court's final decision has been appealed to the Court of Appeal (where the case, as far as I can see, is ongoing as Ö 16487-24). If you have comments regarding the district court's handling of a specific case and believe it has in some way affected the outcome, you can raise these circumstances as part of the appeal. You can also submit comments on the handling to the Parliamentary Ombudsman (www.jo.se), who is responsible for investigating such matters. However, the district court has no possibility to change the decision retrospectively.

Since you addressed your message to the district court chief judge at the Stockholm District Court, I did not interpret it as a statement in the ongoing case at the Court of Appeal and have therefore not forwarded the message there. If I have misunderstood you, please let me know.

Your message and this response will be recorded in the district court's administrative register. However, this will not result in any further action from the district court's side.

Best regards,

Jonas Nyman

Administrative Fiscal, District Court Judge's Office, Stockholm District Court

- **The Plaintiff replies**

Mail, Friday 2024-12-20, at 10:14

Hi Jonas,

Thank you for the response and the clarification of the district court's possibilities in this matter.

However, I would like to emphasize that my letter was not a request to change an already made decision. It was a request for a "review and investigation of the legal process", with the aim of ensuring future legally sound judgments, regardless of the complainant's origin, religion, or the respondent's position.

The question is; How the district court concluded that my request of investigation and review was about changing a previous decision!?

The deficiencies in the district court's handling have already been explained to the Court of Appeal, which I am sure you will affect negatively, trying to have an unjust impact on the lawsuit, among colleagues.

It is up to the district court chief judge to determine how his commitment to legal certainty in the institution he leads should be perceived by citizens and internationally – especially for a prestigious institution such as the Stockholm District Court.

Otherwise, thank you for your clarification. No further action is needed from your side, as the ball is now in my court.

I wish the Stockholm District Court a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year, with hopes for legally sound cases in the future.

Best regards,

Seyed Isa Musavi

As the audience can witness

In the formal request to the Chief Judge of the Stockholm District Court, highlights severe deficiencies in the handling of the case number B 19040–24, including violations of the principles of legal security and the consequences for the Swedish–Muslims author’s hostages children.

The request was not about altering the decision already made but about addressing the fundamental issues and structural deficiencies that led to the decision being fundamentally unlawful from the outset.

It emphasized the importance of an independent review and correction of these deficiencies in the legal security, to restore trust in the Swedish legal system.

In response to the request, you witnessed a reply from Jonas Nyman, Administrative Fiscal at the Chief Judge’s Office, which, could not be perceived as anything other than a lack of interest, a reply that exemplifies how the Swedish judiciary chooses to ignore its responsibilities and turn a blind eye to the deficiencies affecting the rule of law against Muslims in Sweden.

By referring to the case as closed and stating that actions can only be taken by the Court of Appeal or the Ombudsman, the Stockholm District Court avoids/refuses to address the serious legal violations that have already occurred and will undoubtedly continue in cases involving Muslims.

Furthermore, this response legitimizes the continued hostage–taking of the Swedish–Muslims author’s children, which is a direct consequence of the author’s social engagement as an author focusing on the legal rights of Muslim children in Sweden.

It also demonstrates an unwillingness to address legal violations that could affect future cases, thereby undermining both the credibility of the legal system and its obligation to ensure justice, equally applied to all citizens in Sweden.

Turning a blind eye to the violations of the principles of legal security and structural hatred against Muslims, is not only a betrayal of legal security but also a signal that abuse of power and discrimination against Muslims within Swedish courts can continue without consequences.

How would Swedish politicians and journalists have acted if this had happened in Russia, China, or Saudi Arabia? In Sweden, there is categorical censorship, complete silence, and a system of unconditional dictatorship.

Swedes, role models or Charlatans?

Quote from Jonas Nyman, Administrative Officer at the Chief Judge's Office, Stockholm District Court:

“Your message and this response will be recorded in the administrative register of the District Court. However, it will not result in any further action by the District Court.”

It is noteworthy that Jonas Nyman (S.135) chooses to refer to a formal request for investigation and review as a “*message!*” thereby diminishing the seriousness of an issue that deserves greater attention.

Based on this statement, one can conclude that the Stockholm District Court considers the matter closed and does not intend to take any further action.

Question to the audience:

Do you agree with the conclusion that **“the case B 19040–24 and everything surrounding it is completely dead for the Stockholm District Court?”**

In contrast to this case (B 19040–24), there are crimes allegedly committed by an individual somewhere in the world (suppose in your country) in 1988 that were processed by the Stockholm District Court in 2022.

This presents a unique opportunity to test claims about Sweden's prominent position in equality, due process, the rule of law, and transparency by comparing two cases handled by the same court and within the same department. Both cases involve similar crimes – violations of international law and the murder of innocent civilians– citizens.

One case concerns crimes alleged to have occurred outside Sweden 1988 (murder), while the other, involves crimes committed within Sweden in March 2023 (murder), a citizen murdered in Swedish police custody, and ongoing violations of international law within the country, Sweden.

Let us first arrange these two similar cases side by side for a clearer visual understanding.

| Vilifying Other Nations | Keeping up the Hypocrisy |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Case No: B 15255 – 19 ²⁷ Stockholm District Court – Division 4 | Case No: B 19040 – 24 Stockholm District Court – Div. 4 |
| <u>Defendant</u> Hamid Noury, 19610489–1251 | <u>Defendant</u> Ulf Kristersson 19631229–XXXX |
| Verdict: 2022–07–14 Proceedings lasted for three (3) <u>years</u> | Verdict: 2024–12–06 Proceedings lasted for two <u>months</u> |
| <u>Public Defender</u> Lawyer Daniel Marcus Lawyer Thomas Söderqvist | <u>Victim Counsel</u> Denied access to victim counsel Denied access to victim counsel |
| <u>Prosecutor</u> Senior Prosecutor Kristina Lindhoff Carleson Senior Prosecutor Martina Winslow | <u>Prosecutor</u> Prosecutor REFUSED its obligation to prosecute Prosecutor REFUSED its obligation to prosecute |
| <u>Prosecution Authority</u> National Unit against International and Organized Crime | <u>Prosecution Authority</u> Prosecution Authority REFUSES |
| <u>Plaintiff</u> 23 Plaintiffs 12 Relatives of likely deceased plaintiffs | <u>Plaintiff</u> Seyed Isa Musavi Tens of thousands of Swedish Muslims |
| <u>Crimes</u> War Crimes, July 1988 – August 1988 Murder, August 1988 – September 1988 | <u>Crimes</u> Crimes against Humanity, 2003 – Ongoing Murder, March 2023 Child Hostage-taking of an author Violations of the Convention on the |

²⁷⁾ Verdict

<https://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/Noury.pdf>

Rights of the Child
 Enforced Starvation
 Unlawful Deprivation of Liberty
 Torture Convention Violations
 Human Rights Violations
 Kidnapping of Muslim Children
 Persecution of Muslims
 Crimes against Families
 Crimes against Life and Health
 Crimes against Freedom and Peace
 Crimes against Freedom of
 Expression
 Crimes against Freedom of the
 Press
 And more, see pages XX – XX

Costs

Lawyers and Victim Counsel:

Daniel Marcus: SEK 4,776,712 excl. VAT

Thomas Söderqvist: SEK 4,792,339 excl. VAT

Göran Hjalmarsson: SEK 1,978,424 excl. VAT

Gita Hadding Wiberg: SEK 1,975,259 excl. V

Bengt Hesselberg: SEK 2,369,536 excl. VAT

Costs

Victim Counsel DENIED

Victim Counsel DENIED

Victim Counsel DENIED

Victim Counsel DENIED

Victim Counsel DENIED

Victim Counsel DENIED

The case, whose sole purpose was to tarnish the reputation of another nation, has cost taxpayers approximately 17,000,000 SEK excluding VAT through the Stockholm District Court. This is without any detailed calculation for the exact costs. At the same time, the very same Stockholm District Court, “refused” to address the right to legal counsel, before the private lawsuit against Ulf Kristersson was dismissed.

As the audience can observe, both cases involve crimes at both national and international levels, where the state, through its prosecutors, has an obligation to investigate, review, and prosecute the individuals who have committed these crimes.

Being forced to initiate private prosecution for crimes that threaten and undermine a civilized society clearly demonstrates that the Swedish regime is failing to fulfill its obligations.

For this reason, nothing is mentioned about the obligation to prosecute, which requires the prosecution authority to investigate, review, and bring charges, just as they have done against a foreign power.

If this cannot be considered charlatanism, I wonder what the term “charlatan” actually means to you!?

Since 2016, the author has made repeated attempts to bring the criminal individuals to trial, but the state has repeatedly refused to defend the rule of law in Sweden. This includes the same lawyers who, as expert’s works at international institutions such as the UN, The Hague Court, ICC, and the EU, experts who criticize the legal systems of other nations!

Most recently, Elinor Hammarskjöld (Ambassador to Tel Aviv) was appointed as UN Under-Secretary-General and Legal Counsel, but here in Sweden!!!

Under Swedish cover, jews place their pieces at the United Nations. The very same jews whose representative tore apart the UN– Charter in the UN– building in front of representatives from around the world.



We all understand the reasons and the conditions underlying this choice.

Sweden's Minister for Foreign Affairs, Maria Malmer Stenergard, expressed on X after the appointment: *“This shows that we (Swedes) have a great deal to offer as regards knowledge of international law”!*

Sweden's Minister for Foreign Affairs boasts of *“have a great deal to offer as regards knowledge of international law”* while the Stockholm District Court, in connection

with crimes currently ongoing within Sweden, has “refused” to arrange hearings where witnesses, including Swedish citizens and families with children, can testify about the ongoing legal violations. Meanwhile, the same court is handling cases related to crimes committed in 1988 in another country, involving non-Swedish citizens.

Malicious Swedes in order to vilify other nations, has called 23 victims, plus 12 relatives of deceased victims, from around the world, and requested that 31 witnesses and nine expert witnesses be heard about the circumstances, while the same malicious Swedes, equally wicked and evil, deny Swedish citizens the right to testify publicly and before lay judges.

Can this truly be considered the “*knowledge of international law*” referred to by Sweden’s Minister for Foreign Affairs, when the Stockholm District Court refuses to hold public hearings, refuses to hear witnesses publicly, denies the right to legal counsel for the victims, and dismisses the entire case against Sweden’s Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, WITHOUT legal grounds?

If this cannot be considered Charlatanism, I ask myself what the term ”Charlatan” actually means to you!?



Maria M Stenergard
@MariaStenergard

...

We are very proud of our Director-General for Legal Affairs Elinor Hammarskjöld's appointment as United Nations Under-Secretary-General for Legal Affairs and Legal Counsel. It is among the highest offices a Swede has held in the UN. This enhances Sweden's representation in the UN and shows that we have a great deal to offer as regards knowledge of international law. Congratulations @Elinorjbh!

Översätt inlägget



Considering all this, it seems that the only thing Sweden and Swedes has to offer is hypocrisy, deceit, dishonesty, immorality, unethical behavior, and pure charlatanism. The audience is reminded that the same Foreign Minister abstained from voting in the UN when it called for an end to Israel's occupation of Palestine. Swedes who have much to offer in terms of knowledge of international law”!



Let us instead return to the defamation of other nations, orchestrated by Swedish courts, judges, prosecutors, and corrupt Swedish experts in international law who come across as complete charlatans.

But before I mention any names and titles of the Swedish expert witnesses (charlatans) that the Prosecution Authority has called to the Stockholm District Court, I want to remind the audience of what was previously described about Swedish lawyers, attorneys, prosecutors, and judges at the beginning of this factual work, namely:

- Sweden's courts play a key role in promoting the rule of law globally. Through education and active international collaboration, Swedish courts contribute to due process, equality, and transparency while strengthening the principles of rule of law and judicial systems worldwide. The goal is to create

a stronger global legal order and uphold the rule of law as a universal principle.

- Swedish judges and legal experts share their expertise with international colleagues, both through training programs and by hosting study visits from judges seeking knowledge to improve their own legal systems. This work is complemented by Swedish experts who operate within international institutions such as the ICC in The Hague and the EU.
- This underscores Sweden's dual role—not only as a role model but also as a guarantor of the values of the rule of law on the international stage.
- Södertörns District Court has recently assisted the judicial systems in Georgia, Azerbaijan, Armenia, Palestine, Albania, and Bosnia–Herzegovina, while also receiving study visits from Japan, India, and Latvia.

Below are the names and titles of the expert witnesses called by the Prosecution to testify in Stockholm District Court. Despite this, it is noteworthy that literally none of these witnesses are willing to support the statutory rights of Muslim children in this case against Ulf Kristersson in Sweden in 2024.

Is this truly in line with the principles of the rule of law and the legal equality that Swedes promote as a model for the international community?

Another important and thought-provoking aspect concerning these expert witnesses is their employer – the Swedish military. These individuals work or have worked for the Swedish Armed Forces. Now, these military personnel are being called upon as expert witnesses to testify against a foreign country in a Swedish court.

Is this truly the legal security that Swedes promote as a model for the international community?

1. Jann K. Kleffner²⁸ –

Prof. Dr. Jann K. Kleffner LL.M. is Professor of International Law at the Swedish Defence University.

Om Jann

Prof. Dr. Jann K. Kleffner LL.M. is Professor of International Law at the Swedish Defence University, Extraordinary Professor at the Faculty of Law of the University of Pretoria, South Africa, and Senior Fellow at Melbourne Law School, Australia. His research is on public international law, with a special focus on the international law of military operations, including the law of armed conflict and peace operations, jus ad bellum, international criminal law, and human rights law. He has published widely in these fields (more than 90 publications).

After studies in Germany, the UK and The Netherlands, Jann K. Kleffner obtained LL.M. and PhD degrees in international law from the University of Amsterdam Law School in The Netherlands. Prior to joining the Swedish Defence University, he was Assistant Professor of International Law and Deputy Programme leader of the research programme on 'The Role of Law in Armed Conflict and Peace Operations', at the University of Amsterdam. Professor Kleffner has been a fellow of the Geneva Academy of International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights, the Institute for International and Comparative Law in Africa at the University of Pretoria, and of DePaul University Law School, Chicago, USA.

Professor Kleffner has served as expert and consultant for a number of states and inter-governmental and non-governmental organisations, including the United Nations, the International Committee of the Red Cross, the International Criminal Court, the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia and the International Law Association. He has also advised law firms in the areas of the law of armed conflict and international criminal law, in addition to fulfilling a number of editorial functions. Between 2010-2020 he served as Head of the Centre of International and Operational Law at the Swedish Defence University.


Note that he works for the Swedish Armed Forces and testifies against other nations (Muslim) regarding alleged events from when he was 15 years old, 36 years ago. However, despite being 51 years old now, sufficiently learned to understand ethics and morals, right and wrong, and trained enough to stand for civilization and international law, he refuses, as a responsible citizen, to engage in defending the statutory rights of Swedish citizens (Muslims) in Sweden in 2024.

The same applies to other experts mentioned here, who have testified against Muslim nations but refuse to stand up for Swedish Muslims in Sweden. It is not wrong to claim that they are actively working to prevent Swedish laws and international law from being applied to Muslims in Sweden.




²⁸) Jann K. Kleffner <https://www.fhs.se/sc/profilsida.html?identity=400.3e8af8fb161204902adef0>

2. Sally Alexandra Longworth²⁹ –

Before starting my doctoral studies, I was a lecturer and researcher at the Centre for International and Operational Law at the Swedish Defence University (SEDU).


Stockholms universitet

UTBILDNING FORSKNING OM UNIVERSITETET

Sally Alexandra Longworth

Universitetslektor

Stockholms universitet

Om mig

I am a Lecturer in public international law at Stockholm University's Faculty of Law. My research focuses on the relationship between international human rights, international humanitarian and international criminal law. My doctoral research examined the right to freedom of expression in armed conflict. I also conduct research on the law applicable to military operations, gender and armed conflict, and international criminal law and procedure.

Before starting my doctoral studies, I was a lecturer and researcher at the Centre for International and Operational Law at the Swedish Defence University (SEDU), where I was responsible for legal training in courses commissioned by the Swedish Armed Forces for senior military personnel. I also conducted research in the law applicable in military operations on behalf of the SEDU and as requested by Swedish Ministry of Defence in international humanitarian law, international criminal law, human rights, gender and international law applicable in military operations, refugee law and comparative domestic law, amongst others. I was also a member of the Swedish Red Cross Working Group on gender and international humanitarian law.

I was called to the Bar of England and Wales in 2010, and hold an LLM in international human rights law from the Raoul Wallenberg Institute of Human Rights and Humanitarian Law, Lund University, Sweden, and an LLB in European, comparative and international law from the University of Sheffield, UK. I have worked as a legal consultant with a number of international and intergovernmental organisations on a broad range of issues, including transitional justice mechanisms, international human rights procedure, and EU law, and have acted as legal assistant for teams before international tribunals. I am a senior fellow of Stockholm's Center for International Law and Justice (SCILJ), and the Swedish branch of the International Law Association.

This page in English



KONTAKT

 **Sally Alexandra Longworth**
 Universitetslektor

 sallylongworth@juridicum.su.se
 08-16 32 71

Juridiska Institutionen

Besöksadress
 Rum C 690
 Universitetsvägen 10 C

Postadress
 Juridiska institutionen
 106 91 Stockholm

Why do you think the Stockholm District Court refused to hold hearings, where I could call people like Sally Longworth to the witness stand?

²⁹) Sally Alexandra Longworth

<https://www.su.se/profiles/slong-1.290311>

3. Ove Bring³⁰ –

Professor Emeritus of International Law (since 2008), Stockholm University and the Swedish National Defence College (SNDC).



CV Profile (in English)

Professor Emeritus of International Law (since 2008), Stockholm University and the Swedish National Defence College (SNDC). Formerly Legal Adviser at the Swedish Ministry for Foreign Affairs. Professor of international law at Uppsala University 1993-1997, thereafter the same position in Stockholm.

Expert in International Law on the use of force, the Law of the UN Charter (including the Law of Peace Operations), International Humanitarian Law, Human Rights Law, the law of Diplomatic Protection, Foreign Investment Law and International Claims.

Born 1943

Doctor of Jurisprudence (Public International Law), Stockholm University 1979; awarded Readership (Assistant Professor) at the Faculty of Law, Stockholm University in 1983.

Assistant legal adviser at the Swedish Ministry for Foreign Affairs 1976-1982. Legal Adviser at the Legal and Consular Department of the Ministry from 1982 on, Special Legal Adviser of the Ministry for Public International Law (UD:s folkrättsrådgivare) and Assistant Under-Secretary (departementsråd) 1987-1993.

Dr Bring has represented Sweden in the Sixth (legal) Committee of the General Assembly of the United Nations for a number of years. He participated in the Thomson/Blackwell humanitarian missions to former Yugoslavia reporting on treatment of prisoners in Bosnia to the Committee of senior Officials of the Conference of Security and Cooperation in Europe (1992-93). In March 1994 the Swedish Foreign Minister appointed him head of a human rights mission to China and Tibet, reporting to the Swedish Ministry for Foreign Affairs. He is a member of the Permanent Court of Arbitration (the Hague) and, since 2002, of the Swedish Academy of History and Antiquities (Vitterhetsakademien).


Dr Bring has published a considerable number of books and scientific papers, in the Swedish language one book on the Law of Disarmament (1987) and another on the United Nations Charter and World Politics (2002). His doctoral thesis on investment protection is summarized in the article "The Impact of Developing States on International Customary Law concerning Protection of Foreign Property", *Scandinavian Studies in Law* 1980. The second edition of his *International Criminal Law in Historical*

³⁰) Ove Bring




<https://ovebring.com/>

4. Mark Klamberg³¹ –

Professor and the head of subject for public international law at Stockholm University.


Stockholm University

EDUCATION RESEARCH ABOUT THE UNIVERSITY

Mark Klamberg

Stockholm University

About me

Dr. Mark Klamberg (Jur. Dr. Stockholm University, LL.M. Raoul Wallenberg Institute and Jur. Kand. Lund University) is Professor and the head of subject for public international law at Stockholm University.

Klamberg has the following affiliations and appointments: deputy director of the Stockholm Centre for International Law and Justice (SCILJ), [scholar-in-residence](#) at the School of International Service (SIS), American University, Washington D.C., Senior Fellow, Carter School of Peace and Conflict Resolution, George Mason University, Arlington, [nonresident Senior Fellow](#) with the Atlantic Council, Washington D.C., expert to the [Consultative Council at the National School of Judges of Ukraine](#) to which he gives advice on domestic war crimes trials, available as an [expert](#) for OSCE ad hoc missions as part of its human dimensions mechanism (also known as the Moscow mechanism), board member for the [Raoul Wallenberg Institute of Human Rights and Humanitarian Law](#), Lund and he acts as a consultant for the Defence in the Al-Hassan case (The International Criminal Court in the Hague).

He has been an Associate Professor at [Uppsala University](#) (2013-2015) and post doc at the [University of Oxford, Institute for European and Comparative Law](#) (2018-2019), member of the Senior Common Room, [Christ Church](#).

He is frequently consulted by media, he has appeared in interviews with New York Times, Der Spiegel, BBC, Le Monde, Libération, Reuters, New York Review of Books, The Register, El Pais, Sanomat, SVT, TV4, Svd, SR and Dagens Nyheter.

Klamberg has also contributed to Government commissioned inquiries, drafting of consultation responses and expert testimony and consultancy in relation to trials in Sweden and at the International Criminal Court (ICC).

He is the author of several publications on international criminal law, surveillance, privacy and other fields of international law, including '[Evidence in International Criminal Trials: Confronting Legal Gaps and the Reconstruction of Disputed Events](#)' (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2013), '[Power and Law in the International Society - International Relations as the Sociology of International Law](#)' (Routledge, 2015) and co-author of the leading textbook on public international law in Sweden: '[Sverige och folkrätten](#)' (Norstedts Juridik, 2020). He is the chief editor of the 'Commentary on the Law of the ICC' (CLICC), Rome Statute [vol I & vol II](#) and '[Rules of Procedure and Evidence](#)'. He has also published articles in International Criminal Law Review, Georgetown Journal of International Law, Journal of International Criminal Justice, New York University Journal of International Law and Politics, Nordic Journal of International Law, George Washington International Law Review, Nordic Journal of Human Rights, Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law and book sections published by Martinus Nijhoff Publishers and Oxford University Press.


[Denna sida på svenska](#)

CONTACT

Mark Klamberg
 mark.klamberg@juridicum.su.se
 08-16 13 28

Department of Law

Visiting address
 Room C 779
 Universitetsvägen 10 C

Postal address
 Juridiska institutionen
 106 91 Stockholm

We all know that these legal professionals are internationally recognized for their expertise and that they train judges and legal systems in other countries. Therefore, these highly qualified Swedish legal experts cannot possibly claim ignorance of the fundamental principles of legal security, which Swedes are deliberately violating against Muslims citizens in the Swedish war against Islam.

³¹) Mark Klamberg <https://www.su.se/profiles/mak18474-1.254039>

5. Jan Östen Hjärpe³² –
Professor emeritus in Islamic Studies at Lund Universitet (professor since 1983).



Research Portal

Find research at Lund University



Hem **Profiler** Forskningsoutput Projekt Enheter Infrastruktur ...

Sök...



Jan Hjärpe

Knuten till universitetet
Religionshistoria och religionsbeteendevetenskap
Professor emeritus, Affilierad Islamologi

E-postadress
jan.hjarpe@ctr.lu.se

³²) Jan Östen Hjärpe

<https://portal.research.lu.se/sv/persons/jan-hj%C3%A4rpe>

6. Torun Lindholm Öjmyr³³ –

Professor of Psychology, especially Social Psychology at the Department of Psychology, Stockholm University.


Stockholms universitet

UTBILDNING FORSKNING OM UNIVERSITETET





Torun Lindholm Öjmyr

Professor

Stockholms universitet

Om mig

Jag är professor i psykologi, särskilt socialpsykologi på Psykologiska institutionen, Stockholms universitet. Jag har tidigare varit verksam på Mälardalens högskola, vid Institutet för Psykosocial Medicin på KI (numera Stressforskningsinstitutet) och vid Uppsala universitet.

Min forskning rör olika teman inom social- och kognitionspsykologi; ingrupp/utgrupp status och sociala bedömningar, minnesförvrängningar i samband beslut, verbala uttryck för minnets tillförlitlighet, barns minnen, mäns och kvinnors strategiska självpresentation, kunskapsresistens, fördomar och äckel.

Jag var under åren 2014-2020 ledamot i styrelsen för European Association of Social Psychology (EASP), 2015-2023 ställföreträdande prefekt på Psykologiska institutionen SU, 2021-2023 ordförande resp. vice ordförande i Vetenskapsrådets beredningsgrupp för psykologi (HS-F), 2017-2023 ledamot resp. ordförande i kommittén för Wallenberg Academy Fellows inom samhällsvetenskap, samt ledamot i Svenska Nationalkommittén för psykologi under 2011-2020. Jag är sedan 2012 arbetande ledamot i Kungliga vitterhets-, historie- och antikvitetsakademien.

Undervisning

This page in English



KONTAKT


Torun Lindholm Öjmyr
 Professor


 tlm@psychology.su.se

Psykologiska institutionen

Besöksadress
 Albanovägen 12

Postadress
 Psykologiska institutionen
 106 91 Stockholm

³³) Torun Lindholm Öjmyr

<https://www.su.se/profiles/tlm-1.183663>

7. Eric David³⁴ (från Belgien) –
Professor emeritus in international law and has taught and worked with the laws of war since 1973.



HOME > Eric DAVID

Eric DAVID

Professor Eric DAVID (Belgian), Professor of International Criminal Law, president of the centre for International Law of ULB since 2003. « Professor Emeritus » since October 1st, 2009. He has lectured at the Free University of Brussels (U.L.B.) for the following courses : Public International Law, International Institutional Law, International Criminal Law and Law of Armed Conflicts. Eric David is author of Two prize winning books: "Mercenaries and International Volunteers in Public International Law" and "Principles of the Law of Armed Conflicts". Member of the International Humanitarian Fact-Finding Commission. Legal opinions for the UN, Belgian and foreign lawyers, NGOs. Member of fact-finding missions and arbitration commissions. Eric David is legal adviser to Russell Tribunal inquiry into the legal ramifications of Israel's human rights and humanitarian law violations in Palestine. He is Visiting Professor in numerous foreign universities.



Professor Eric David

The question is whether these experts will ever take action against those indicted for genocide and issued arrest warrants by The Hague Court – individuals who have murdered 50 000 children, pregnant women, and bombed hospitals to rubble.

Will Swedes bring these jews to trial, 32 years after the internationally recognized genocide of our time, in 2024, just as they did with this foreign nation? It is highly doubtful.

³⁴) Eric David

<https://irantribunal.com/eric-david/>

In the case against a foreign nation, Muslim, whose sole purpose was to smear an entire nation without legal basis, after reviewing 398 pages, the audience finds that there is nothing but 32-year-old memories (stories shared with grandchildren) from 35 individuals – plaintiffs, including 12 relatives of deceased plaintiffs. Despite this, the case resulted in a conviction!

This is particularly remarkable and distressing when the same Stockholm District Court, and the same Division 4, has dismissed hearings in the case against Ulf Kristersson, where witnesses are not even allowed to testify in a case concerning ongoing crimes and legal abuses affecting them (Muslims) and Muslim families with children, Swedish citizens as of today's date.

If this cannot be considered charlatanism, I ask myself what the term “charlatan” actually means to you!?

As a side note, it must be pointed out that the same judge, Tomas Zander, and the same presiding judge, Anna Liljeborg Gullsjö, who issued the conviction against this foreign nation, previously acquitted Telia³⁵ executives of responsibility for bribes amounting to two billion kronor, allegedly paid to secure 3G licenses in Uzbekistan³⁶!

The case, whose sole purpose was to tarnish the reputation of another nation, has cost taxpayers approximately 17,000,000 SEK excluding VAT through the Stockholm District Court. This is without any detailed calculation for the exact costs. At the same time, the very same Stockholm District Court, “refused” to address the right to legal counsel for the Muslim children subjected to legal violations, before the private lawsuit against Ulf Kristersson was dismissed.

Being forced to initiate a private prosecution for crimes that threaten a civilized society, clearly demonstrates that the Swedish regime is failing to fulfill its obligation. For this reason, there is no mention of the duty to prosecute, which obliges the Public Prosecutor's Office to investigate, examine, and bring charges, just as they have done against a Muslim nation.

Malicious Swedes in order to vilify other nations, has called 23 plaintiffs, plus 12 relatives of deceased plaintiffs, from around the world, and requested that 31 witnesses and nine experts witnesses be heard about a fiction circumstances, while the same malicious Swedes, equally wicked and evil, denied Swedish citizens the right to testify publicly and before the lay judges!

³⁵) Telia <https://www.telia.se>

³⁶) Uzbekistan <https://sverigesradio.se/avsnitt/1253256>

Considering all the factors mentioned here, it seems that Sweden and Swedes can only offer hypocrisy, falsehood, dishonesty, dishonor, immorality, unethical conduct, and pure charlatanism.

As for Ulf Kristersson, he has long been involved in depriving children of their families, even on the international stage, as shown by the link³⁷ and the image below.

Now, as Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson has the entire judicial system at his disposal to dismiss any private lawsuit against himself. At the same time, he has the entire press corps at his disposal to censor and silence such lawsuits and cover it up.



The image explains that Ulf Kristersson, as the chairman of Adoptionscentrum, was made aware that children in China had been stolen and sold to childless Swedes, according to the association's then communications officer.

³⁷) <https://www.dn.se/sverige/ulf-kristersson-fick-larm-om-kinesisk-barnhandel-adoptionerna-fortsatte/>

This link³⁸ and image confirm the same fact and add: “Despite everything, he allowed child abduction and trafficking to continue”.

svt NYHETER

Nyheter Lokalt Sport SVT Play Barn

/ INRIKES



Ulf Kristersson vid en tidigare intervju. Foto: Vesa Moilanen/AP/TT

Kristersson fick larm om sålda barn – adoptionerna fortsatte

UPPDATERAD 21 NOVEMBER 2022 PUBLICERAD 21 NOVEMBER 2022

Under sin tid som ordförande för Adoptionscentrum fick Sveriges statsminister Ulf Kristersson (M) larm om misstänkt barnhandel gällande adoptioner från Kina. Trots det fortgick adoptionerna från landet. Det avslöjar DN i dag.

[DN har granskat](#) elva kinesiska barnhem som Adoptionscentrum förmedlat adoptioner från Kina under Kristerssons (M) tid som ordförande 2003-2005.

Enligt Research China, som kartlagt illegala adoptioner och som DN intervjuat, beskrevs barnen i adoptionshandlingarna ofta som övergivna och upphittade. Men så var sällan fallet. Istället köptes eller kidnappades barnen ofta från fattiga familjer som stred mot ett-barns-politiken som rådde i landet.

It is worth mentioning Ulf Kristersson's three adopted Chinese children from that time, whose parents are likely sitting at the doorstep, eagerly waiting along the road...

³⁸) <https://www.svt.se/nyheter/inrikes/kristersson-kande-till-de-kopta-bamen>

This link³⁹ highlights Ulf Kristersson's involvement in child abduction and trafficking from Chile as well.

svt NYHETER

Nyheter ▼

Lokalt ▼

Sport ▼

SVT Play

Barn

/ UG

Ulf Kristersson vägrar svara på frågor – var ansvarig när larm om stulna barn avfärdades

UPPDATERAD 10 JUNI 2021 PUBLICERAD 10 JUNI 2021

UPPDRAG GRANSKNING · Barn uppges ha tagits från sina mammor, mot deras vilja, och skickats till Sverige. I dag tas fallen med de chilenska adoptionerna upp politiskt. Ulf Kristersson, Moderaternas partiledare, var själv ordförande för den organisation som genomförde de flesta av adoptionerna – när de avfärdade tidiga larm om oegentligheter. Men när Uppdrag granskning ställer frågor om hans eget ansvar vägrar Ulf Kristersson att svara.

Under torsdagen väntas riksdagen rösta om att ge regeringen i uppdrag att utreda hur svenska myndigheter och adoptionsorganisationer hanterat internationella adoptioner till Sverige från 1900-talets mitt fram till i dag.

En av de politiker som uttalat sig i frågan är Moderaternas partiledare Ulf Kristersson. Efter en granskning av Dagens Nyheter har han sagt att han [vill se en vitbok](#) över adoptionerna, som går till botten med alla misstankar.

Now the audience understands why Stockholm District Court was so eager to dismiss the private lawsuit against Swedish Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson after only two months.

Now you know why did the court deny the victim's right to legal counsel in the lawsuit against Ulf Kristersson, when they themselves had ordered the same right in a case where a foreign nation was vilified for something that was alleged to have happened – but never proven – a full 32 years before the trial.

³⁹⁾ <https://www.svt.se/nyheter/granskning/ug/ulf-kristersson-vagr-ar-svara-pa-fragor-var-ansvarig-nar-larm-om-stulna-barn-avfardades-for-18-ar-se>

Now it is clear to the audience why Stockholm District Court avoided holding a hearing and dismissed a fundamental rule of due process, where the court's composition could have had the opportunity to hear about the justice system's violations against tens of thousands of Muslim children and families.

We all know that these Swedish legal professionals are internationally recognized for their expertise and that they train judges and legal systems in other countries. Therefore, these highly qualified Swedish legal experts cannot possibly claim ignorance of the fundamental principles of legal security, which Swedes are deliberately violating against Muslims citizens in the Swedish war against Islam.

Swedish journalists are quick to point out corruption in other countries with a broad brush, while they turn a blind eye to corruption among lawyers, attorneys, prosecutors, and judges here in Sweden. The same corrupt Swedish lawyers, attorneys, prosecutors, and judges who are experts in legal matters in The Hague, at the ICC, and the EU Court, international advisors, UN mediators, judges in various international contexts, and judges who train judicial systems in other countries.

Considering all the factors mentioned here, it seems that Sweden and Swedes can only offer hypocrisy, falsehood, dishonesty, dishonor, immorality, unethical conduct, and pure charlatanism.

Now you will witness how a complaint, against Judge Jan Nyrén, is handled by the responsible authorities, whose mission is to ensure that the principles of legal certainty are upheld.

- 1) Chancellor of justice (Justitiekansler – JK)
- 2) Parliamentary Ombudsman (Justitieombudsman – JO)

1) Chancellor of justice (Justitiekansler – JK)

Report of Crime

Complainant

Seyed Isa Musavi (XXXXXXXXX – XXXX)

Reported Individual

Jan Nyrén, District Court Judge, Stockholm District Court, Division 4



Judge, Jan Nyrén
Stockholm District Court – Department 4

Background

I hereby report District Court Judge Jan Nyrén of Stockholm District Court, Division 4, for aiding and abetting, including in the hostage-taking of my children, and for failing to fulfill his duty to act impartially in accordance with applicable legislation. I contend that his conduct during the handling of Case No. B 19040–24 constitutes a conflict of interest and corruption.

On December 6, 2024, Judge Jan Nyrén decided to dismiss my lawsuit against Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson.

In connection with this decision, the judge has:

- a. Failed to ensure my right to clarifications regarding ambiguities in the process.
- b. Refused to grant my request for the appointment of a victim's counsel.
- c. Not facilitated a public hearing with lay judges present to hear witness testimonies.

Despite my explicit request for communication to occur via email, due to my lack of legal education and understanding of legal terminology, the judge chose to disregard this request (see Appendix 1, page 1).

This procedure violates the principle of legal certainty and undermines trust in an impartial judicial process.

Basis for the Report

Judge Jan Nyrén's decision in Case No. B 19040–24 has, beyond reasonable doubt, been influenced by my previous publications highlighting systematic judicial abuses against Muslims within the Swedish legal system (Appendix 2), one of which includes this decision from the Stockholm District Court.

I argue that this has resulted in biased handling of my case and a violation of my fundamental rights under Swedish law and international commitments.

Point 1

- a. Failure to address the request for clarification regarding ambiguities in the statement of claim.
- b. Refusal to consider my right to a victim's counsel, which is crucial to ensuring a fair trial.
- c. Violation of legal certainty through the refusal to hold a hearing that would allow lay judges to hear witness testimonies.

Point 2

- a. Incorrect application of the legal requirements for a preliminary investigation, which prevented me from presenting my case in a manner consistent with legal certainty.

Evidence of Systematic Judicial Abuses against Muslims

This also highlights deficiencies in legal certainty at the Stockholm District Court.

Point I – Final Decision B 19040–24

a) Failure to address the request for clarification

In my statement of claim, dated November 1, 2024 (see Appendix 1), I informed the district court that I lack legal education and therefore have a limited understanding of legal terms and procedures. I requested that any ambiguities be clarified via email before a decision was made.

This request was ignored, resulting in the deficiencies later cited as grounds for dismissal remaining unexplained.

Legal Basis for the Court's Obligation to Clarify Ambiguities

- Swedish Code of Judicial Procedure Chapter 42, § 3 and § 8
- Administrative Procedure Act Section 23 (Duty of Investigation)
- Instrument of Government Chapter 1, § 9 (Objectivity and Impartiality)
- European Convention on Human Rights Article 6 (Right to a Fair Trial)
- Principle of Officiality (The court's responsibility to investigate and clarify on its own initiative).

Was the judge, Jan Nyrén, unaware of these obligations, or did he fail in his impartiality?

Furthermore, I explicitly emphasized in my statement of claim (see Appendix 1) the importance of the right to a victim's counsel. By failing to address the issue of appointing a victim's counsel before the final decision, my ability to present my case in a legally secure manner was severely hindered.

Consequence

The judge's failure to appoint a victim's counsel meant that I was denied the necessary support to formulate and defend my application in an equitable, accurate, and fair manner.

b) Failure to Examine the Right to Victim's Counsel:

By not addressing my request for a victim's counsel first, I was denied support in formulating and defending my application, which severely limited my right to justice and access to the court as guaranteed by Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights.

Refusing to assess the right to victim's counsel at an early stage violates the obligations and principles of legal certainty and impartial decision-making.

Such an examination should have been conducted at an early stage to ensure that the victims (myself and my hostaged children) could have their rights safeguarded and participate in the process on equal terms.

Legal Basis

- Swedish Code of Judicial Procedure Chapter 20, § 15
- Administrative Court Act Sections 5 and 23 (especially the second paragraph).
- Principle of Legal Certainty The victim loses important opportunities to safeguard their interests.
- Instrument of Government Chapter 1, § 9 (Requirement for objectivity and impartiality).
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) Article 6 (Right to a fair trial).

Was the judge, Jan Nyrén, unaware of these laws, or did he fail in his impartiality?

The judge's rejection of the request for a victim's counsel before the final decision seems to indicate that the judge was actively representing the respondent's interests (Constitutional law of the Instrument of Government, Chapter 1, § 9).

Consequence

The judge's failure to examine my right to victim's counsel demonstrates a lack of respect for legal certainty in the treatment of Muslim clients in Sweden.

This case has the potential to create guiding precedent regarding how the Swedish justice system addresses the rights of victims, especially in cases involving Muslims. I urge the Chancellor of Justice to thoroughly review this matter to ensure that future rulings on victim's counsel and legal certainty take into account the particular vulnerability of Muslims.

c) **Violation of Legal Certainty, Refusal to Hold a Hearing**

Judge Jan Nyrén has failed to fulfill his duty to ensure my rights as the plaintiff, particularly given my lack of legal education. This violates the provisions of the Swedish Code of Judicial Procedure and fundamental principles of legal certainty.

The refusal to hold a hearing to allow for witness testimonies and clarify the circumstances surrounding the private prosecution is incompatible with the principle of a fair and transparent process. A hearing would have been crucial to ensuring a fair trial with the participation of lay judges.

Legal Basis

- Swedish Code of Judicial Procedure Chapter 5, § 1 (Principle of Public Access).
- Swedish Code of Judicial Procedure Chapter 42, § 6 and § 9.
- Administrative Procedure Act § 24 (Obligation to hold hearings when necessary to clarify the circumstances).
- Principles of Legal Certainty and Official Responsibility – Refusal to hold a hearing when required to clarify circumstances is inconsistent with these principles.
- Swedish Constitutional law of the Instrument of Government – Chapter 1, § 9 (Objectivity and Impartiality).
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) Article 6 (Right to a Fair Trial).
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) – Article 14.

Why does the judge refuse to ensure openness and transparency by not allowing hearings?

Judge Jan Nyrén's decision not only hindered legal certainty but also demonstrated a lack of objectivity and fairness in the handling of similar cases involving Muslims in Sweden. I have presented this fact to the world in my factual works, highlighting the hostage-taking of my children since September 14, 2016 (see Appendix 2).

Consequence The judge's decision to refuse a hearing undermines legal certainty and creates the impression of partiality. This decision prevented a transparent process and made it impossible for me to address the alleged deficiencies in my claim in a legally sound manner.

Judge Jan Nyrén's actions have not only restricted my access to a fair trial but also appear to be part of a systematic lack of objectivity in the handling of cases involving Muslims in Sweden.

*Point 2 – Final Decision B 19040–24***a. Requirement for a Preliminary Investigation**

Under Swedish law, a private legal action effectively functions as a preliminary investigation where an inquiry is required before charges are brought, in accordance with Chapter 23, Section 1 of the Swedish Penal Code and Chapter 20, Section 1 of the Code of Judicial Procedure.

The prior preliminary investigations conducted by the police, the prosecutor, and the social services, all concerning the same case, have documented the crimes and legal violations forming the basis of my private legal action against Ulf Kristersson. These investigations are therefore relevant and necessary as evidence to support bringing the case forward.

The judge's refusal to uphold the rule of law by failing to initiate prosecution against Ulf Kristersson, despite the already completed investigations, constitutes a serious violation of legal certainty under both national and international law.

The Stockholm District Court's unlawful decision to dismiss my application violates the principle of legal certainty and undermines Sweden's claim of impartiality.

Legal Basis

- Code of Judicial Procedure Chapter 23, § 4
- Swedish Penal Code Chapter 20, § 1
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) Article 6
- Administrative Procedure Act (Requirements for impartiality and objectivity)

In support of these laws and with reference to the annexes attached to the summons application, the judge, through negligence in the legal process, has violated the principles of legal certainty as well as the requirements for objectivity and impartiality.

Consequence

By disregarding prior investigations and failing to ensure a legally secure handling of my lawsuit against Ulf Kristersson, Judge Jan Nyrén has violated both national laws and international obligations.

This undermines confidence in Sweden's legal system and its claim to act impartially.

Crimes

The national and international laws that Judge Jan Nyrén has disregarded to protect the defendant, Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, also constitute crimes that Judge Jan Nyrén himself has committed.

It is unimaginable that a judge like Jan Nyrén, from Sweden – a country that trains judges from other nations – could be unaware of the most fundamental human rights, principles of legal certainty, and obligations to make impartial decisions.

The judge's decision, based on my revelations about judicial abuses against Muslims, has directly contributed to my children being held hostage since September 14, 2016 (see Appendix 2). This situation is a direct result of the legal violations and judicial abuses committed by individuals like him, without any legal consequence against him – Corruption.

Evidence and Documentation

I attach the following documents in support of my complaint:

- My original summons application, including my request for legal assistance (Appendix 1).
- The court decision that ordered the hostage-taking of my children in connection with my published factual works in Sweden (Appendix 2) in 2017.
- Appendix 26 in case B 19040–24, outlining the court's obligation to act urgently and end the hostage-taking (Appendix 3).
- The district court's final decision, dated December 6, 2024 (Appendix 4).
- My appeal against the district court's final decision (Appendix 5).
- The directive from the Svea Court of Appeal (Appendix 6).
- The clarification from the Svea Court of Appeal (Appendix 7).

Request

It can only be assumed that Judge Jan Nyrén has deliberately chosen to protect the defendant, Ulf Kristersson, Prime Minister of Sweden.

In light of this, I request that the Chancellor of Justice take the following actions:

1. Interim Measures to Protect the Rights of My Hostage-Taken Children
 - a. I request that the Chancellor of Justice immediately revoke the protected identity status of my children, as it lacks legal basis or proper judicial review and has forced me and my children to be unable to contact one another.
 - b. I further request to ensure the return of my children to their home in accordance with our rights under the Convention on the Rights of the Child and the European Convention on Human Rights.
2. Compensation for Violations of Rights
 - a. Compensation for non-pecuniary damages resulting from the violation of my fundamental rights, under the Swedish Constitution and the European Convention on Human Rights.
 - b. Compensation for pecuniary damages, including loss of income since September 14, 2016, as well as compensation for costs, distress, and suffering.
3. Redress for Systematic Violations of Rights
 - a. I request that the Chancellor of Justice initiate an independent review of the judicial process to assess whether there has been any conflict of interest, bias, or other violations of legal security.
 - b. Furthermore, I request that the Chancellor of Justice take measures to prevent similar abuses in the future, such as recommending changes to the law or initiating internal training for Judge Jan Nyrén and other judges on legal security and impartiality.
4. Public Apology and Acknowledgment of the State's Responsibility
 - a. I request that the state, through the Chancellor of Justice, acknowledge and apologize for the violations of rights that I and my hostages children are subjected to in this individual case.

Legal Basis

1. Reunion
 - a. Convention on the Rights of the Child Article 2
My children should not be punished for the publications I have made since 2016.
 - b. Convention on the Rights of the Child Articles 9 and 16
Protection against arbitrary interference with family life.
2. Compensations
 - a. Compensation Act (1972:207) Chapter 3, § 2
Compensation for violations of fundamental rights caused by government officials.
3. Violations of Rights
 - a. European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) Article 13
Right to an effective legal remedies for violations of rights.
4. Legal Security and Impartiality
 - a. Instrument of Government (Regeringsformen) Chapter 1, Section 9 –
Authorities must act objectively and impartially.

Further legal support to ensure the release of my hostaged children and their safe return can be found in Appendix 5.

Request for Justice and Transparency in the Legal Process, Considering My Limited Legal Knowledge

I would like to remind you of my lack of knowledge regarding my legal rights in this matter, as well as my limited understanding of legal language and terminology. I therefore ask that you take this into consideration and that in your work, you act with fairness, transparency, and respect for legal truthfulness.

It is of utmost importance that no information is concealed that could potentially violate my rights or the legal integrity of the process.

Contact and Communication

I am available for further information and can be reached via email at ...

Seyed Isa Musavi

Stockholm, December 13, 2024

Chancellor of Justice – JK⁴⁰

Let us first clarify the responsibilities that the Chancellor of Justice themselves state are their duties, as outlined on their website, before we begin to review and understand the responses provided by the authority in this case.

Om Justitiekanslern

- Aktuellt
- Organisation
- Ärendehanläggning
- Författningar
- Regleringsbrev
- Årsredovisning
- Lediga jobb
- Historia
- Om webbplatsen
- Personuppgiftsbehandling

Om Justitiekanslern

Justitiekanslern har i dag ett flertal arbetsuppgifter, vilka alla kan sammanfattas ganska väl under benämningen "statens jurist". Här beskrivs kortfattat Justitiekanslerns huvuduppgifter.

- ✓ Tillsyn över myndigheterna
- ✓ Tillsyn över advokaternas disciplinverksamhet
- ✓ Frivillig skadereglering
- ✓ Skydd för privatlivet
- ✓ Åklagare vid tryck- och yttrandefrihetsbrott
- ✓ Tillsyn över rättshjälpen
- ✓ Ombud för staten
- ✓ Rådgivare åt regeringen

Tillsyn över myndigheterna

Justitiekanslern har i likhet med Riksdagens ombudsmän (JO) tillsyn över myndigheter och deras tjänstemän. Tillsynen har till syfte att kontrollera att lagar och andra författningar efterlevs. Sedan den 1 december 1998 har Justitiekanslerns tillsynsverksamhet begränsats. Justitiekanslern har sedan dess en mer övergripande tillsyn som främst är inriktad på att upptäcka systematiska fel i den offentliga verksamheten.

Justitiekanslern bestämmer själv om en anmälan från en enskild ska föranleda några åtgärder. Man kan förenklat säga att Justitiekanslern bedriver sin verksamhet på uppdrag av regeringen med det perspektiv som följer därav, medan JO är företrädare för riksdagen och traditionellt har som uppgift att ta tillvara folkets eller medborgarnas intressen gentemot statsmakten.

JK – January 14, 2025

The main tasks of the Chancellor of Justice which can be adjusted in this specific case are:

- Supervision of authorities
- Prosecutor in cases of press and freedom of expression offenses
- Protection of privacy

⁴⁰⁾ www.jk.se/om-oss/

Quote:

"Like the Parliamentary Ombudsmen (JO), the Chancellor of Justice oversees authorities and their officials. The oversight aims to verify compliance with laws and other regulations. Since December 1, 1998, the oversight activities of the Chancellor of Justice have been limited. The Chancellor of Justice has since had a more overarching oversight primarily focused on detecting systematic errors in public activities.

The Chancellor of Justice decides independently whether a report from an individual should lead to any measures.

It can be simply said that the Chancellor of Justice (JK) operates its activities on behalf of the government with the perspective that follows from it, while the Parliamentary Ombudsman (JO) represents the Parliament and traditionally has the task of safeguarding the interests of the people or citizens against the state power."

Isn't this an acknowledgment that the Chancellor of Justice (JK) does not necessarily act based on the law but rather from the perspective of the state's interests!?

If that is the case, it is not right to assume that the crimes Muslim families and children are subjected to represent the state's guidelines.

Are we in agreement on this, according to what JK has explained on their website?

The question that arises is: On what norms and premises is the state's operations based, if not on the laws that the Parliament decides upon? And the same question can be asked regarding the operations of the JK.

It is important to ask who is actually choosing and directing the state's guidelines at this moment. Who is responsible for these decisions, if not Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, who, in his role, holds the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that justice and protection are upheld for all citizens, regardless of origin, religion or culture.

Chancellor of Justice responds

Let us now see what JK has responded. Kajsa Wållgren, in her role as (?), responds:

“Your complaint to the Chancellor of Justice

You have, among other things, expressed certain complaints and stated that you are seeking compensation.

Within the framework of the state’s voluntary claims settlement process, the Chancellor of Justice can decide on compensation.

A prerequisite for receiving compensation is that the state is liable for damages according to a legal provision or court practice. What you have written does not support that the state is liable for damages. Therefore, no compensation will be paid.

The Chancellor of Justice has a limited supervisory role and will not investigate your complaint.

The Chancellor of Justice will also not take any other measures regarding your complaint.

Further submissions from you on this matter may remain unanswered.

Kind regards

Kajsa Wållgren

Information about appeals, etc.

The decision of the Chancellor of Justice has been made in accordance with the provisions on the state’s voluntary claims settlement, as outlined in the Regulation (1995:1301) on the handling of compensation claims against the state. The decision cannot be appealed. Anyone dissatisfied with the decision can file a lawsuit against the state in a general court to have their request reviewed in accordance with the procedures applicable to civil cases.”



Datum
2024-12-18

Dot
2024/1571

Seyed Isa Musavi

Din anmälan till Justitiekanslern

Du har bl.a. framställt vissa klagomål och skrivit att du vill ha skadestånd.

Inom ramen för statens frivilliga skadereglering kan Justitiekanslern besluta om skadestånd.

En förutsättning för att få skadestånd är att staten är skadeståndsskyldig enligt någon bestämmelse eller enligt domstolspraxis. Det som du har skrivit ger inte stöd för att staten är skadeståndsskyldig. Något skadestånd kommer därför inte att betalas ut.

Justitiekanslern har en begränsad tillsynsuppgift och kommer inte att utreda din anmälan.

Justitiekanslern kommer inte heller att vidta några åtgärder i övrigt med anledning av din anmälan.

Ytterligare skrifter från dig som gäller denna fråga kan komma att lämnas obesvarade.

Med vänlig hälsning

Kajsa Wållgren

Upplysningar om överklagande m.m.

Justitiekanslerns beslut har fattats enligt bestämmelserna om statens frivilliga skadereglering som finns i förordningen (1995:1301) om handläggning av skadeståndsanspråk mot staten. Beslutet kan inte överklagas. Den som är missnöjd med beslutet kan väcka talan mot staten i allmän domstol för att få begäran prövad i den ordning som gäller för tvistemål.

Postadress
Box 2308
103 17 STOCKHOLM

Gataadress
Bürger Järle Torg 12

Telefon (växelt)
010-475 93 00

E-post
registrator@justitiekanslern.se

The most remarkable item about Kajsa Wållgren (in her role as ... what!?) is:

“Anyone dissatisfied with the decision can file a lawsuit against the state in a general court to have their request reviewed in accordance with the procedures applicable to civil cases.”

As if I hadn't already turned to the court and requested the case to be examined according to the applicable procedures!? Did Kajsa Wållgren truly understand the meaning of what she stated in her official capacity? Did she truly grasp the content of the complaint against Judge Jan Nyrén?

The question remains:

What is a charlatan?

To summarize, as evident from the link, the demands in the complaint against Judge Jan Nyrén are clearly separated and well – formulated. The fact that the Chancellor of Justice (JK) chooses to address only the compensation claim without considering the other points, and without providing any explanation or justification, goes against their obligation to act in a legally sound and transparent manner.

The JK has a central role in reviewing and handling legal complications involving the state. They also have the authority to determine whether the state should acknowledge responsibility and recommend a public apology. The decision by the JK not to fulfill these obligations contributes to tens of thousands of Muslim families continuing to suffer from systematic legal injustices in Sweden.

This is a deeply concerning development, both socially and legally.



Daniel Kjellgren
Acting Chancellor of Justice on January 14, 2025

Considering all the factors mentioned, it seems that Sweden and Swedes can only offer hypocrisy, falsehood, dishonesty, dishonor, immorality, unethical conduct, and pure charlatanism.

2) Parliamentary Ombudsman (Justitieombudsman – JO)

Violations of the principles of legal certainty

Mail- Friday, January 31, 2025, at 18:12

To the Parliamentary Ombudsman (JO), Erik Nymansson



Erik Nymansson
Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman

Attached is a description of the violations of the principles of legal certainty committed by Judge Jan Nyrén at the Stockholm District Court.

It is difficult to imagine that a judge in Sweden – a country that markets itself as an ethical superpower and welcomes delegations from judicial systems such as Japan's to share its expertise – would lack knowledge of these absolutely fundamental principles.

These violations constitute systematic crimes, where Swedish judges are guilty of discrimination that solely targets the Muslim population – a group treated as second-class citizens despite bearing the same tax burden as everyone else. Income tax, VAT, employer contributions, and other taxes are paid by Muslims just like any other Swedish citizen, contributing to the welfare system we have in Sweden.

The Parliamentary Ombudsman (JO) has a duty to ensure that decisions made by the Swedish Parliament are applied equally to all citizens, regardless of the factors that differentiate them.

As a nation that proudly positions itself as an international role model in matters of justice and ethics, Sweden bears a particular responsibility to ensure that the principles of legal certainty are upheld not only in theory but also in practice.

The Parliamentary Ombudsman (JO) plays a central role in safeguarding these principles and ensuring that every citizen, regardless of their background, is treated equally before the law.

To allow or overlook systematic violations of legal certainty risks not only eroding public trust in the judicial system domestically but also tarnishing Sweden's international reputation. Such a development would undermine the credibility of Sweden as a nation.

I therefore urge JO to act in this case based on legal, moral-, and ethical principles, and to reinforce the importance of Sweden's justice system remaining a symbol of fairness, equality, and integrity also due to the Muslim citizens in Sweden.

PS. I humbly request that ambassadors respect Article 14:1 of the UN Declaration of Human Rights, which grants every individual the right to seek and enjoy political asylum from persecution in other countries. The attached circumstances in my complaint to the Swedish Parliamentary Ombudsman demonstrate that I am subjected to political persecution as a Swedish-Muslim author, as evidenced by the 2017 ruling related to my factual publications.

Authors from other countries are honored with the Nobel Peace Prize for works of this nature and for exposing undeniable legal injustices.

Stockholm, January

Seyed Isa Musavi

Attached is the complaint against Judge Jan Nyrén and appendices 1 to 7.

Receipt confirmed

The Parliamentary Ombudsman (JO) has received your complaint on 2025-01-31, and it has been registered under Case number 1203–2025.

(B) Mottagningsbevis - JO-anmälan

N noreply@jo.se
Till: Du

← Svara ↻ Svara alla → Vidarebefordra 🔄 📎 📧 ...
Tis 2025-02-04 19:01

Mottagningsbevis

Riksdagens ombudsmän (JO) har tagit emot din anmälan 2025-01-31 och den har fått diarienummer 1203-2025.

Observera att det inte går att svara på detta meddelande. Om du vill kontakta JO i ärendet via e-post är adressen justitieombudsmannen@jo.se. Det är bra om du i så fall uppger diarienumret.

På JOs webbplats finns information om hur vi handlägger din anmälan.
<https://www.jo.se/sv/JO-anmalan/Nar-en-anmalan-kommer-in-till-JO/>

JO:s behandling av personuppgifter

JO behandlar personuppgifter som lämnas i ett ärende eller som JO hämtar in från t.ex. en anmäld myndighet. Personuppgifter behandlas även i JO:s e-postsystem.

För information om JO:s personuppgiftsbehandling se
<https://www.jo.se/sv/Om-JO/Behandling-av-personuppgifter/>

Sitting duck and waiting for an answer.
Today ninth of February 2025.



Third Chapter

Appeals the verdict – Svea Court of appeal

Case B19040 – 24

| | |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff | Seyed Isa Musavi (XXXXXXXXX – XXXX) |
| Defendant | Ulf Hjalmar Ed Kristersson, Prime Minister of Sweden |

I, Seyed Isa Musavi, hereby appeal the Stockholm District Court's decision of December 6, 2024, to dismiss my lawsuit and deny my request for a victim's counsel and an official hearings.

I believe that the Stockholm District Court failed to fulfill its legal obligations and has violated legal certainty, my rights, and my children's right to family life and protection from unlawful deprivation of liberty, contrary to both national and international law.

I would like to highlight the fact that this case reflects the situation faced by tens of thousands of Muslims who suffer similar injustices in Sweden, every year. These injustices arise when the District Courts fails to fulfill its legal obligations, disregards the principles of legal certainty, and does not follow the wording of the law in cases concerning Muslims in Sweden.

The case does not only concern my individual rights but addresses a broader systemic wrong doings of legal certainty and the protection of rights for tens of thousands of Muslims in Sweden. By failing to apply legal rules consistently and fairly, the state, represented by Ulf Kristersson, creates a precedent where the rights of an entire population group, Muslims, are undermined.

The purpose of the judiciary is to uphold justice and legal certainty by impartially investigating allegations and applying the law equally and fairly to all.

- 1. Grounds for the Appeal**
- 2. Clarification**

1. Grounds for the Appeal

- a) **Failure to clarify ambiguities**
- b) **Failure to examine the right to a victim's counsel before the decision**
- c) **Refusal to hold an official hearing**

Explanation of why the Stockholm District Court has, in several ways, violated my right to an impartial and fair trial.

a) **Failure to Clarify Ambiguities**

Despite clearly stating in my lawsuit (page 1, see Appendix 25) that I do not master legal terminology and requesting that any ambiguities be clarified via email, the Stockholm District Court ignored my request. This has made it difficult for me to present my case in an equal, accurate, and fair manner.

Legal Basis to Address the Failure to Clarify Ambiguities

- Code of Judicial Procedure Chapter 42, Sections 3 and 8
- Administrative Procedure Act Section 23 – Duty to Investigate
- Instrument of Government Chapter 1, Section 9 – Objectivity and Impartiality
- European Convention Article 6 – Right to a Fair Trial
- Official Principle Obligation to investigate and clarify on one's own initiative to ensure a legally sound decision.

The judge's denial of my request for a victim's counsel prevents me from presenting my case properly.

I remind the Svea Court of Appeal that I am not a trained lawyer and do not master legal terminology. A victim's counsel is essential to safeguard the rights of myself, my hostages children, and tens of thousands of other Muslims who are annually subjected to child abductions.

b) Failure to Examine the Right to a Victim's Counsel

The failure to consider the right to a victim's counsel "before" the final decision violates the obligations and principles of legal certainty and impartial decision-making.

Such a consideration should have taken place at an earliest stage to ensure that the victim (myself) could have their rights fulfilled, thereby participate in the process on equal terms. I therefore request that this be rectified and that the consideration of the right to a victim's counsel be conducted immediately.

Legal Basis:

- Code of Judicial Procedure Chapter 20, § 15
- Administrative Procedure Act § 5 and § 23 (especially the second paragraph of § 23)
- Principle of Legal Certainty The victim loses critical opportunities to safeguard their interests.
- Instrument of Government Chapter 1, § 9
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) Article 6

I remind the Svea Court of Appeal that I am not a trained lawyer and do not master legal terminology. A victim's counsel is essential to safeguard the rights of myself, my hostages children, and tens of thousands of other Muslims who are annually subjected to child abductions.

The judge's denial of the request for a victim's counsel, before the final decision, appears as if the judge is actively representing the defendant's interests (Instrument of Government, Chapter 1, § 9).

This case has the potential to set a precedent regarding how the Swedish legal system addresses the rights of victims, particularly in cases involving Muslims. By carefully examining the right for victim's counsel, Svea Court of Appeal can ensure that future legal decisions on victim's counsel and legal certainty take into account the specific vulnerabilities of Muslims and other minorities.

c) **Refusal to hold an official Hearing!**

The refusal to hold an official hearing, violates fundamental principles of legal certainty, the official principle, and legal obligations. A hearing is crucial for explaining and clarifying circumstances and ensuring that I receive a fair trial before the court and lay judges.

I therefore request that the Court of Appeal consider this refusal as an additional ground for overturning the decision and accepting my application for review, and that an official hearing is held to ensure a legally secure handling of the case.

Legal Basis

- Code of Judicial Procedure Chapter 5, § 1 – “Publicly” is the fundamental principle.
- Code of Judicial Procedure Chapter 42, § 6 and § 9
- Administrative Procedure Act § 24
- Principle of Legal Certainty and Official Principle If a hearing is necessary to clarify issues, refusing to hold one is incompatible with these principles.
- Instrument of Government Chapter 1, § 9
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) Article 6
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) Article 14

The decision not only undermined legal certainty but also demonstrated a lack of objectivity and bias in handling this case.

Why does the judge refuse to ensure openness and transparency by not allowing an official hearings!?

1. Clarifications

- a) **Applicable legal provisions**
- b) **Description of the offense**
- c) **Time and place**

I will try to clarify Appendix 25 (Statement from Seyed Isa Musavi), if this is what the judge considers to be incorrect!

I would like to remind the Svea Court of Appeal once again that the decision regarding the right to a victim's counsel is absolutely crucial to ensure that the case is handled in accordance with the principles of legal certainty and impartiality.

Before any correspondence, it is of utmost importance that a decision on the right to a victim's counsel is made to ensure a proper and fair process.

Under applicable legal provisions, the description of the offense, and the timeline, Instrument of Government Chapter 12, § 9, section 2 must also be considered – which states that the Prime Minister has a responsibility and obligation to act when there are extraordinary circumstances.

It is important to note that for every relevant legal provision, both national and international, there is documentation proving that crimes have been committed, confirming the timeline, and clearly describing the offense.

a) Applicable Legal ProvisionsInstrument of Government: Chapter12, § 9, Section 2**- Crimes under the Swedish Penal Code:**

- | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| a. Chapter 17, § 11 | Harboring a criminal |
| b. Chapter 15, § 9 | Failure to prevent a miscarriage of justice |
| c. Chapter 20, § 1 | Misconduct in public office |
| d. Chapter 23, § 1 | Failure to report a crime |

I would like to emphasize that this is a reality that tens of thousands of Muslims in Sweden are forced to endure abducted children WITHOUT any legal ground, every year. Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, is fully aware of the targeted abuses against Muslims but refuses to take the measures necessary to end their suffering.

- Crimes against Humanity**Hostage – taking**

- a. International Convention Against the Taking of Hostages, Article 1, 2, and 5 (Appendix 5)

Presumption of Innocence

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| a. UN – Universal Declaration of Human Rights | Article 11 |
| b. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights | Article 14.2 |
| c. European Convention on Human Rights | Article 6.2 |

Coerced Confession

- | | |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|
| a. UN – Convention against Torture | Article 3 |
| b. European Convention on Human Rights | Article 6 |
| c. Swedish Penal Code | Chapter 17, § 10 |
| d. Code of Judicial Procedure | Chapter 23, § 12 |

Torture

- b. UN – Convention Against Torture
 - i. Article 1, Article 2, § 2 and § 3, and Article 4
- c. UN – Convention on the Rights of the Child Article 2
- d. ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights) Article 7
- e. EU Charter of Fundamental Rights Article 4
- f. European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) Article 3
- g. Instrument of Government (Sweden) Chapter 2, § 5

Crimes against Private and Family Life

- a. Instrument of Government (Sweden)
 - i. Chapter 1, Section 2, Paragraph 4
 - ii. Chapter 2, Section 8
 - iii. Chapter 2, Section 12
- b. European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) Article 8
- c. ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights)
 - i. Article 17
 - ii. Article 18
 - iii. Article 19
 - iv. Article 23
 - v. Article 26
 - vi. Article 27
- d. Convention on the Rights of the Child
 - i. Article 2:2
 - ii. Article 3:2
 - iii. Article 5
 - iv. Article 8
 - v. Article 9
 - vi. Article 16
 - vii. Article 18
 - viii. Article 19
 - ix. Article 36
 - x. Article 37
- e. UN – Human Rights
 - i. Article 16:3
 - ii. Article 26:3
 - iii. Article 30

Unlawful Deprivation of Liberty of Children

- a) EU Convention on Human Rights Article 5

Children have the right to liberty and security, prohibiting arbitrary and unlawful deprivation of liberty.

- b) Violations of the Convention on the Rights of the Child
 - i. Article 9 – Protection from undue separation from parents.
 - ii. Article 16 – Protection from unlawful and arbitrary deprivation of liberty of children.

Crimes under the Swedish Penal Code, Freedom and Security

- a. Human Trafficking Chapter 4, Section 1
 - i. Unlawful Coercion (Protected Identity Without Legal Review)

- ii. Deceptive Statements
 - iii. Exploitation of My Vulnerable Situation, Severely Limited Alternatives
 - iv. Improper Means
- b. Unlawful Deprivation of Liberty Chapter 4, Section 2
- c. Attempt, Preparation, and Conspiracy to Commit Human Trafficking Chapter 4, Section 10

Crimes against Life and Health

- a. Swedish Penal Code Chapter 3, § 5 – Inflicting bodily harm, pain, and helplessness

Crimes against Family

- a. Swedish Penal Code Chapter 7, Section 4

Crimes against the Right to Assembly and Association

- a. ICCPR Article 22 – Right to freedom of association
- b. Instrument of Government (Sweden) Chapter 2, Section 1, Point 3 – Freedom of assembly
- c. Instrument of Government (Sweden): Chapter 2, Section 1, Point 5 – Freedom of association

Crimes against Profession – Career Opportunities

- ICCPR Article 6 – Right to life
- ICCPR Article 22 – Right to work and profession
- ICESCR Article 6 – Right to work
- ICESCR Article 15 – Right to cultural development
- EU Charter of Fundamental Rights Article 15

Freedom of Speech Act Chapter 1, Section 1 and the Fundamental Law on Freedom of Expression Chapter 1, Section 1, Paragraph 2 (Appendix 5)

- I would also like to remind that the Prime Minister’s actions, by allowing hostage-taking of my children (Appendix 5), the prolonged separation between me and my children, create a “chilling effect” that impacts freedom of speech and freedom of the press. This effect causes other citizens to feel afraid to express their opinions, especially if they are critical of the state’s actions. This undermines both the Fundamental Law on Freedom of Expression and the Freedom of the Press Act, as well as international law, by preventing free and diverse information and free artistic creation.

Description of the Offense Instrument of Government: Chapter 12, Section 9, Paragraph 2

Ulf Kristersson, as the Prime Minister of Sweden, has, through his passivity and failure to intervene against systematic abuses of Muslim citizens, particularly my children, Kimiya and Kiyana Musavi, committed the following:

a) Nationally

1. State-sponsored kidnapping of a Muslim-Swedish author (Appendix 5).
2. Enables continued use of protected identity for my children WITHOUT legal review.
3. Enables violation of the presumption of innocence (Appendices 1, 12, 26).
4. Coerced confession (Police interrogation at Globen, November 2021).
5. Enables torture of children, both physical and psychological (Appendices 13, 18).
6. Violation of private and family life (gross disregard for the law).
7. Unlawful deprivation of liberty of children (Appendices 1, 2, 3, and 17).
8. Crimes against life and health.
9. Crimes against freedom and security.
10. Crimes against family.
11. Crimes against the right to assembly and association (Appendices 20, 22).
12. Crimes against personal development.
13. Crimes against the Freedom of the Press Act and the Fundamental Law on Freedom of Expression (Appendix 5).

b) Internationally

1. Violations of the Convention on the Rights of the Child.
2. Violations of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR).
3. Violations of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).
4. Violations of the Hague Convention.

A detailed description is available in Appendix 25.

c) Time and Place

1. As party leader since December 2017, personal meeting in Stockholm
2. As Prime Minister since October 18, 2022, Instrument of Government Chapter 12, Section 9, Paragraph 2

3. Evidence

Appendices 1 to 26, as well as a USB drive containing five appendices.

4. Claims

I request that the Svea Court of Appeal:

- a. Reconsiders and accepts my lawsuit against Ulf Kristersson.
- b. Issues an interim decision to lift the protected identity for my children and enable reunification.
- c. Ensures my right to a victim's counsel, which is crucial for an impartial legal process.
- d. Ensures my right to a hearing to clarify, specify, and present my case.

I request that Svea Court of Appeal, when reviewing this appeal, makes a thorough and strict assessment of all relevant factors in the case, including the serious violations of rights described in Appendix 26, and ensures that my children's rights are fully respected according to both national and international legal standards.

5. The Importance of Appendix 26

I would particularly like to remind Svea Court of Appeal about Appendix 26. In this appendix, I highlight serious legal and human rights violations regarding the deprivation of liberty of my children and their separation from me, without any legal decision or evidence being presented. The appendix is crucial for shedding light on the systematic shortcomings in the legal process against Muslims in Sweden and the state's failure to take measures designed specifically to affect the Muslim population.

6. The District Court's Failure to Act According to the Letter of the Law

It is also important to remind Svea Court of Appeal that the law must be applied strictly according to its wording, especially in matters concerning children's right to security and family life. In my case, the letter of the law was not followed when my children's deprivation of liberty was not examined in accordance with the rights clearly outlined in international conventions and Swedish law. I believe that the District Court thus failed to fulfill its obligations to apply the law correctly and in accordance with legal certainty.

By carefully examining the case, Svea Court of Appeal would not only protect the rights of myself and my hostages children, but also set an important legal precedent for future cases where justice, impartiality, and victim's counsel are at stake, particularly for vulnerable groups. This would ensure that the same legal principles are consistently applied in similar cases.

7. Delay of Justice

The District Court's refusal to issue an interim decision on the release and reunification of my children with me strengthens the impression that the Stockholm District Court is not acting in accordance with the international obligations that Sweden is bound by, especially regarding children's rights. It is the District Court's duty to immediately handle cases involving the deprivation of liberty of children, in accordance with The Hague Convention, the Convention on the Rights of the Child, and the other legal instruments that Sweden has ratified.

I remind Svea Court of Appeal that the eight years of hostage-taking WITHOUT any evidence for the state's allegations, the hostage-taking of my children, represents a serious failure of justice. Every delay also strengthens the perception that the legal system lacks the will to apply the law strictly and equally, without making subjective judgments based on political opinions, particularly when it comes to Muslims.

The decision of the Stockholm District Court has not considered me and my hostages children's rights under the law, which has hindered our ability to have a fair and impartial trial. I request that Svea Court of Appeal reconsider the Stockholm District Court's decision regarding the charges of violations of international law and ensure that my children's rights are protected without further delay. I look forward to a fair and impartial handling of my case by Svea Court of Appeal.

Seyed Isa Musavi

Stockholm, December 09, 2024

Injunction

“SVEA COURT OF APPEAL
Division 05

NOTICE
2024-12-10

Case No. Ö 16487-24
Reference in communications with the court
Panel 0501
File attachment

Seyed Isa Musavi

Parties: *Seyed Isa Musavi*

(Refused to register the name of Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, as a part in the case!⁴¹⁾)

The case concerns: *Dismissal, etc.*

The Court of Appeal has received your appeal of the Stockholm District Court's decision dated December 6, 2024. In your appeal, you state that you request the Svea Court of Appeal to "make an interim decision to revoke the protected identity of my abducted children (see Appendix 5) and enable reunification."

The District Court has not examined this issue in the appealed decision. Therefore, your request in this regard falls outside the scope of what the Court of Appeal will consider in this case. If you still believe that the Court of Appeal should examine your request, you must state your reasons for this.


*If applicable, your supplement must be submitted to the Court of Appeal **no later than December 27, 2024**. If no supplement is received, the Court of Appeal may proceed to consider your appeal in other respects.*

More information

General information is available on the Court of Appeal's website. Contact us if you have any questions.

Carl Wilhelm Wetterström Samuelson
Phone: 08-561 671 35"

⁴¹⁾ Explained on the next page, under the picture.



SVEA HOVRÄTT
Avdelning 05

FÖRELÄGGANDE
2024-12-10

Mål Ö 16487-24
Anges vid kontakt med domstolen
Rotel 0501
Aktbilaga

Seyed Isa Musavi

Parter: Seyed Isa Musavi
Målet gäller: avvisning m.m.

Hovrätten har mottagit ditt överklagande av Stockholms tingsrätts beslut av den 6 december 2024. I överklagandet har du angett att du yrkar att Svea hovrätt "fått ett interimistiskt beslut om att upphäva skyddad identitet för mina gisslantagna barn (se bilaga 5) och möjliggör återförening".

Tingsrätten har inte prövat den frågan i det överklagade beslutet. Ditt yrkande i denna del ligger därför utanför ramen av det som hovrätten kommer att pröva i detta mål. Om du ändå anser att hovrätten bör pröva ditt yrkande ska du ange skälen för detta.

Din komplettering ska i sådana fall inkommit till hovrätten **senast den 27 december 2024**. Om inte någon komplettering inkommit kan hovrätten komma att pröva ditt överklagande i övriga delar.

Mer information
Allmän information finns på hovrättens webbplats. Kontakta oss vid frågor.

Carl Wilhelm Wetterström Samuelson
Telefon 08-561 671 35

Dok. ID: 2147296

Sida 1 (av 1)

Besöksadress
Binger Järns Tor 10

Telefon
08-561 670 00
08-561 672 90

Öppettider
måndag-fredag
08:00–16:30

Postadress
Box 2290
103 17 Stockholm

E-post
svea.avd5@dom.se

Webbplats
www.svea.se

Om domstolens behandling av personuppgifter, se www.domstol.se/personuppgifter. Kontakta oss för information på annat sätt.

The court of appeal refused to register the name of Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, as a part in the case!

Was this done intentionally to avoid or complicate a legal process? Could it, in that case, constitute a false certificate of service under Chapter 15, Section 11 of the Penal Code, or is it simply a matter of negligence?

If the decision not to register the Prime Minister as a part in the case was intentional, could it indicate an intention to complicate legal proceedings?

190

Is it consistent with law and practice to hold a public office where important aspects of the service are ignored? Or does this point to Sweden not living up to the self-image it projects, by deliberately making small but significant changes that can have large consequences – if not now, then in the future?

Why should future generations not be informed that Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, has committed a violation of international law (Crimes against Humanity)? Why should they not know how Swedish courts have handled a private prosecution against the Ulf Kristersson, Prime Minister of Sweden?

By failing to document such events correctly, one risks creating a flawed historical account, which could affect both legal and societal processes in the future. Is this really what we want in what we proudly call a civilization?

A civilization should not be built on lies.

Response

Response to Directive, December 11, 2024

Case number⁴² Ö 16487 – 24

Parties

| | |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff | Seyed Isa Musavi (XXXXXXXX – XXXX) |
| Defendant | Ulf Hjalmar Ed Kristersson, Swedish Prime Minister |

I, Seyed Isa Musavi, clarify that the Svea Court of Appeal must also issue an interim decision to revoke the protected identity status of my abducted children and enable their safe return home after eight years of captivity.

I therefore request that the Svea Court of Appeal includes the matter of protected identity and the release of my abducted children in its review, ensuring that their rights are upheld under Swedish law and international commitments.

Background and Relevance

The issue of the protected identity status of my children as a political tool to silence my revelations about state legal abuses (see Appendix 5) is a central aspect of the state legal abuses I have presented in both the lawsuit and the appeal.

A private prosecution functions, in practice, as a preliminary investigation under Chapter 23, § 1 of the Swedish Penal Code and Chapter 20, § 1 of the Swedish Code of Judicial Procedure, where an investigation is required before prosecution is initiated.

The previous preliminary investigations conducted by the police, prosecutors, and social services, all related to the same matter, have documented the crimes and legal abuses that now form the basis of my private prosecution against Ulf Kristersson. These preliminary investigations thus constitute relevant and necessary evidence for initiating proceedings in this lawsuit.

The Stockholm District Court's unlawful decision to dismiss my application violates the principle of legal certainty and undermines Sweden's claim to impartiality. It is even more remarkable that the central issue of the release of my hostaged children has not even been examined!

⁴²) Case number at Stockholm District court are B 19040 – 24, now with Court of Appeal Ö 16487 – 24

Refusing to uphold the rule of law by failing to prosecute Ulf Kristersson, despite these already completed investigations, constitutes a serious disregard for both national and international law.

Legal Basis

1. National Laws

The Instrument of Government (Swedish Constitution)

Chapter 1, § 2 The public sector shall protect individual privacy and family life.

- **Violation** Failing to reunite my children with me due to my factual writings, letters to courts, and newspapers (see Appendix 5) violates this fundamental obligation.

Chapter 2, § 12 Every individual has the right to legal certainty, especially children in vulnerable situations.

- **Violation** Eight years of deprivation of liberty without legal basis or evidence is a direct breach of this right.

The Swedish Code of Judicial Procedure

Chapter 42, § 6 The court shall ensure a prompt and legally secure process.

- **Violation** The prolonged proceedings violate the requirement for promptness and legal security.

The Penal Code

Chapter 4, § 1a Depriving a child of their freedom and preventing contact with their guardian constitutes kidnapping.

- **Violation** The protected identity of my children and their separation WITHOUT legal grounds and WITHOUT evidence since 2016 constitutes a breach of this provision.

The Social Services Act (SoL)

Chapter 11, § 1a Investigations concerning children shall be conducted promptly and without unnecessary delay.

- **Violation** Eight years of delay without resolution violates the law requiring expedience.

2. International Laws and Conventions

The Convention on the Rights of the Child (Swedish law since 2018)

- Article 2:2 Children must not be punished for their parents' political opinions (see Appendix 5).
 Article 9:1 Children must not be separated from their parents against their will.
 Article 16 Protection against unlawful interference in private and family life.
 Article 37(d) Right to a prompt decision in the matter.

- **Violation** These articles are systematically violated through hostage-taking of my children due to my factual writings (see Appendix 5) and the baseless state legal abuse represented by their protected identity.

The European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR, Swedish law since 1995)

- Article 6:1 Right to a fair and public trial within a reasonable time.
 Article 8 Right to respect for private and family life.

- **Violation** The lack of judicial review and the prolonged separation violate these rights.

ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights)

- Article 9:3 All individuals deprived of their liberty have the right to be tried without unnecessary delay.
 Article 14:1 Right to a fair and public trial without undue delay.
 Article 19 Hostaged children result from the father's right to freedom of opinion and expression (see Appendix 5).
 Article 23:1 The family is the fundamental unit of society and must be protected by the state.
 Article 24:1 Children have the right to protection and support without discrimination.

- **Violation** The state has failed to act in accordance with these articles through the hostage-taking of my children.

The Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction (Swedish obligation since 1980)

- Article 11 Courts shall expeditiously handle applications for the return of unlawfully removed children.

- **Violation** Eight years of delay without action violates the requirement for promptness.

The UN Convention against Torture (CAT)

Article 2:1 The state shall take effective measures to prevent acts that violate children's rights.

- **Violation** The state has actively ordered the hostage-taking of my children due to my factual writings (see Appendix 5).

International Convention against the Taking of Hostages

Articles 1, 2, and 5

- **Violation** Prohibiting children from interacting with their father (the author) due to factual writings, letters to courts, and newspapers (see Appendix 5) is, beyond all reasonable doubt, hostage-taking of my children.

Protected Identity, Part of Political Misuse of Justice

The unlawful protected identity has, in this case, been used as a political tool (see Appendix 5) to prevent contact between me and my children. Refusing to examine this claim means that essential legal and human rights remain unfulfilled.

Beyond any reasonable doubt, all investigations by the police, prosecutors, and social services show that my children are being held hostage to force an end to my revelations about injustices against Muslims in Sweden, which constitutes a violation under, among others, Chapter 17, § 10 of the Swedish Penal Code and Chapter 23, § 12 of the Swedish Code of Judicial Procedure.

You are now aware of these laws and therefore have a duty to act against the torture inflicted on me and my hostaged children every day these injustices persist.

I remind the Svea Court of Appeal that I am not a trained lawyer and am not well-versed in legal terminology.

My writings should therefore not disadvantage the case, and I cannot be clearer than to, with the support of national and international laws, demand that the Svea Court of Appeal immediately release my hostaged children and ensure a safe return after eight years of captivity in Sweden, and hold the responsible Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, accountable under Chapter 12, § 9, second paragraph of the Swedish Instrument of Government.

Seyed Isa Musavi

Stockholm, December 11, 2024

PS: Since the request for the lawsuit, Ulf Kristersson, through his actions, has caused me to be unable to afford my basic needs for regular meals, which, according to Chapter 23, § 12 of the Swedish Code of Judicial Procedure, is a right. You are now aware of this and therefore have an obligation to act against the torture I am subjected to due to starvation caused by the private lawsuit against Ulf Kristersson, Sweden's Prime Minister.

According to Chapter 23, § 1 of the Penal Code and Chapter 20, § 1 of the Code of Judicial Procedure, an investigation (or preliminary investigation) is required before a charge can be brought. Furthermore, according to Chapter 20, § 6 of the Penal Code, the prosecutor is required to bring charges when there is suspicion of a crime, which means there is an obligation to initiate an investigation (preliminary investigation) in cases of crime.

Sitting duck and waiting, today
ninth of February 2025



Fourth Chapter

The time after the lawsuit

- An Author's Struggle against Authority

On Friday, November 22, 2024, the author made a bold statement on social media: on Monday;

“He intended to file charges against the Supreme Commander of the Swedish Armed Forces, Michael Claesson, for “*Attempted murder*”.”

When Monday, November 25, 2024, arrived, the troubles began. The author discovered that he was suddenly unable to log into his Hotmail account, even though everything else online was functioning normally. A curious coincidence? He secured evidence of the incident and informed the public, media, and authorities on what had happened. Shortly thereafter, his Twitter account was also blocked.

The clever twist in this situation lay in the connection between the inability to access the Hotmail account and the suspension of the Twitter account. Restoring Twitter required access to Hotmail, where the recovery code was sent—creating what appeared to be an insurmountable deadlock.

The author informed his followers that the only option now was to file the report in the old-fashioned way: by visiting a police station. But could this be a trap? The thought brought back memories of how an Iranian citizen had once been lured into a similar situation, as described in another context in sections⁴³.

Despite these concerns, the author refused to give up. He acted with thoughtfulness, not fear, and chose a different path. Instead, he went to the district court, where he attached the report against the Supreme Commander as an appendix (23) to an ongoing case – B 19040-24 – directed at Sweden’s Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson.

As head of state, the author believed the Prime Minister bore the ultimate responsibility, especially now, when attempted murder had occurred following the private prosecution brought against him.

⁴³) Planning and execution of the hostage – taking of an Iranian citizen.

<http://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/H-N-B15255-19.pdf>

Why announce his plans in advance, one might wonder? “*Without rain, no worm comes to the surface*”, is the author's reply – a reminder that certainty often requires action.

In this way, the author has documented that only his Hotmail account has been blocked, while other online functions continue to work normally. This serves as clear evidence of the state's interference with his electronic devices, granting the state the ability to control and monitor his online activities.

Such actions are not only a technical intrusion but also a direct threat to the civil rights guaranteed by Sweden's constitutional laws.

According to the Swedish Freedom of the Press Act (Chapter 1, Section 7 and Section 8) and the Fundamental Law on Freedom of Expression (Chapter 1, Section 10 and Section 11), all citizens have the right to freedom of information and protection against censorship.

These provisions also guarantee anonymity for those wishing to provide information for publication, without the risk of investigation or reprisals. This protection is especially important to ensure the safety of innocent whistleblowers who rely on the promise of anonymity when exposing wrongdoing or participating in public debate.

When the state illegally gains knowledge of their identity, it not only undermines their trust but also exposes them to covert reprisals, ranging from subtle sanctions to more severe actions.

By restricting the author's access to his accounts, and thus his ability to express himself freely, the state not only threatens these fundamental rights but also sends a dangerous message that anonymity and freedom of expression are no longer guaranteed – which in turn deters others from daring to exercise their civil rights.

Social engagement is not for those who fear death, the author reminds. But it also requires wisdom – a balance of courage and strategy, the keys to peaceful change and real revolution.

- Report on Attempted (Stämpling) murder

The report is available from the Speaker of the Swedish Parliament and the Commissioner of the Swedish National Police Chief, as it was submitted directly to the National Police Chief for either legal actions or to risk being suppressed.

Mail – Monday, November 25, 2024 – at 17:06

To the Speaker of the Swedish Parliament,

Since the police and the prosecution authority fail to respect their obligations regarding the duty to report and prosecute, I now turn to you and file a report against Sweden's Chief of Defense, Michael Claesson.

I urge you to honor the law by handling this report in accordance with what is required by the principle of legal certainty. I hope that your commitment to justice and legality extends to all, including a Muslim-Swedish author like myself.

(The report had been attached to the email as a PDF-file.)

PS: To the Stockholm District Court. This is Appendix 23, comprising two pages, in case number B 19040–24, concerning private prosecution against Ulf Kristersson, Prime Minister of Sweden.

Kind regards,

Seyed Isa Musavi
Stockholm, November 25, 2024

- **Supreme Commander of the Swedish Armed Forces**

Complainant

Seyed Isa Musavi (XXXXXXXXXX-XXXX)

I hereby report a serious state crime that constitutes a direct threat to my life and the lives of those close to me, as well as a threat to the lives of innocent bystanders.

Legal entity

Michael Claesson, Swedish Supreme Commander of the Armed Forces!



Michael Claesson
Swedish Supreme Commander of the Armed Forces 2024 –

Offense

The Swedish Penal Code Article 23, § 1
The Swedish Penal Code Article 3, § 5
Violation of ICCPR, Article 6
Violation of ICCPR, Article 7

Violation of the Constitution
Violation of the Constitution
the Expression
Violation of ICCPR, Article 19

Attempted (Stämpling) murder
Inflict bodily harm
Right to life
Torture, inhuman punishment

Freedom of the Press Act
Fundamental Law on Freedom of

Freedom of Opinion and Expression

Description of the Offense

On November 18, 2024, I sent a letter to embassies in Sweden, using documentation from case B15255–19 to demonstrate the Swedish regime's planning and execution of the hostage-taking of an Iranian citizen.

Later that evening, sabotage was discovered on the car I travel in on my way to Taekwondo practice. The sabotage was detected in time at the garage on Skarpnäcks Gårdsväg, where the association rents a parking space.

The incident is documented, and there are witnesses to the sabotage. The sabotage was intended to result in murder, but what is even worse is that such severe sabotage, designed to avoid detection, puts the lives of completely innocent people in mortal danger.

In addition to the laws that prohibit crimes in Sweden, the country is also a party to the UN International Convention on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).

Article 6 (ICCPR) emphasizes that no one shall be arbitrarily deprived of their life and establishes that murder is prohibited. The question is whether Sweden's Supreme Commander of the Armed Forces, Michael Claesson, is aware of the law and Sweden's international obligations.

Furthermore, employees within the Swedish Armed Forces, operating in the digital sphere, have severely restricted my constitutionally guaranteed rights to inform the public about the facts I can substantiate with certificates of authenticity. The evidence has been secured.

Article 19 (ICCPR) protects the right to freedom of expression. The actions of the Swedish Armed Forces, under the leadership of Michael Claesson as Supreme Commander, have chosen to violate both Swedish laws and Sweden's international commitments under the ICCPR.

These illegal state actions, which constitute crimes, pose a serious threat to the open and free society that Sweden claims to represent.


(



***Addenda:**

Following the revelation of a jewish terror-plan, to assassinate Swedish citizens, Members of Parliament in Sweden, and members of the European Council – with the intent of blaming Iran, as these individuals had taken a clear stance against the country

and Islam – which is detailed in the first part of this factual work, the author's third Twitter account (X) was permanently suspended. The author's fourth Twitter account (X) now looks like this!



This image illustrates how many times the author's fourth Twitter account (X) was suspended between November 15, 2024, and December 8, 2024. In just 23 days, the author was repeatedly prevented from accessing his account, being forced to verify his identity each time to regain access. These systematic actions, which continue to this day, orchestrated by the Swedish regime through a cyber army linked to the headquarters of the Swedish Armed Forces, represent a severe obstruction to exercising the right to inform the public, as permitted by Sweden's constitutional laws.

)

Time and place

- 1) Attempted murder, on November 18, at 05:00 PM.
- 2) Extreme, severe restriction of electronic public information to the public, both nationally and internationally, after October 17, 2024, following the application for a prosecution against Ulf Kristersson, Swedish Prime Minister, case number B 19040–24.

3) An act of daily torture

Article 7 (ICCPR) after eight years, this must come to an end. Either detain and murder me in custody, just as the Swedish regime did with Hussein Miftar in the police detention center in Malmö, Mars 2023, or uphold the law and apply it equally to everyone – including me as a Muslim–Swedish author.

Request

I request that the police immediately initiate a preliminary investigation to examine sabotage, attempted murder, for the revelation of Swedish regime hostage-taking of an Iranian citizen. This is a serious threat that endangers not only my life but also the lives of innocent bypassing citizens.

If the police and the prosecution authority intend to fulfill their obligation to prosecute, I can provide documents confirming that crime has been committed by Michael Claesson, as the Swedish Supreme Commander of the Armed Forces.

I request the right to a victim's counsel (målsägarbiträde).

Stockholm, November 25, 2024

Seyed Isa Musavi

(



***Addenda:**

It is remarkable that not even Sweden's National Police Chief has chosen to comply with the applicable laws, despite being obligated to register complaints, initiate preliminary investigations, enable the prosecutor to press charges, and present the case to a court for fair adjudication.

Are we not all equal before the law?

The question arises Why are these legal steps being avoided? Why is the law not applied as intended, enabling subsequent legal action against the author (myself) for defamation, false accusations, or dissemination of untruths?

What is truly behind these evasions?

)

Assault, bodily harm and Unlawful deprivation of liberty

Report of crimes related to the individual persecution against Swedish Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, directed to the National Police Chief with a request to either initiate an investigation or cover-up.

Mail- December 19, 2024, at.09:54

To the Commissioner of the Swedish Police, Petra Lundh,



Hi,

Attached is a report against an official, whose unlawful actions I believe have a direct connection to the individual persecution against Ulf Kristersson that I initiated on October 17, 2024.

These actions include bodily harm, unlawful deprivation of liberty, harassment, stalking, and molestation, all of which constitute a serious threat to legal certainty.

I would like to emphasize that the police's inaction in this matter may be interpreted as influencing the ongoing legal process against Swedish Prime Minister. Moreover, it creates an opportunity for continued unlawful actions, which I find particularly concerning.

With this letter, I pass the matter to you, in your role as Commissioner of the Swedish Police, to decide whether the police authority should initiate an independent and

thorough investigation or if this serious judiciary abuse of rights should be left without action – withholding information in a legal process.

I expect such a decision to be made with respect for the principles that uphold our legal system and its credibility.

I look forward to your response and hope for a legally sound handling of this serious abuse of rights.

Best regards,

Seyed Isa Musavi

- The formal complaints

Complainant

Seyed Isa Musavi (XXXXXXX – XXXX)

Address as above.

Accused official

Johannes Petermann, Social worker, Enskede-Årsta-Vantör

Offenses

Bodily harm, unlawful deprivation of liberty, harassment, violation of the Instrument of Government, and violation of the Convention against Torture.

Legal basis

- a) Violation of the Constitution
 - Instrument of Government Chapter 1, § 2, p. 2 Equal value and freedom of all people.
 - Instrument of Government Chapter 12, § 10 Constitution laws takes precedence over law.
- b) Violation of the Penal Code
 - Chapter 3, § 5 and Chapter 23, § 1 Bodily harm.
 - Chapter 4, § 2 Illegal deprivation of liberty.
 - Chapter 4, § 4b Stalking (Förföljelse).
 - Chapter 4, § 7 Harassment.
- c) Discrimination Act 2008:567.
- d) Violation of the Convention against Torture, political motive, Islam.

Description of the Offense

I hereby report Johannes Petermann, Social Worker – Enskede-Årsta-Vantör – for repeated and systematic harassment that I have been subjected to over the past two months. The harassment occurred after I initiated an individual persecution against Swedish Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson. These actions are a direct attempt to influence me and create discomfort and fear as a consequence of my lawsuit.

I have fulfilled my obligation by completing the municipality's form regarding the sought-after jobs. The information I have provided in the form should be considered as given under oath, meaning that I have provided accurate information in accordance with applicable regulations.

If the official in question, questions the accuracy of my information or suspects that a crime has been committed, it is his duty to either:

1. Initiate an investigation into his suspicions, or
2. Report the matter to the relevant authorities for further examination.

I will explain more about the circumstances during the questioning as the complainant. Evidence has been secured, and I invoke my right to a victim's counsel.

Request for Investigation

I request that the police authority investigate these actions and examine the connection to my legal persecution against Ulf Kristersson. I believe this is an attempt to influence an ongoing legal process, which makes it particularly serious.

The police's refusal to make a decision to initiate an investigation constitutes, in itself, an influence on the legal process against Ulf Kristersson, as it allows bodily harm, forced starvation, harassment, stalking (Förföljelse), and illegal deprivation of liberty to continue.

Stockholm, December 19, 2024

Seyed Isa Musavi

Undue influence on the legal process (Penal Code, Chapter 17, § 10)

- Johannes Petermann

A report against Johannes Petermann was filed on January 8, 2025, at 09:25, concerning undue influence on the legal process (Penal Code, Chapter 17, § 10). Like the previous one, this report has been met with the same inaction. Here, I only address the part of the message concerning the Swedish Police Chief, without delving into the details of the report itself.

Wednesday, January 8, 2025, at 09:25

To the Swedish Police Chief, Petra Lundh

I hereby attach a report regarding undue influence on the legal process (Penal Code, Chapter 17, § 10) concerning the same person I previously reported via email on December 19, 2024, at 09:54, sent to the Swedish Police Chief's office under the heading "Bodily Injury, Unlawful Detention".

I remain available for further questions or clarifications if needed.

Best regards,

Seyed Isa Musavi

!”Confidentiality”!

From: Heidi Thörnevall

Friday, 2025-01-10 at 15:24

Social Secretary

Economic Assistance – Housing and Preparedness Group

Enskede-Årsta-Vantör District Administration

Social Welfare for Adults

Slakhusplan 8a

Box 81, 12122 Johanneshov

Phone: +46 08-508 14 443

Email: heidi.thornevall@stockholm.se

Hi Heidi,

Friday, 2025-01-10 at 15:41

Thank you for your message.

I would like to draw your attention to the fact that Johannes Petermann has been reported for criminal offenses and is vindictive, thus biased in case concerning me.

I also want to clarify that the debt to the landlord amounts to 700 SEK, which is a consequence of Johannes Petermann's previous unlawful decision. Any possible termination by the landlord should be resolved in the district court.

Currently, I am also urgently seeking political asylum at various embassies due to the persecution I face as a Swedish-Muslim author, a reprisal for the private lawsuit I filed against Ulf Kristersson through Johannes Petermann and the district council.

I request that you propose an alternative contact person or a solution to handle this matter in a lawful and objective manner.

Best regards

Seyed Isa Musavi

Hi ...,

Wednesday, 2025-01-15 at 11:56

Happy New Year.

No, not at all.

Let me quote from my political asylum application “WITHOUT leaving Sweden” that I have sent to governments around the world:

“In October, I filed a lawsuit against Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, for violations of international law.

This has resulted in a coordinated campaign of harassment by Swedish authorities, spearheaded by civil servant Johannes Petermann. His unlawful actions include decisions that forced me into starvation, effectively placing me under house arrest without a court order, blocking my ability to work, and imposing financial hardships that will soon leave me homeless.”

Due to the Swedish regime's reprisals for my private prosecution against Ulf Kristersson, I simply cannot go anywhere in this cold without proper clothes or shoes suitable for the weather. I am under house arrest WITHOUT being legally convicted. Even my access to the internet is restricted.

You can request the entire political asylum application from the Speaker of the Parliament (as per the Principle of Public Access) so that no one can later falsely accuse me of espionage or other fabricated allegations.

I continue searching for jobs as usual and do my best to attend interviews, even though I have no idea how to get there!

We'll meet when the weather allows. We'll stay in touch by phone.

Wishing you a pleasant continuation of the year.

Kind regards

Seyed Isa Musavi

Prohibition on teaching Taekwondo⁴⁴

Mail to the Speaker of the Swedish Parliament, Monday, January 20, 2025 at 13:32

Offense against Constitution

- Instrument of Government, Chapter 2, Article 1, Point 5

Today, the Swedish regime has successfully shut down the activities of Haninge Cardio Taekwondo Club, which has been operating in Haninge municipality since 2002.

The car I was traveling in was sabotaged by the armed forces (reported to the police and documented in December 2024), rendering it unusable. As the Speaker already knows, I am in a financial situation where I cannot afford basic necessities like food and rent. It is therefore natural that I am also unable to use public transportation. This, in itself, constitutes a violation of the Instrument of Government, Chapter 1, Article 2, point 2.

Despite this, the private prosecution against Ulf Kristersson, for crimes against international law, will proceed, all the way to the European Court of Human Rights.

Hello Madelene, thank you for the brief but constructive collaboration we have had. I would also like to take this opportunity to thank Peter for all these years where I may have been a burden, but where he patiently explained and helped. Many thanks!

Best regards,

Seyed Isa Musavi
Stockholm, January 20, 2025

This is the life of a Swedish – Muslim author in Sweden.

This is how a Swedish – Muslim author is treated, while Swedes point fingers at everyone else!

⁴⁴) Please study pages 250–251

<http://kimyana.se/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/Staten-En.pdf>

Systematic Abuses and Reprisals against Legal Proceedings

Friday, 2025-01-24 at 14:54

To the Speaker of the Swedish Parliament

Ulf Kristersson, the Prime Minister of Sweden, is currently facing a private prosecution for crimes against international law. Despite this, he has dispatched a representative from the Armed Forces, a personal envoy, to intervene in my case at the Enskede-Årsta-Vantör District Council.

This is the same district council that, nine years ago, kidnapped and held my children hostage – a heinous act that not only violated Swedish law but also breached fundamental human rights. And now, once again, this very council has turned into an extended arm of persecution and reprisals, this time by inflicting bodily harm and unlawful detention.

I will continue to report every individual involved, all the way up to the Speaker of the Parliament, to ensure that these crimes are documented and that Sweden can no longer hide behind a facade of justice. This time, I am taking it a step further by registering all relevant documents directly with the Speaker, to inform both the Swedish people and the international community of the reprisals targeting the person behind the private prosecution of Ulf Kristersson.

The attached documents include:

- Payment details from the Swedish Social Insurance Agency (Försäkringskassan)
- Statements from my SEB bank accounts (8174 and 7787)
- Documentation of jobs applied for
- A formal report against Ingela Edlund, Chair of the Social Delegation in Enskede-Årsta-Vantör
- Archived SMS messages demonstrating how the district council attempts to conceal its correspondence by avoiding official channels such as letters or emails, instead opting to send SMS messages in the hope they disappear when the number is no longer active.

The National Police Commissioner has chosen to suppress my previous report against the Armed Forces' envoy, but this will not stop me from informing the public. By their deliberate actions, the National Police Commissioner has allowed torture and bodily harm to persist in direct violation of Swedish law and international conventions.

I urge the Speaker of the Parliament to no longer turn a blind eye to these grave offenses and to take action to ensure justice is served. The international community will be informed of these violations, and copies of this letter will therefore be sent to ambassadors to raise awareness of Sweden's ongoing human rights abuses.

Kindly

Seyed Isa Musavi

(

 **Addenda**

The district director responsible for Enskede-Årsta-Vantör, Anders Carstorp, who should ensure that public officials adhere to the law and report crimes under Lex Sarah, himself are a part of a machinery of fraud, oppression, and economic terror. These actions are a direct reprisals for the private lawsuit against Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson.

Despite Carstorp being reported for criminal offenses, it has been to no avail – the police have not even filed a proper report!



Anders Carstorp
The district director of Enskede-Årsta-Vantör

SMS messages in the hope of disappearing when the number is no longer active.

Hej Isa,
Din ansökan om ekonomiskt bistånd för december är mottagen. För att ditt behov ska kunna utredas vidare behöver du senast 2024-11-25 lämna in följande kompletterande handlingar:

FRÅN SEB
- Kontoutdrag från båda dina konton från och med 2024-10-24 och fram till den dag du lämnar in handlingarna

FRÅN ARBETSFÖRMEDLINGEN
- Kopia på din aktivitetsrapport för oktober

Hälsningar,
Johannes Petermann
socialsekreterare
telefonnummer: 08-508 144 36
e-post: johannes.petermann@stockholm.se

November 2024

Hej Isa,
Din ansökan om ekonomiskt bistånd för januari är mottagen. För att ditt behov ska kunna utredas vidare behöver du senast 2024-12-20 lämna in följande kompletterande handlingar:

FRÅN SEB
- Kontoutdrag från och med 2024-11-15 från dina två konton

FRÅN ARBETSFÖRMEDLINGEN
- Kopia på din aktivitetsrapport för november

Hälsningar,
Johannes Petermann
socialsekreterare
telefonnummer: 08-508 144 36
e-post: johannes.petermann@stockholm.se

December 2024

Hej Isa,
Din ansökan om ekonomiskt bistånd för februari är mottagen. För att ditt behov ska kunna utredas vidare behöver du senast 2025-01-27 lämna in följande kompletterande handlingar:

FRÅN SEB
- Kontoutdrag från och med 2024-11-15 från dina två konton

FRÅN ARBETSFÖRMEDLINGEN
- Kopia på aktivitetsrapporter för november och december

Hälsningar,
Johannes Petermann
socialsekreterare
telefonnummer: 08-508 144 36
e-post: johannes.petermann@stockholm.se

January 2025

)

Report

Victim

Seyed Isa Musavi (XXXXXXXXX – XXXX)

Address as above

Designated Official

Ingela Edlund, Chairperson of the Social Delegation, Enskede-Årsta-Vantör

Crimes Reported

I hereby report Ingela Edlund, Chairperson of the Social Delegation in Enskede-Årsta-Vantör, for complicity in:

- Bodily harm Swedish Penal Code, Chapter 3, § 5
- Unlawful deprivation of liberty Swedish Penal Code, Chapter 4, § 2
- Persecution Swedish Penal Code, Chapter 4, § 4b
- Improper influence on judicial proceedings Swedish Penal Code, Chapter 17, § 10



Ingela Edlund
Chairperson of the Social Delegation, Enskede-Årsta-Vantör

Description of the Offense

Ingela Edlund, as Chairperson of the Social Delegation in Enskede-Årsta-Vantör, holds political responsibility for the delegation's work and decisions. Despite repeated reports and notifications regarding serious offenses such as bodily harm, unlawful

deprivation of liberty, and persecution, she has failed to take the necessary measures to stop these violations.

By refusing to make decisions that would put an end to these crimes, she indirectly contributes to their continuation. This makes her complicit in the ongoing violations and reinforces of systematic interference in the judicial process, which is particularly serious in a state governed by the rule of law.

Her failure violates fundamental principles of accountability and legal certainty under Swedish law and international conventions. Furthermore, her actions may constitute a violation of my human rights under the provisions of the UN and the European Convention on Human Rights.

- ICCPR Article 19 protects the right to freedom of expression.
- UN Human Rights Article 9 prohibits arbitrary detention
Article 19 guarantees freedom of expression.
- ECHR Article 10 guarantees the right to express opinions and receive information without interference by public authorities.

As an author and opinion leader, I have been subjected to political persecution aimed not only at harming me personally but also at silencing my disclosures and criticism of Swedish authorities' handling of Muslim children and legal certainty.

Request

I request that

2. The Swedish Police Authority immediately initiate an investigation against Ingela Edlund for the aforementioned crimes and her role in undermining my legal certainty.
3. My right to justice and a fair legal process is ensured, and that I be granted access to a victim's counsel to strengthen my legal protection.

I am available for further questions and for investigating the international aspects of this report. I can also provide additional evidence and documentation if necessary.

Stockholm, January 24, 2025


Seyed Isa Musavi

The readers should take note of the stamp at the reception desk confirming that the activity report has been received and compare it to the SMS about the requested supplements that the district administration avoids registering.

It now becomes clearer for the readers why the author need to send all documents together with a background explanation to the Speaker of the Swedish Parliament.

Utskrift från internetbanken: Konton och kort

The decision, made by a person suspected of crimes, is something readers can review themselves – a decision whose sole purpose are to be to obstruct and undermine the lawsuit against Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson.

 **Stockholms stad** **Beslut Ekonomiskt Bistånd** Sida 1 av 1 Utskriftsdatum: 2025-01-27

Beslutet gäller: [REDACTED] **Musavi, Seyed Isa**
 Sockenvägen 366 Lgh 1202
 122 63 Enske

| | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Avser period: 2502 | Tillhandadatum: 2025-01-27 | Ansökan Inkom: 2025-01-15 |
| Belopp: <Inget värde> | Fakturanr: | Återkrav: Nej |
| Betalningsmottagare: <Inget värde> | | Återsök: Nej |
| | | Lag/§: Sol. 4 kap 1 § |
| Signerad av beslutsfattare: Johannes Petermann | | Beslutsdatum: 2025-01-27 |

Beslutsformulering: Ansökt ekonomiskt bistånd för januari avslås i sin helhet, då begärda kompletterande handlingar i form av aktuella kontoutdrag samt aktivitetsrapport för november och december inte har redovisats och behov av bistånd därmed inte kan utredas. Ny prövning kan ske i ärendet om fullständigt underlag redovisas under aktuell period.

Sammanfattning av beslutsunderlag:

Enske-Årsta-Vantör
 Besöksadress: Slakthusplan 8A
 121 62 Johanneshov
 Telefon: 08 - 508 14 000

In a world ruled by persecution and injustices, where the innocent bear the burden, it is made clear and undeniable by the documents: the author is the one who endures all the reprisals for what others have caused.


This is an undeniable example of political persecution against a Swedish-Muslim author. Behind a false facade of legality and moral righteousness, Swedes strive to appear honest and respectable. However, when the documents are examined in daylight, the polished mask falls – revealing a system that deliberately manipulates the truth to silence a voice that refuses to yield to injustice.

The Author is Blamed when jews Murder

On January 27, 2025, the exact same day the district committee decided to deny the right to financial assistance, the author received a call that sent shockwaves through his already strenuous situation. A housing advisor from the district committee of Enskede-Årsta-Vantör called to verify debts with the housing companies – recorded call that is proof of the deliberately and ongoing harassment.

A week later, a message was sent, as seen below, which testifies to further pressures. But the question is:

What advice can someone who is subjected to such state persecution receive?



A person whose right to work has been taken away by the state, and whose car is sabotaged with no regard for innocent lives on the road. A person who is forbidden to teach Taekwondo, where new members are systematically and physically blocked from joining the association – individuals who could contribute to development. A person whose life is marked by state reprisals, all of which are documented and traceable through the relevant authorities.

What advice, then, can be given to someone in this situation?

Is there any advice other than to say:

“Isa, you must stop writing, stop revealing the truth, stop engaging in the assurance for Muslim children's right to a secure future in Sweden. Do it for your own good!”

Lift the confidentiality of the case



Gunnar Strömmer
Swedish Minister of Justice 2022 –

Mail- Monday, February 3, 2025 at 08:46

To the Minister of Justice, Gunnar Strömmer

I am writing to formally request that you issue a clear and binding order to the judicial system, including relevant security services within the police and the Armed Forces, to refrain from classifying my case as confidential.

I seek complete transparency in all matters concerning me, so that I, along with journalists and citizens, may have free access to information about what is taking place around me.

This is particularly important in light of the tragic murder of Salwan Momika, undoubtedly a Jewish terror operation but is being attributed to Muslims. Such transparency is essential to prevent false accusations or lies used to demonize Islam or to advance political agendas based on false premises.

I trust that your commitment to legal security and democratic principles will lead you to take this request with the utmost seriousness.

Sincerely

Seyed Isa Musavi

Suddenly, it happens!

On February 3, 2025, driven by an insight – perhaps a revelation – that could no longer be ignored, the author sat down at his computer and wrote an email directly to the Minister of Justice. His request was clear:

“Remove the secrecy that has been imposed on me without any legal basis – a secrecy that has not only excluded me but has also prevented journalists and the public from understanding what is happening in a matter of great societal importance, that the author reveals.”

It was a call for transparency and justice. The unlawful secrecy had become a weapon in the hands of the state – means to deprive citizens of their right to understand and act on issues that directly concern them.

Despite the author central role and the questions surrounding his writings and statements, the author and the public were treated as passive spectators, without access or explanations. The author wanted to put an end to this.

Suddenly, it happens! The next morning, Tuesday, February 4, 2025, Campus Risbergska in Örebro was shaken by a terrorist attack that left eleven Muslims dead. Among the victims were seven (7) women and four (4) men, all of foreign origins.

The perpetrator, the terrorist, Rickard Andersson, also known as Jonas Rickard Simón, had a history filled with failures and loneliness. He was a recluse with social difficulties. A man without a stable income, without a job or connections to state institutions, living a double life. His family last saw him on his 35th birthday. After that, he lived in isolation, without friends, without love, and without children.

Despite his social difficulties, he managed to obtain a firearms license! – Not just for one weapon but for four. Three of these were found next to his body after the attack.

Questions abound: How did he finance his gun and the licenses? Where did he practice shooting, and who paid for it? How did he afford rent, food, and travel without any income? And perhaps the most pressing question of all – why did he direct his deadly rage specifically at Muslims, and why were two-thirds of the victims women?

By the way; wasn't he antisocial as described? How could a man described as antisocial manage to cooperate and interact with others during shooting practice? What made him accepted in such a social environment despite his alleged difficulties in socializing with people? Did he train shooting with the Örebro police, who took good care of him?

Despite all, the Örebro police had been vengeful since before.

The questions are many, but the Swedish authorities' reluctance to call the incident what it truly was – a terrorist attack – is the most remarkable aspect. Despite all the circumstances, the Swedish state and the right-wing Swedish society have shown a strange, if not hostile, unwillingness to acknowledge the attack for what it is: “*a terrorist act.*” An act where all the victims were Muslims.

Denial and betrayal; the terrorist attack in Sweden was not an isolated incident. It recalls the systematic persecution and killing of Muslim women and children in Palestine, which, despite this, is met with statements by Sweden's Prime Minister, Ulf Kristersson, claiming that “*jews have the right to commit genocide*”⁴⁵.

Evidently, the same mindset and actions of the Swedish elite towards Muslims in Sweden.

What becomes increasingly remarkable is that the Swedish far-right extremist, homosexual pedophile Rasmus Paludan conducted one of his Quran-burning rituals in Örebro in 2022. The event led Muslims to take a stand in defense of Sweden's constitution and religious freedom, which in turn resulted in widespread unrest where several police cars were set on fire.

Following these incidents, around twenty people were sentenced to lengthy prison terms. Yet, despite this, it seemed that the Swedes' thirst for Muslim blood had not been quenched. Three years later, a terrorist attack targeted Muslims in the same city, where a Swede murdered eleven Muslims. Despite this, Swedes refuse to acknowledge the killing of innocent Muslim women and men as a targeted terrorist act against Muslims.

But the most significant question, the one that shakes the very foundation of Sweden's legal system's credibility, is this:

“*Wasn't this precisely the terrorist act the author had warned Andreas Norlén*”⁴⁶, *Speaker of the Swedish Parliament, about on October 3, 2024, at 09:54?*”

The author's emails, both then and now, became symbolic acts, but the author himself continues to endure inhumane and cruel state persecution over information that could have prevented the terrorist attack in Örebro.

⁴⁵) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hMg4QIMpY3w>

⁴⁶) Review pages 21 – 30 and how the author were punished för revealing the jewish terror-plan in Sweden.

The emails to the Speaker of Parliament and the Minister of Justice symbolize a struggle for truth and justice. Despite this, the author continues to face inhumane state persecution – the same persecution that could have prevented the killing of Muslims in Örebro, if transparency and accountability had prevailed.

The question lingers: Would anyone listen? Will those in power dare to admit their war against Islam, their targeted killing of Muslims, or will they continue to respond to rightful demands with the same reprisals, persecution, and deafening silence as before?

Now, a responsibility rests on all of us. The call to the public is clear:

Write! Spread the truth! Illuminate the ongoing destruction of our society in Sweden, a betrayal against future generations. Corrupt politicians, officials, and journalists whose livelihoods depend on jewish approval rather than the will of the people. jews must not be allowed to dictate Sweden's future in secrecy.

The fight goes on

A lone father against the entire establishment

We talk about a nation that awards Nobel Prizes to dissidents from other countries, where images and interviews with these dissidents, captured and persecuted for their opinions, are shown repeatedly on Swedish TV and in the media.

But here, in Sweden, a Swedish-Muslim author stands alone in the fight for justice. After 200 pages of legal proceedings that can be validated by documents, the world watches as the same Nobel Prize institution that celebrates courage and freedom elsewhere chooses to turn a blind eye to the legal violations taking place in their own backyard.

What does this say about our world's conscience? What does it mean for our collective ethics and morals when justice is denied to those who do not belong to the circle of institutional power, when hatred and persecution of Muslims are institutionally entrenched and spread throughout Swedish authorities and the judicial system?

When the Nobel Prize institution, once synonymous with hope and human rights for others in other nations, betrays the fundamental principle of justice for Muslims in Sweden.

This is not just a betrayal of an individual; it is a betrayal of humanity's pursuit of true justice, equality, and morality. And when these foundations crumble, what is left of our shared dignity?

How is the situation in your country? Does the state also take your children hostage, as they do in Sweden, merely for writing factual literature? Are you subjected to famine, have your freedom of movement restricted without legal review, and now is your car sabotaged, endangering innocent bypassing innocent lives, all to maintain a facade?

Yes, such is Sweden when no one sees, "*a nation of Charlatans*", where the Nobel institution stands for lies, deceit and illusion.

Fifth Chapter

Evolutionarily Facts

The author asked a question earlier:

Why are Swedes so focused on undermining the nuclear family?

Now he asks a new question:

How is the nuclear family undermined without drawing attention?

It's about women:

1. They are considered incapable of logical thinking.
2. Evolutionarily genetically mutated mythomaniac.
3. Constantly striving for validation.

For over a century, Swedes have sought to understand and shape human nature – not the divine – through racial biology, using the scalps of the Sámi as the foundation for this dark science. An international failure that not only inspired Hitler's genocide of millions of Jews but also exposed Sweden's role in this inhumane ideology and, not least, the extermination of Jews.

Here in Sweden, theories were turned into reality in a different way, with a brutality devoid of all compassion. Under the pretext of scientific progress, citizens and individuals were subjected to physical surgical procedures and mental medical experiments, efforts that continued into modern times.

Over the past hundred years, Swedes have attempted to “refine” humanity – a humanity which, according to Darwin's theory, has already evolved over millions of years.

Ironically, this ambition continues today, exemplified by the 2022 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine awarded to a Swedish researcher from Uppsala for studies on the Hobiter⁴⁷.

A prize that symbolizes how the work of dehumanizing humanity persists, while simultaneously raising questions about the ethics and lessons that underpin these scientific achievements.

⁴⁷) The Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine 2022 was awarded to Svante Pääbo for his discoveries concerning the genomes of extinct hominins and human evolution.

- What is the purpose of this?
- Why does the Swedish elite wish to dehumanize humans and treat them as a subspecies of animals?
- Is this why the Swedish elite, including Ulf Kristersson, support Jews committing genocide – murdering 50,000 Palestinian children, pregnant women, and civilian men?
- Is this why the Swedish elite burn the Quran?
- Because they believe Islam hinders the development of racial biology, which aims to "refine" humanity, this subspecies of animals?

It is difficult to understand why the Swedish elite consider humanity, as part of a greater whole, to no longer have any value unless it conforms to ideological or biological norms chosen by the elite.

If religion, which for many serves as a cornerstone of their worldview, ethics and moral, is regarded as a threat or obstacle to such development, it raises profound questions.

Swedish elite perceives religion as a barrier to what they consider a “refinement” of humanity through racial biology, thereby treat others as a subhuman species to realize this vision.

That conviction among the elite drives actions like burning the Quran – an expression of deeply ingrained contempt for Islam, which does not fit into their worldview of shaping humanity through surgical interventions and engineered advancements? Hormonal manipulation via medication.

In what religion is such a mindset found?
“Hannibal”⁴⁹⁾ as a strategy.

What is judaism⁴⁸⁾, those who have

The Swedes’ ongoing ambition to manipulate humanity's development, rooted in the racial biology that once defined their history, now manifests in subtler yet equally brutal forms.

These methods, refined through scientific and technological progress, reflect an unparalleled disregard for nature’s gradual, organic processes.

⁴⁸⁾ Although the scholarly literature does not directly address this topic, it is strongly recommended that you conduct studies to understand the current claims.

⁴⁹⁾ The jewish Hannibal-doctrine means that the hostage should be killed intentionally.

What evolution has taken tens of thousands of years to accomplish, Swedes seek to hasten through artificial and manipulative interventions, disregarding both the complexity of humanity and the unpredictable consequences of disrupting nature's balance.

Part of this “*scientific ambition*” is a deeply ingrained gender perspective, where women are portrayed as less capable of logical reasoning.

Women's evolutionary role did not require the same level of analytical thinking as men's.

Women did not need to develop the instinctive abilities of a hunter – to gauge distance, calculate the likelihood of success in a hunt, or weigh risks against rewards. Instead, their evolutionary contribution is reduced to a biological role serving a limited purpose in reproduction, unless combined with their evolutionarily ingrained tendency for myth-making.

This perspective on women underscores how deeply entrenched the notion of human refinement remains within Swedish society.

The Swedish elite's way of thinking rests on the notion that women's physical inferiority, compared to men, has led to an evolutionary adaptation where they instead rely on cunning and manipulation rather than logic and rational thinking.

This idea suggests that women historically have not needed to develop the same analytical abilities as men, as their role in society and evolution has been primarily linked to reproduction and caregiving, rather than hunting or physical combat.

Now, the Swedish elite aims to “*refine*” women's weakness in logic and their strength in manipulation and mythomania by strategically placing women in prominent positions within the state and authorities. This is not necessarily done to strengthen their actual influence, but rather to create an illusion of power and participation.

By playing on women's need for validation and flattery, it is made to appear as if these women are making crucial and independent decisions. In reality, they are used more as tools to push an agenda that undermines traditional values, including the nuclear family.

An example is Prime Minister Ulf Kristersson's passionate speech about combating integrity (January 2025), a subject that Minister for Gender Equality Paulina Brandberg has been tasked with “de-integrating” society. At the same time, the

Swedish regime continues to support abortion under the slogan “a woman's body, a woman's decision”!



Paradoxically, if a woman has the right to her own body, why does this only apply to abortion? Here, the woman gets to decide, but the same right disappears when it comes to wearing a veil – even though freedom of religion is a right enshrined in the constitution.

It is ironic that Paulina Brandberg, a representative of a party that calls itself “liberal” and previously worked as a prosecutor with a solid understanding of Sweden's constitutional laws, despite her knowledge, acts in a way that goes against the law's protection of rights.

This inconsistency exposes a hidden agenda that the elite wants to push through women, in this case, former prosecutor Paulina Brandberg. This is how the male elite views the refined form of racial biology – shaping society according to their own interests, not for the benefit of society or future generations.

The real goal of the elite, Ulf Kristersson, Sweden's Prime Minister, is the war against Islam, which they see as an obstacle to the societal transformation to the next level. Through women who believe they are the ones making the real decisions, without realizing that Islam is perceived as an obstacle to the refinement of humanity.

Denna strategi försvagar samhällets grundpelare, eftersom kärnfamiljen historiskt sett har varit en central del av både den sociala strukturen och den emotionella tryggheten. När familjebanden bryts ner, uppstår en isolering som gör individer mer beroende av

staten och dess institutioner. I detta sammanhang framstår eliten som den verkliga makthavaren, medan kvinnornas upphöjda positioner används för att maskera en djupare samhällsomvandling som i slutändan inte gynnar någon – allra minst kvinnorna själva.

The elite's strategy risks not only weakening the nuclear family but also deceiving women into a role that undermines their own purpose and humanity's future. Women, who through their unique ability for reproduction and their natural loving nature were created to prolong humanity's existence and create a safe society for future generations, are now being led to disregard these fundamental values.

Instead of encouraging their crucial role in raising the children of the future and shaping a society built on compassion and security, women are being used as tools in a larger agenda aimed at breaking down these foundational pillars.

By giving the illusion of power and independence in the higher echelons of society, they are subtly guided towards goals that not only harm themselves but also humanity's future.

When the nuclear family is undermined and women lose their grounding in their natural roles as caregivers and nurturers, a vacuum is created that affects not only the structure of society but also the well-being of future generations.

This, in turn, leads to a deeper isolation, making the individual more dependent on the elite's control and diminishing the genuine human community that has always been the backbone of society.

So, do you now understand why the Swedish elite wants to reshape humanity, which they see as a subspecies among animals, through women whom they consider lacking logical thinking, being evolutionarily false, and in constant need of validation and flattery?

The people themselves have to finance the elite's abuses, where women's alleged lack of logic, amplified by their mythology, is used as both an excuse and a tool.


It is all about control, where the ends justify the means.

Child-kidnappers (Muslim Children)

- Swedish Prime Minister Stefan Löfven 2014 – 2021

The racial fanatic Nazi

The leader and decision-maker is always a man, and we begin with Stefan Löfven, who, after completing his duties, was awarded the French Medal of Honor, a person whose parents are:



The Rest Are Women!

Incapable of Logical Thinking, evolutionary mythomaniac

All these politicians, officials, and managers (women), who are evolutionarily incapable of logical thinking and suffer from pathological lying, have throughout their entire careers abducted Muslim children and personally played a direct role in holding the children of a Swedish-Muslim author hostage.

All these women used their full capacity to ensure that the equality before the law was not applied to Muslims in Sweden, due to the war Swedes have waged against Islam in the country for three decades.

- **Isabella Lövin**



Isabella Lövin (Green Party)
Deputy Prime Minister 2016–2021
Spokesperson for the Green Party (Party Leader) 2016–2021
Member of the European Parliament 2024–

- **Åsa Regner**



Åsa Regner (Social Democrats)
Minister for Children, the Elderly, and Gender Equality 2014–2018
UN Assistant Secretary-General 2018–
UN Deputy Executive Director for UN Women

- **Lena Hallengren**



Lena Hallengren (Social Democrats)
Member of Parliament 2022–
Minister for Health and Social Affairs 2019–2022
Minister for Children, the Elderly, and Gender Equality 2018–2019

- **Alice Bah Kuhnke**



Alice Bah Kuhnke (Green Party)
Member of the European Parliament 2019–
Minister for Culture and Democracy with responsibility for Human Rights 2014–2019

- **Amanda Lind**



Amanda Lind (Green Party)
Party Leader 2024–
Minister for Culture and Democracy with responsibility for Human Rights 2019–2021

- **Åsa Lindhagen**



Åsa Lindhagen (Green Party)
Minister for Financial Markets 2021
Minister for Gender Equality 2019–2021

With her, the responsibility for Human Rights was removed from the Ministry of Culture, and a new one–person institute was established precisely due to the author’s loud international protests.

- **Anna Ekström**



Anna Ekström (Social Democrats)
Minister for Education 2019–2022

Minister for Upper Secondary Education, Youth Affairs, and the Knowledge Boost Initiative 2016–2019

- **Margot Wallström**



Margot Wallström (Social Democrats)
Minister for Foreign Affairs 2014–2019
UN Special Representative on Sexual Violence in Conflict 2010–2012
European Commissioner 2004–2010
European Commissioner for the Environment 1999–2004
Minister for Social Affairs 1996–1998
Minister for Culture 1994–1996
Deputy Minister for Civil Affairs 1988–1991

- **Karin Wängård**



Karin Wängård (Social Democrats)
Chair of Stockholm City Council 2014–2018 and 2022–

- **Elisabeth Dahlin**



Elisabeth Dahlin
Children's Ombudsman 2018–2024
Secretary General of Save the Children Sweden 2008–2018

Awarded “His Majesty the King's Medal 2017” for long and faithful service!

- **Elisabeth Rynning**



Elisabeth Rynning
Chair of the Institute for Human Rights 2022–
Chief Parliamentary Ombudsman 2016–2021
Justice of the Supreme Administrative Court 2012–2016

These charlatans, incapable of logical thinking, instead lie like hell...

Awarded "His Majesty the King's Medal 2022" for long and faithful mythomania!

- **Marie Heidenborg**



Marie Charlotte Heidenborg
President of the Svea Court of Appeal 2024–
Swedish Chancellor of Justice since 2018
Justice of the Supreme Court 2016–2018
Chief Judge, Solna District Court 2011–2016

Awarded “His Majesty the King's Medal 2022” for long and faithful mythomania!

- **Ebba Sverne**



Ebba Sverne Arvill
Head of the Special Investigations Division (SU) at the Swedish Police Authority
Principal of the Police Academy in Sörentorp 2007–2012

- **Petra Lundh**



Lena Petra Lundh (formerly Svensson)
Chief Judge of the Södertörn District Court 2012 – 2018
Prosecutor General 2018 – 2023
President of the Svea Court of Appeal September 1, 2023 – November 30, 2023
National Police Chief December 1, 2023 –

- **Cecilia Klerbro**



Cecilia Klerbro
Chief Judge, Nacka District Court

- **Gudrun Antemar**



Gudrun Birgitta Elvira Antemar
Chief Judge, Stockholm District Court 2017–2024

- **Lena Egelin**



Lena Egelin
Chief Judge, Solna District Court

- **Magdalena Hägg Bergvall**



Magdalena Hägg
Stockholm District Court
Senior Judge, Head of Division 4

Under her leadership, Muslims are subjected to violations of the principles of legal security – the most fundamental legal rights in any civilization.

Under Magdalena Hägg's leadership at the Stockholm District Court, Muslim countries are condemned without any legal basis, just as Swedish Muslims are prejudged as guilty without any legal grounds.

- **Anne Ramberg**



Anne-Christine Ramberg
Secretary General of the Swedish Bar Association 2000–2019

- **Maria (Mia) Joleby**



Mia, the lawyer who lied during hearings at Södertörn District Court in December 2017. The lie was exposed during the proceedings but ignored by Chief Judge Mari-Louise Ollén, as women cannot think logically according to Swedish racial biology.



Child kidnappers for the hatred and war against Islam.

- **Magdalena Andersson** – Prime Minister 2021 – 2022

Jew–Epstein⁵⁰ girl?



Magdalena Andersson (Social Democrats)
Prime Minister of Sweden 2021–2022
Party Leader since 2021
Minister for Finance 2014–2021
Member of Parliament 2014–2026
Chair of the IMFC, 2020



DAGENS ETC. Jeffrey Epstein, den amerikanske miljardären och pedofilen, donerade stora belopp till Handelshögskolan i Stockholms kvinnostipendium. När han dömdes för sexbrott mot

The Stockholm School of Economics, with international prestige, provided Epstein – a Jewish spy/pimp – with young Swedish women. These women acted as sex slaves and were later placed in prominent international positions. The Stockholm School of Economics played the role of a pimp for Epstein and received payments for the women sent to him.

Magdalena Andersson was elected in 2020 as chair of the IMFC, an organization that serves as the highest advisory body to the International Monetary Fund (IMF).

⁵⁰) <https://www.etc.se/inrikes/epstein-handelshogskolan>

- **Ulf Kristersson**

The Christian crusader with his priest wife



Ulf Hjalmar Ed Kristersson

Ulf Kristersson has explicitly said that; ”*jews have the right to genocide*⁵¹”.

⁵¹) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hMg4QIMpY3w>

The Rest Are Women!

Incapable of Logical Thinking, evolutionary mythomaniac

- **Ebba Busch** – Deputy Prime Minister 2022 –



Her T-shirt, bearing the emblem of the Israeli terrorist group IDF, clearly reflects her stance on the genocide of Palestinian women and children.



The Party Leader for a Christian Party! (Chris Democrats)

- **Camilla Grönvall**



Camilla Waltersson Grönvall
Minister for Social Affairs 2022–
Member of Parliament 2010–2018

- **Maria Stenergard**

“The UN demands an end to Israel's occupation – Sweden abstained from voting.”



Maria Malmer Stenergard
Minister of Foreign Affairs 2024 –
Minister of Migration 2022 – 2024

Do the author need to mention more women, politically and civil servants, who were not appointed for their competence but for their lack of logical thinking and for the typical female mythomania that Swedes associate with racial biology?

- Paulina Brandberg



Paulina Brandberg (Liberals)
Minister for Gender Equality 2022–
Prosecutor by profession

“Paulina Brandberg (L): Headscarves on young children should be grounds for a report of concern – Ekot's Saturday Interview”

- It means that the Swedish regime has the right to kidnap Muslim children who wear headscarves.



Paulina Brandberg  @Pau_Brandberg · 23h

...

Idag är jag med i Ekots lördagsintervju. Lyssna gärna!

Paulina Brandberg (L): Slöja på riktigt små barn borde vara skäl för orosanmälan - Ekots lördagsintervju sverigesradio.se/avsnitt/paulin... via @lordagsintervju



sverigesradio.se

Paulina Brandberg (L): Slöja på riktigt små barn borde Jämställdhets- och biträdande arbetsmarknadsminister Paulina Brandberg (L) om ...

85

67

633

12 tn

Paulina Brandberg, former prosecutor and now Minister for Gender Equality, evidently ignores the Constitution, Religious freedom. Hatred and war against Islam has blinded her. No logical reasoning, as racial biology asserts women are incapable of it.

“Honor culture must be crushed, anything else is a betrayal of the victims of oppression.”

- Etic without honor!!! Moral without honor!!!

No wonder why Swedes are as they are, a Charlatan.



Liberalerna @liberalerna · 7h

...

Hederskulturen måste krossas, allt annat är ett svek mot förtryckets offer.



REPLIL: Helt absurt att hävda att islam är mer jämställt

EXPRESSEN

Från expressen.se


Paulina Brandberg, former prosecutor and now Minister for Equality, evidently ignores the Constitution, ethics, morality, and integrity. Hatred and war against Islam has blinded her. She would rather lie (evolutionary mythomania) about Muslims as Swedish racial biology asserts, than adhere to law and order.

Just for fun

Do you hear a peep about Sweden?

Do you hear anything all, from the Swedish media, about the hostages of a Swedish-Muslim author in Sweden? Meanwhile, on August 20, 2024, the Swedish regime decided to donate 10 000 000 million SEK to media in Venezuela to support freedom of expression, freedom of the press, and independent journalism.

Can a nation be more hypocritical?



Government Offices of Sweden

Search on government.se

Search

The Government of Sweden ▾ Government policy in Sweden & the EU ▾ Documents & publications ▾ How Sweden is governed ▾ Press & contacts ▾

Press release from [Ministry for Foreign Affairs](#)

New Swedish support to free and independent media in Venezuela

Published 20 August 2024

As a result of the disputed election in Venezuela and as part of an upcoming investment aiming to improve conditions for free elections, the Swedish Government intends to increase its support to freedom of expression, freedom of the press and independent media in Venezuela by SEK 10 million.

"In light of the disputed election, Venezuela's socialist regime is now doing everything it can to silence free speech and block oppositional voices. With this emergency support, we want to help independent journalists to be able to report on the developments and document the restrictions on human rights and freedoms that are being imposed in the country," says Minister for International Development Cooperation and Foreign Trade Johan Forssell.

Access to information is a cornerstone of the fight against authoritarian regimes. This is a component of the Government's ongoing efforts to strengthen democracy, freedom of the press and freedom of expression globally, and it is one of the building blocks in the Government's initiative to enhance the conditions for free elections. The support will be channelled via the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida).

Press contact

Victoria Holmqvist
Press Secretary to Minister for International Development Cooperation and Foreign Trade
Johan Forssell
Phone (switchboard) [+46 8 405 10 00](tel:+4684051000)
Mobile [+46 76 142 29 39](tel:+46761422939)
✉ email to Victoria Holmqvist

Swedish journalists are quick to point out corruption in other countries with great certainty, while turning a blind eye to corruption among lawyers, attorneys, prosecutors, and judges here in Sweden. The same corrupt Swedish lawyers, attorneys, prosecutors, and judges who are experts on legal matters at The Hague, the ICC, and the EU Court of Justice, serving as international advisors, UN mediators, and judges in various international contexts, as well as judges training judicial systems in other countries.

Can you find a nation more fraudulent than the Swedish nation?

Three months

- **Without fruit**
- **Without vegetables**

January 28, 2025

It's not just about the missing food, but about the lifeline of nutrients that the body, even after 60 years of life, still needs to survive and strive forward.


Europe, proudly proclaiming its evolution from the chaos of the Big Bang, seems to have forgotten that the survival of human depends on something as simple and fundamental as the gifts of the earth. But perhaps this very forgetfulness reflects something greater: *“a continent whose courtrooms often fail to defend the most basic values – justice and human dignity”*.

Ironically, even the Nobel Peace Prize Committee in Norway remains silent on these crimes of international laws. While Oslo hosts grand celebrations honoring social activists from China, Iran, and Russia, the crimes against human rights violations taking place in Stockholm, the city where Alfred Nobel once resided, remains hidden, covered-up.

From the Stockholm District Court to the European Court of Justice – this is not just a journey between legal institutions, but a journey through Europe's soul. A reminder that we need more than legal codes and lavish ceremonies; “we need the courage to defend justice when no one else is watching”.

Question

Compare the content of this factual literature with this official government description⁵², published on the state's official website and last updated on March 5, 2024.



Regeringskansliet

Sök på regeringen.se

Sök

Sveriges regering ▾ Regeringens politik i Sverige & EU ▾ Dokument & publikationer ▾ Så styrs Sverige ▾ Press & kontakt ▾ Jobba hos oss ▾

Medborgerliga och politiska rättigheter

Uppdaterad 05 mars 2024

Den grupp av mänskliga rättigheter som kallas medborgerliga och politiska rättigheter innefattar ett skydd mot ingrepp av olika slag från statsmakternas sida samt skydd mot maktmissbruk eller godtycke vid myndighetsutövning.

Skydd mot staten och mot maktmissbruk

Den grupp av mänskliga rättigheter som kallas medborgerliga och politiska rättigheter innefattar ett skydd mot ingrepp av olika slag från statsmakternas sida samt skydd mot maktmissbruk eller godtycke vid myndighetsutövning.

Konventionstexter på svenska

Regeringen har gett ut skriften FN:s konventioner om mänskliga rättigheter. Den innehåller bland annat en svensk översättning av konventionen om medborgerliga och politiska rättigheter och konventionen mot tortyr.

> FN:s konventioner om mänskliga rättigheter (PDF)

Mer om mänskliga rättigheter på regeringen.se

> Fakta om mänskliga rättigheter

> Regeringens politik för demokrati och mänskliga rättigheter

Civil and political rights

Updated Mars 5, 2024

The group of human rights referred to as civil and political rights includes protection against various types of interference by state authorities and protection against abuse of power or arbitrariness in the exercise of authority.

Protection from the State and Abuse of Power

(Repetition) – The group of human rights referred to as civil and political rights includes protection against various types of interference by state authorities and protection against abuse of power or arbitrariness in the exercise of authority.

A nation of Charlatans?

Check your answer:

Hypothesis

Fact?

☐
☐

⁵²) <https://www.regeringen.se/regeringens-politik/demokrati-och-manskliga-rattigheter/fakta-om-manskliga-rattigheter/medborgerliga-och-politiska-rattigheter>